# **"EVALUATION OF SELECTED VEGETABLES OF SIKKIM HIMALAYAS FOR SOME NUTRACEUTICAL PROPERTIES"**

A Thesis Submitted To Sikkim University



In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the **Degree of Doctor of Philosophy** 

By

UZMA KHATOON Department of Horticulture School of Life Sciences

July, 2018

#### DECLARATION

I declare that the present Ph.D. thesis entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" submitted by me for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Horticulture to the Sikkim University under the supervision of Dr. Laxuman Sharma, Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, Sikkim University is my original research work solely carried out by me in the Department of Horticulture, School of Life sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok. The thesis has not been submitted for any other degree or diploma in any other university/institution.

Date | 8.07.2018 Place: 6<sup>th</sup> Mile, Tadong, Gangtok

Uzma Khatoon Signature of the Candidate

Uzma Khatoon Registration No.: 14/Ph.D/HOR/02 Department of Horticulture School of Life Sciences Sikkim University Gangtok

Scanned by CamScanner

इत, सामदुर, तावोंग -737102 क, सिकिकम, भारत -03592-251212, 251415, 251656 हेक्स -251067 सिक्टिम

www.cus.ac.in

6<sup>th</sup> Mile, Samdur, Tadong -737102 Gangtok, Sikkim, India Ph. 03592-251212, 251415, 251656 Telefax: 251067 Website: <u>www.cus.ac.in</u>

(भारत के संसद के अधिनियम द्वारा वर्ष 2007 में स्थापित और नैक (एनएएसी) द्वारा वर्ष 2015 में प्रत्यायित केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय) (A central university established by an Act of Parliament of India in 2007 and accredited by NAAC in 2015)

### CERTIFICATE

विश्वविद्यालय

This is to certify that Ms. Uzma Khatoon [Registration No. 14/Ph.D/HOR/04] has satisfactorily prosecuted her course of research and that the Ph.D. thesis entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" submitted by her to the Sikkim University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Horticulture is the result of original research work conducted by her under my supervision and is sufficiently of high standard to warrant its presentation to the examination.

I also certify that the thesis or part thereof has not been previously submitted by her for a degree of any University.

Date: 18.07.2018 Place: 6<sup>th</sup> Mile, Tadong, Gangtok

Dr. Laxuman Sharma Chairperson Department of Horticulture Sikkim University Gangtok ाइल, सामवुर, तावोग -737102 1%, सिकिकम, भारत -03592-251212, 251415, 251656 केक्स -251067 गाइर = www.cus.ac.in 6<sup>°°</sup> Mile, Samdur, Tadong -737102 Gangtok, Sikkim, India Ph. 03592-251212, 251415, 251656 Telefax: 251067 Website: <u>www.cus.ac.in</u>

(माशत के संसाव के अधिनियम द्वारा वर्ष 2007 में स्थापित और नैक (एनएएसी) द्वारा वर्ष 2015 में प्रत्यायित केंद्रीय विस्वविद्यालय) (A central university established by an Act of Parliament of India in 2007 and accredited by NAAC in 2015)

aga

**khH** 

वद्यालय

### CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the Ph.D. thesis entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" submitted to the Sikkim University, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Horticulture embodies the original research work carried out by Ms. Uzma Khatoon [Registration No. 14/Ph.D/HOR/04] and is sufficiently of high standard to warrant its presentation to the examination.

I also certify that the thesis or part thereof has not been previously submitted by her for a degree of any University.

Date: 18.07.2018 Place: 6<sup>th</sup> Mile, Tadong, Gangtok

& maghane

Dr. Laxuman Sharma Head of the Department Department of Horticulture School of Life Sciences Sikkim University Gangtok सामवुर, तावोग -737102 सिकिनम, भारत 592-251212, 251415, 251656 1 -251067

- www.cus.ac.in

6<sup>th</sup> Mile, Samdur, Tadong -737102 Gangtok, Sikkim, India Ph. 03592-251212, 251415, 251656 Telefax: 251067 Website: <u>www.cus.ac.in</u>

(भारत के संसद के अधिनियम द्वारा वर्ष 2007 में स्थापित और नैक (एनएएसी) द्वारा वर्ष 2015 में प्रत्यायित केंद्रीय विश्वविद्यालय) (A central university established by an Act of Parliament of India in 2007 and accredited by NAAC in 2015)

वद्यालय

d-dh-H

Date: 18-7-2018

## PLAGIARISM TEST CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the plagiarism check has been carried out for the following Ph. D. thesis with the help of URKUND SOFTWARE and the result is 3%, within the permissible limit (below 20% tolerance rate) as per the norm of Sikkim University.

"Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties"

Submitted by Ms. Uzma Khatoon under the supervision of Dr. Laxuman Sharma, Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, Sikkim University, Gangtok, 737102, Sikkim, India.

Uzmellhatoon

Uzma Khatoon Signature of the candidate

Gor munus hammer Dr. Laxuman Sharm

Signature of the Ph.D. Supervisor

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is my immense pleasure to express heartfelt gratitude to my respected teacher and guide, **Dr. Laxuman Sharma**, Associate Professor and Head of Department, Department of Horticulture, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim, for his able guidance and suggestion, sustained interest, kind encouragement and constructive criticism during the course of investigation. His sincerer monitoring and encouragement made the work complete with perfection. It has been my privilege to work under him. I am extremely indebted to him for being meticulous throughout the investigation and preparation of this manuscript. I will remain obliged to him in my personal thought and career.

I avail to express my humble respect, deep sense of indebtedness to **Dr. S. Manivannan**, Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture and **Dr. K,D. Bhutia**, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture and member of my advisory committee for their valuable suggestion, inspiration and liberal help during the course of research.

I express my profound gratitude to **Dr. Biswajit Gopal Roy**, Assistant Professor, Department of Chemistry, for his valuable and kind help.

I wish to record my deep sense and advent to **Dr. Niladri Bag**, Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture, **Dr. Sujata Upadhyay**, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, **Dr. Manju Rana**, Assistant Professor and **Mr. Rajesh Kuamr**, Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture for their valuable suggestion, inspiration and kind help rendered during the course of research.

A full of gratitude and warm regards to **Prof. J.P. Tamang**, Dean, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim for his generosity in extending valuable support and needs.

I take this rare opportunity to record my heartfelt love and sincere thanks to my ever supporting father Mohammad Alamgeer Ahmad and my ever loving mother Shabra Khatoon, younger sister Nagma and younger brother Arshad and Afzal. I owe my special thanks to Mr. Dinesh Rai, lab attendant, Mr. Venkat Ramana Muddarsu and Mr. Jitendra Kushwaha, Department of Horticulture for their help during the present course of investigation.

Colorful blossoms would not have bloomed without the company of my lovely friends, I heartily thank to my friends particularly Bikas, Yamuna, Nadia, Trisang, Anjana, Dikki, Keisam Pradeep, Sangay, Suren, Kabita, Smriti, Doma, Vivek, Bahadur, Divya and Reymit for their love and kind co-operation.

The presentation that follows is the work assisted by many seen and unseen hands and minds. I am thankful to all of them.

Gangtok, 2018

(Uzma Khatoon)

## **CONTENTS**

| <b>S. N.</b> | Particulars                                 | Page No. |
|--------------|---|----------|
| 1            | INTRODUCTION                                | 1-9      |
| 2            | <b>REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b>                 | 10-73    |
| 2.1          | Ethnobotanical Survey                       | 11-16    |
| 2.2          | Proximate Analysis                          | 16-26    |
| 2.3          | Mineral Composition                         | 26-39    |
| 2.4          | Phenolic compounds                          | 39-54    |
| 2.5          | Antioxidants                                | 54-66    |
| 2.6          | Vitamins                                    | 67-73    |
| 3            | MATERIALS AND METHODS                       | 74-90    |
| 3.1          | Material                                    | 74-76    |
| 3.2          | Nutraceutical Analysis                      | 76-83    |
| 3.3          | Determination of Phytochemicals             | 83-85    |
| 3.4          | Quantification of Phenols                   | 85       |
| 3.5          | Antioxidant Activities                      | 86-89    |
| 3.6          | Analysis of fat soluble vitamins            | 89-90    |
| 3.7          | Statistical Analysis                        | 90       |
| 4            | EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS                        | 91-144   |
| 4.1          | Survey for medicinal property of vegetables | 91-103   |
| 4.2          | Collection of Samples                       | 103      |
| 4.3          | Proximate Analysis                          | 103-114  |
| 4.4          | Multi-elemental profiling                   | 114-125  |
| 4.5          | Phytochemical content                       | 125-130  |

| 4.6 | Quantification of Phenols                   | 130-135   |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 4.7 | Antioxidant activity                        | 135-141   |
| 4.8 | Fat soluble Vitamins                        | 141-144   |
| 5   | DISCUSSION                                  | 145-165   |
| 5.1 | Survey for medicinal property of vegetables | 147-149   |
| 5.2 | Proximate content                           | 149-153   |
| 5.3 | Multi-elemental content                     | 153-158   |
| 5.4 | Phytochemical content                       | 158-160   |
| 5.5 | Quantification of phenols                   | 160-161   |
| 5.6 | Antioxidant Assay                           | 161-163   |
| 5.7 | Vitamins                                    | 164-165   |
| 6   | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION                      | 166-169   |
| 7   | BIBLIOGRAPHY                                | i – xxxvi |

## **LIST OF TABLES**

| Table<br>No. | Particular   | Page<br>No. |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1          | Proximate content of different vegetable crops- a review                                 | 17-25       |
| 2.2          | Mineral composition of different vegetable crops- a review                               | 28-35       |
| 2.3          | Phytochemical studies in different vegetable crops- a review                             | 45-53       |
| 2.4          | Antioxidant activities in different vegetable crops- a review                            | 57-65       |
| 2.5          | Vitamins studies in different vegetable crops- a review                                  | 70-72       |
| 2.6          | Pharmaceutical application of some related vegetable crops                               | 73          |
| 3.1          | List of plants considered for the study  | 74          |
| 3.2          | Time gradient flow of mobile phase   | 90          |
| 4.1.1        | Identification of commonly used plant part of different local and indigenous vegetables  | 92-93       |
| 4.1.2        | Knowledge of ethnic communities on medicinal property of local and indigenous vegetables | 94-95       |
| 4.2.1        | GPS data of the places of collection of Solanum aethiopicum                              | 96-97       |
| 4.2.2        | GPS data of the places of collection of Solanum macrocarpon                              | 97-98       |
| 4.2.3        | GPS data of the places of collection of <i>Capsicum annuum var</i> . <i>cerasiformae</i> | 98-99       |
| 4.2.4        | GPS data of the places of collection of Tupistra aurantiaca                              | 100-101     |
| 4.2.5        | GPS data of the places of collection of Nasturtium officinale                            | 101-102     |
| 4.3          | Mean performance of the selected vegetables for proximate content                        | 104         |
| 4.4.1        | Essential elements content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> dry weight) of the selected vegetables | 115         |
| 4.4.2        | Trace elements content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> dry weight) of the selected vegetables     | 115         |

| 4.4.3 | Heavy elements content of the selected vegetables                     | 123 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4.5   | Phytochemical contents of the selected vegetables                     | 126 |
| 4.6.1 | Retention time of standards and vegetables for different phenols      | 131 |
| 4.6.2 | Phenols content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of the selected vegetables | 131 |
| 4.7   | Antioxidant activity of the selected vegetables                       | 136 |
| 4.8.1 | Retention time of standards of vitamins and samples                   | 142 |
| 4.8.2 | Vitamin content of the selected vegetables                            | 142 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| S. N.  | Title  | Page No. |
|--------|--|----------|
| 4.3.1  | Moisture content (%) of vegetables   | 106      |
| 4.3.2  | Dry matter content (%) of vegetables   | 106      |
| 4.3.3  | TSS (°Brix) of vegetables  | 106      |
| 4.3.4. | Total Ash content (%) of vegetables  | 108      |
| 4.3.5. | Crude Fat content (%) of vegetables  | 108      |
| 4.3.6  | Crude Protein content (%) of vegetables  | 108      |
| 4.3.7  | Crude Fibre content (%) of vegetables  | 110      |
| 4.3.8  | Total Carbohydrate content (%) of vegetables                                   | 110      |
| 4.3.9  | Total Starch content (%) of vegetables   | 110      |
| 4.3.10 | Total Sugar content (%) of vegetables  | 112      |
| 4.3.11 | Chlorophyll A content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables                   | 112      |
| 4.3.12 | Chlorophyll B content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables                   | 112      |
| 4.3.13 | Total Chlorophyll content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables               | 113      |
| 4.4.1  | Potassium content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables                       | 117      |
| 4.4.2  | Calcium, magnesium & phosphorus content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables | 117      |
| 4.4.3  | Iron, Manganese and Copper content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables      | 117      |
| 4.4.4  | Molybdenum, Sulphur and Zinc content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables    | 120      |
| 4.4.5  | Aluminium, Sodium and Strontium content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables | 120      |
| 4.4.6  | Cobalt and Lithium content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables              | 120      |
| 4.4.7  | Lead and Cadmium content of vegetables   | 124      |
| 4.5.1  | Total Phenol content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables                 | 128      |

| 4.5.2 | Total Flavonoid content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) of vegetables          | 128 |
|-------|--|-----|
| 4.5.3 | Total Flavonols content (mg g <sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) of vegetables          | 128 |
| 4.5.4 | Ascorbic Acid content (%) of vegetables                                    | 129 |
| 4.5.5 | Carotene content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) of vegetables                    | 129 |
| 4.6.1 | Gallic Acid content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables               | 132 |
| 4.6.2 | Rutin content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables                     | 132 |
| 4.6.3 | Catechol content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables                  | 132 |
| 4.6.4 | Ferulic Acid content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables              | 134 |
| 4.6.5 | Quercetin content (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables                 | 134 |
| 4.7.1 | DPPH activity (%) of vegetables  | 137 |
| 4.7.2 | FRAP activity (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables                    | 137 |
| 4.7.3 | Ferrous ion chelating activity (%) of vegetables                           | 137 |
| 4.7.4 | Phosphomolybdenum assay activity (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables | 139 |
| 4.7.5 | Hydroxyl Radical scavenging activity (%) of vegetables                     | 139 |
| 4.7.6 | Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (%) of vegetables                    | 139 |
| 4.8.1 | Vitamin A content (IU) of vegetables                                       | 144 |
| 4.8.2 | Vitamin D content ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ ) of vegetables                         | 144 |
| 4.8.3 | Vitamin E and Vitamin K ( $\mu g g^{-1}$ ) content (IU) of vegetables      | 144 |
|       |  |     |

# LIST OF PLATE

| Plate. No | Title  |
|-----------|--|
| 1         | Glimpses of Solanum aethiopicum                        |
| 2         | Glimpses of Solanum macrocarpon                        |
| 3.        | Glimpses of Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae          |
| 4.        | Glimpses of Tupistra aurantiaca                        |
| 5.        | Glimpses of Nasturtium officinale                      |
| 6.        | Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages |
| 7.        | Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages |
| 8.        | Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages |
| 9.        | Glimpses of local vegetables                           |
| 10.       | Glimpses of local vegetables                           |

# ABBREVIATIONS

| MSL    | Mean Sea Level                           |
|--------|--|
| CVD    | Cardiovascular disease                   |
| SHU    | Scoville Heat Unit                       |
| FAO    | Food and Agriculture Organisation        |
| DM     | Dry Matter                               |
| ATP    | Adenosine triphosphate                   |
| WE     | water extract                            |
| ME     | methanolic extract                       |
| EE     | ethanoic extract                         |
| GAE    | gallic acid equivalent                   |
| RUE    | rutin equivalent                         |
| ND     | non detectable                           |
| FW     | fresh weight                             |
| AE     | Acetone extract                          |
| CE     | Chloroform Extract                       |
| DPPH   | 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl Assay      |
| FRAP   | Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay  |
| РМА    | Phosphomolybdenum Assay                  |
| MCA    | Metal chelating Activity                 |
| FRSA   | Free radical Scavenging activities       |
| HRSA   | Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity     |
| TAA    | Total Antioxidant activity               |
| CUPRAC | Cupric ion antioxidant reducing capacity |
| USDA   | United States Department of Agriculture  |
| IU     | International Unit                       |

| mg    | milligram  |
|-------|--|
| kcal  | Kilocalorie  |
| kg    | kilogram   |
| %     | Percentage   |
| °C    | Degree Centigrade                                  |
| °Brix | Degree Brix  |
| μg    | Micro gram   |
| μl    | Micro litre  |
| μΜ    | Micro mole   |
| OD    | Optical density                                    |
| TSS   | Total Soluble Solids                               |
| FSSAI | Food safety and Standards Authority of India       |
| C18   | Column number 18                                   |
| CCC   | Counter Current chromatography                     |
| CHD   | Classical Hydro-distillation                       |
| CPC   | Continuous liquid-liquid Partition Chromatographic |
| GC-MS | Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry               |
| hr    | Hour   |
| HPLC  | High Performance Liquid Chromatogarphy             |
| mm    | Milimetre  |
| mM    | Milimole   |
| nm    | Nanometer  |
| ml    | Milliliter   |
| v/v   | Volume/volume                                      |
| v/w   | Volume /weight                                     |
| Ca    | Calcium  |
| Fe    | Iron   |
| Mg    | Magnesium  |
| Р     | Phosphorus   |

| Zn | Zinc      |
|----|-----------|
| Na | Sodium    |
| Κ  | Potassium |
| Ν  | Nitrogen  |
| Cu | Copper    |
| Cd | Cadmium   |
| Pb | Lead      |
| Se | Selenium  |
| Mn | Manganese |
| Sr | Strontium |
| Cr | Chromium  |
| Ni | Nickel    |
| Co | Cobalt    |

# Chapter-1 <u>Introduction</u>

The Himalayan region is rich in biodiversity owing to varied climatic, geographical, topographical, physiological and ecological situations (Khoshoo, 1991). The north-eastern Himalayan region exhibits a high degree of plant diversity than any other region in the Indian sub-continent, and it is considered as the primary centre of origin for many plants (Vavilov, 1950). The area is one of the thirty four global biodiversity hotspots of the world (Myers et al., 2000; Mittermeier et al., 2004). The northeastern Himalayan region exhibits high plant diversity than any other region in the Indian sub-continent, and it is considered as the origin of a large number of plants (Vavilov, 1950). Sikkim, a North Eastern Himalayan state of India coordinates at 27° 04' 46" to 28° 07' 48" N latitudes and 88° 00' 58" and 88° 55' 25" E longitudes, it covers an area of just 7,096 square km with an elevation range of 300 to 8,586 m. from mean sea level (MSL). The state is inhabited by diverse ethnic communities, major being Bhutia, Lepcha and Nepali constituting more than ten hilly tribes. More than 64 percent of the population in the state depends on agriculture for their livelihood. The entire state is now organically certified land. Agricultural land in Sikkim is estimated to be around 1,09,000 hectares, i.e. 15.36 percent of the total geographical area. Sikkim has a net cultivable area of about 79,000 hectares (11.13%); with an irrigated area of 15 percent of the total operational holdings of 110000 hectares.

The food bowl of different ethnic communities of Sikkim Himalayas usually comprises of wild edible plants and local germplasm or local uses. The term wild food is used to describe all those plant resources usually found growing outside the agricultural areas and harvested or collected for the purpose of human consumption. In the Sikkim Himalaya, several varieties of locally available vegetables (wild or cultivated) are commonly consumed and are considered as an integral part of ethnoculture. The ethnic people of Sikkim consume inflorescences, roots, tubers rhizome, leaves and fruits of wild plants (Rai et al., 2005, Sundriyal et al., 2004) and usually smelly plants are being attracted by them. The edible wild or local germplasms are not only consumed but also used for the therapeutic purpose as a source of nutrients. The different tribes have rich knowledge on the use of indigenous vegetables as medicine, such plant consumed as vegetables play a significant role in sustaining the livelihood of people and providing the nutrient security. Local people are often seen selling wild edibles or cultivated underutilized vegetables in the local market. The consumption pattern of these vegetables depends upon the community and locality. The vegetables known or consumed by one community are not consumed by the other. The trial and error on edibility and taste over the generation have helped in using them as vegetables. On the other hand overpopulation, increasing deforestation and overexploitation have created the danger of extinction of some of the valuable species of wild edible vegetables (Maden and Dakhal, 1998). "Food is the medicine and medicine is the food" said by Hippocrates nearly 2500 years ago (Proper diet is the medicine and there is no medicine like proper diet). Even good medicines will not cure a patient without an appropriate accompanying diet. In fact, Ayurveda says that a well-modulated or regulated diet is the best medicine. Important guidelines regarding dietetics are given in a very elaborate manner that is to be thoroughly understood and carefully practised along with the modern nutraceuticals (Gupta et al., 2007, Goyal, 2007).

The increased knowledge of the relationship between nutrients and health has resulted in several new products categories, such as Nutraceuticals. The word nutraceutical is a portmanteau of the words nutrient and pharmaceutical coined by Dr DeFelice in 1989 and the product category represents a unique interaction between the pharmaceutical and food industries (Brower, 1998). Nutraceuticals are diet supplements that deliver a concentrated form of a bioactive component from a food and used for the purpose of enhancing health in dosages that sometimes exceeds the normal foods (Zeisel, 1999). The nutraceuticals can either be taken as dietary supplements or as functional foods. The dietary supplements can be in the form of liquid concentrates or capsules whereas functional foods are enriched foods which are very close to the original natural food.

The major source of biologically active substances, such as vitamins and secondary metabolites (polyphenols, carotenoids, sterols, glucosinolates, and saponins) are present in most of the vegetables (Alothman *et al.*, 2009). A number of studies revealed that individuals who eat five servings daily or more of fruits and vegetables have approximately half the risk of developing a wide variety of cancer types, particularly those of the gastrointestinal tract, suggesting that consuming phenolic-rich fruits and vegetables increases the antioxidant capacity of the blood (Gescher *et al.*, 1998). Vegetables are important sources of minerals, fibre and vitamins, which provide essential nutrients for human health. Increased consumption of vegetable significantly reduces the incidence of chronic diseases, such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases and other age-related disorders. Various compounds such as polyphenols, carotenoids (pro-vitamin A), vitamins C and E (tocopherol) present in vegetables have antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities and play a significant role in the prevention of many diseases (Spiller, 2001; Prakash, *et al.*,

2011). Polyphenols express biological activities, such as antifungal, antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, anticancerous and antioxidative (Harborne and Williams, 2000; Soobrattee *et al.*, 2005) and therefore continue identification of vegetables with high polyphenol content is of paramount importance to the scientific community due to the potential health benefits of these compounds (Vinson *et al.*, 2001).

The phenolic compounds constitute a large group of secondary metabolites derived from phenylalanine pathway and are widely distributed throughout the plant kingdom (Mann, 1987; Harborne, 2001). Although they typically comprise less than 2% of the fresh weight basis of the plant, phenolic compounds serve the diverse functions like imparting colour to leaves and fruits, attracting or repelling insects, antimicrobial action, antiviral activity, protection from harmful ultraviolet radiation and protection from herbivores (Harborne, 1967; Macheix et al., 1990; Harborne and Williams, 2000). Chemically, phenolics or polyphenols are aromatic rings bearing compounds with one or more hydroxyl groups including functional derivatives (ester/methyl esters, glycosides etc.) (Harborne, 1967; Macheix et al., 1990; Shahidi and Naczk, 1995). More than 8,000 phenolic compounds have been identified in plants (Wrolstad, 2005) and the major are the phenolic acids and flavonoids (Macheix et al., 1990; Robbins, 2003). Phenolic acids in plants are predominantly substituted derivatives of hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids. These derivatives differ in patterns of hydroxylation and methoxylation of their aromatic rings (Harborne, 1994). The flavonoids share a common base structure consisting of two phenolic rings connected via an oxygenated heterocyclic pyran ring (Harborne, 1967). They are divided into several groups differing in the oxidation state of the pyran ring and include five major classes: anthocyanins, flavanols, flavanones, flavones and flavonols.

Vegetables are one of the major dietary sources of various antioxidant phytocompounds for humans. Our daily diet plays a key role in healthy ageing and prevents chronic diseases including obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular ailments, cancer, and osteoporosis. Only a small percentage of the population consume the recommended intake of fruits and vegetables, to meet the requirements of vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, enzymes and nutrients. In humans, they have a beneficial effect because of the positive biological responses they elicit, often reducing the risk of chronic disease. Foods with high phytonutrient content are sometimes called "super foods" since they are known to have health benefits beyond those of most foods (Heber, 2009). A significant number of phytochemicals have been reported in fruits, vegetables and other plant foodstuffs, which is linked to the reduction of risk of disease. The anti-cancer properties of fruits and vegetables have been highly recognised recently and are also reported to fruits and vegetables not only help decrease chances of developing cancer. They also decrease the risk of developing coronary heart disease and strokes.

Increasing epidemiological data suggest that a high intake of fruits and vegetables offers a number of health benefits against degenerative diseases (Rissanen *et al.*, 2003). Numerous studies have suggested that the antioxidant activity, due to the phenolic composition of a food or natural health product, contributes to their protective effects against chronic and degenerative diseases (Heinonen *et al.*, 1998; Record *et al.*, 2001). Several specific plant phenolic compounds have been reported to exhibit anti-inflammatory, anti-carcinogenic, vasodilatory and antimicrobial activities (Rice-Evans *et al.*, 1996; Robards and Antolovich, 1997; Harborne and Williams, 2000; Wollgast and Anklam, 2000). Therefore, in assessing the potential value of a vegetable for human consumption it is pertinent to determine the phenolic

composition and potent antioxidant activity of such compounds. The phenolic composition is generally unique to the plant species and can vary with its growth and climate where they are growing (Manach *et al.*, 2004). The antioxidant activities of fruits vary with the type of phenolics compounds (Rice-Evans *et al.*, 1995). It has also been found that some phenolic compounds act synergistically to increase overall antioxidant activity.

Traditional vegetables are very nutritious if they are consumed fresh or cooked at a medium temperature owing to their high content such as vitamins fibres and minerals. Nutritional elements besides nutrition also play a role in contributing to human health owing to different biological properties which have been linked to disease prevention (Hollman et al., 1996). For instance, fruit and vegetables are documented to be high in antioxidants, which delay the oxidation of other molecules by inhibiting the initiation or propagation of oxidising chain reactions by free radical and therefore may reduce oxidative damage to the human body (Hollman and Arts 2000, Ismail et al., 2004). The occurrence of such oxidative damage is believed to be a significant causative factor in the development of chronic diseases such as cancer and cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Proteggente et al., 2002, Arts and Hollman 2005). The risk of these diseases could be reduced by increasing daily intake of fruits and vegetables such as broccoli, spinach, shallots, potato and carrots which are rich sources of antioxidants (Hertog et al., 1992, Cao et al., 1996, Heim et al., 2002). Apart from having high antioxidant activities and vitamins; fruit and vegetables are the source of carotenoids, polyphenols and non-antioxidant vitamins that are responsible for protection against cancer and CVD (Heim et al., 2002, Tucker 2003, Rao and Rao 2007).

In recent decades, a resurgence of interest has focused on wild plant species for their possible nutritional and medicinal values to broaden the diversity of human diet (Flyman and Afolayan, 2007; Afolayan and Jimoh, 2009). This is because people today are more concern about the effects of modern agricultural technology and marketing, which only cultivate plant types that have high productivity and consequently caused massive loss of biodiversity. On the other hand, increasing research on underutilized vegetables in different regions showed that most of these wild greens have great nutritional values and antioxidant properties, which are comparable to those commercially cultivated vegetables (Afolayan and Jimoh, 2009) and there has been no document on nutraceutical potential of indigenous vegetables of Sikkim.

So, this work is envisaged for exploring these vegetables as potential nutraceuticals with the following objectives:-

- To survey the knowledge of ethnic community of Sikkim about the medicinal properties of vegetables.
- 2. To characterize and quantify constituent phenolics of selected vegetables.
- 3. To elucidate nutritional and ionic profiling of selected vegetables.
- 4. To study the antioxidant activity of selected vegetables.

During the present study following five vegetables were considered to meet the above mentioned objective:-

- 1. Solanum aethiopicum L.
- 2. Solanum macrocarpon L.
- 3. Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae L.
- 4. Tupistra aurantiaca Wall.

#### 5. Nasturtium officinale W.T.Aiton

*Solanum aethiopicum*, one of the leading vegetables in tropical Africa was reported to have been domesticated from the wild *Solanum anguivi* Lam., via the semi-domesticated *Solanum distichum* Schumach. & Thonn. (Lim, 2013). *S. aethiopicum* L. is commonly known as the African eggplant or Ethiopian eggplant characterized by round shape of fruit with green strips. Its leaves are eaten as a leafy vegetable and fruits are eaten either raw or cooked, whereas berries are used as an ornament in Asia. This is perennial or annual deciduous shrub which grows up to 2 m, often heavily branched, root system extending both vertically and laterally; branches and leaves with or without prickles and stellate hairs.

*Solanum macrocarpon* is a small tropical perennial plant that originated from Africa, it is widely distributed in West Africa, from Sierra Leone to Nigeria, from Cameroon eastward to Ethiopia and Southern Zimbabwe, it is also found in some parts of Southeast Asia, Brazil and Southern Europe (Rubatzky and Yamaguchi, 1997). It is cultivated either for fruits, which are 3-10 cm in diameter, flat in shape, non-ribbed, with a smooth surface and white or green coloured at the commercially mature stage, or for its leaves, which are used in the same way as spinach (Nyadanu and Lowor, 2015).

*Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* is also one of the hottest found species in these regions are the members of *C. annuum* complex with a Scoville rating of 100,000 to 350,000 SHU (Bhutia *et al.*, 2016). It is mostly grown in Sikkim and its surrounding regions like Darjeeling for its pungent fruits. *C. annuum* var. *cerasiformae* is well known for its special type of pungency. *C. annuum* var. *cerasiformae* is almost round cherry size and bright red when fully ripen. It is used to make fresh or fermented pickle and spice up curry, daal or soup. It is popular during

cold winter months as its heat keeps the body warm. It is either simply pickled in saline vinegar or with spices and jarred for several months, and consumed alongside with traditional meal by the local people.

*Tupistra aurantiaca* Wall commonly known as Nakima in the local language of Sikkim belongs to family Liliaceae found in Sikkim Himalaya upto 7000 ft. Its inflorescence becomes available in the market from August to October which is eaten as vegetable and pickle. It is one of the costliest wild vegetable in Sikkim and very much popular in Bhutia and Lepcha communities of Sikkim.

*Nasturtium officinale* W.T. Aiton (Water Cress) commonly known as Simrayo in the local language of Sikkim belongs to the family of Brassicaceae. It is found in Sikkim Himalayan area even upto an altitude of 12,000 ft. Its young twigs are used to eat as vegetable or in soup. Peoples have believed that it reduces blood pressure.

The inhabitants of different regions have learnt the way to use locally available domesticated and wild vegetables of their locality. In remote rural settlements where vegetable cultivation is not practiced and market supplies are not organized, the inhabitants depend on indigenous vegetables, both cultivated in kitchen gardens and those collected from wild, for enriching the diversity of food. The word *indigenous* is used to describe vegetables that have their natural habitat in a country and the ones which were introduced from other regions of the world. The introduced vegetables due to long use became part of the food culture in that country (Chweya and Eyzaguirre, 1999). Extensive use of the natural resources might cause a sharp decrease in the population of particular species and the species which are associated with them or depend on them. It may also cause natural imbalance and may also lead to total loss of habitat of that particular locality. So, it is our prime responsibility to conserve the resources and use them in a proper manner so that they would be available for upcoming generations. Besides this, these wild and locally available resources are found to be a good source of nutritional, phytochemicals and phenols. The knowledge of such foods is part of traditional knowledge which is largely transmitted through the participation of individuals of households. So it is imperative to investigate the nutritional values of these wild and indigenous vegetables which in turn aware the peoples to explore them in right way and use them properly for their healthy life. This types of the investigation will help local people to combat nutritive deficiency disorders and also address the food security problems in the local

communities (Bajaracharya, 1984, Bhandari, 1978) with advantages of having baseline information for further improvement and research.

In Sikkim, many food items which are used in daily basket and eaten as a vegetable comprised of many local landraces and indigenous to the Sikkim Himalaya and underutilized. Sikkim followed the conventional organic cultivation practices from decades and now it is declared as fully organic state. So far, very scanty work have been noticed for analyzing nutritional profile, bioactive contents, antioxidant activity and vitamins content of locally available crops of Sikkim. The present studies included *Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae, Tupistra aurantiaca* Wall. (Nakima) and *Nasturtium officinale* (Watercress) collected from all the districts of Sikkim which are used by local people as a vegetable for their nutritional and medicinal values.

#### 2.1 Ethnobotanical survey

Among all the civilizations, Indians were the first in utilizing plant resources for their basic inevitabilities and livelihood. Although plants have been used as a source of food, fodder, shelter, clothing, medicine and lots of other useful commodities from ancient time, but the value of wild edible vegetables in food security is not given enough consideration in India (Reddy *et al.*, 2007). Despite food self-sufficiency at the national level, the country has not attained food security at a household level particularly in rural areas. A considerable proportion of rural population is still undernourished. People living in most of the rural and remote areas do not produce enough food grains to meet yearly food requirement and at times food supplies are not easily available. Therefore, a large share of the rural population meet their nutritional requirement through nonconventional means, by consuming various wild plants and animal resources (Singh and Arora, 1978). The wide varieties of plant leaves, fruits, roots, and other parts are collected from the wild and consumed by rural masses. The primitive people, through trial and error, have selected many wild edible plants and subsequently domesticated them (Kar, 2004). However, most of the wild vegetables, which are traditionally consumed by local communities come under the underutilized category. The nutritional value of these vegetables is high in comparison to commonly cultivated vegetables (Sundriyal and Sundriyal, 2001; Orech et al., 2007). The wild vegetables are an important source for the supplementation of micronutrients in vegetarian diets (Agate et al., 2000; Odhav et al., 2007). Due to various natural and anthropogenic reasons, natural resources of wild vegetables and habitats from where these resources are collected are depleting rapidly (Maikhuri et al., 2004; Bhogaonkar et al., 2010). In Sikkim Himalaya, the natives consume nearly 190 such wild edible species (Sundrival, 1999). Selection of a particular species for inclusion in the diet is location specific and influenced by the availability of plant material. Genetic resources of wild vegetables should be conserved for future use to overcome malnutrition in a vegetarian diet, food security and for crop improvement of cultivated relatives of these wild vegetables (Kala, 2007). Survey of rural and tribal areas for documentation of underutilized or wild vegetables is the first step in making suitable strategies for the conservation and sustainable utilization of these resources. Other than the conservation of diversity and availability of vegetables, the health of peoples are also an important aspect for livelihood in rural and remote settlements. Providing modern healthcare to rural people in India is still a far-reaching goal due to economic constraints (Grover et al., 2002). Hence, people mainly depend on the locally available plant materials to cure various health disorders (Grover and Vats, 2001). Plants possess various components, which render beneficial properties (Tanabe

*et al.*, 2002). Of late, much attention is being drawn towards exploring plant resources for nutritional and pharmaceutical values.

Sikkim state falls in the eastern Himalayan zone of India (27<sup>0</sup>4'46"–28<sup>0</sup>7'48" N and 88<sup>0</sup>58"-88<sup>0</sup>55'25" E), covers 7096 km<sup>2</sup> area, and is bounded by Nepal on the west, by Tibet on the north, Bhutan and Tibet on the east, and Darjeeling district of West Bengal on the south. The state forms the entire upper catchment of the river Teesta drainage system. The state is rich in cultural and biological diversity. Lepchas, Bhutias and Nepalese are three main ethnic groups of Sikkim state and they differ in their food habits, dresses, and living styles. Besides growing food grains, the ethnic communities collect large quantities of wild edible plants from natural habitats. A total 190 wild plant species have been screened from the Sikkim Himalaya that describes the botanical richness, elevation distributional and dietary use of the edible wild plant resources from the Sikkim Himalaya (Eastern Himalaya), many with promising potential by Sundriyal et al., (2004). These plants belong to 143 genera and 78 families and accounting for nearly 15% of total edible wild plants resources of India. Of the total, 65% were edible for their fruits, 22% for leaves~shoots, 7% for flowers and 3% for roots/rhizomes. Nearly 91 wild edible species were recorded from low-hills, 70 from mid-hills and 28 species from high-hill areas. Within Sikkim state, the North and East districts represent the maximum diversity of edible wild plants due to the wilderness and inaccessibility to most of the habitats. An average rural family annually consumes nearly 8 types of edible wild plants, and a few species provide over five meals in a season. Selected plants also form a source of earning to a few families that sell them in local markets. It is suggested that the high diversity of edible plants needs to be conserved for future use. Watercress was reported as a leafy

vegetable consumed by 87% of people next to *Diplazium esculentum*. *Tupistra nutans* Wall was mentioned as a spice used in making traditional dishes.

The traditional knowledge about indigenous or wild vegetable is largely transmitted by oral tradition from generation to generation without any written record. Such practices are still prevalent in many parts of the world. The ethnic communities of Sikkim was surveyed by Singh et al., (2002) for the traditional knowledge of plants, which are used for different disease and ailments. They reported 64 species of plants belonging to 42 families and 57 genera used for traditional healing practices. Epilepsy, leprosy, paralysis, asthma, typhoid, diabetes, haemorrhage during childbirth, cholera as well as others are the main ailments for which plants are used to cure. Some of these plants are also used as food items and play a significant role in the rural economy. A dry powder of inflorescence of *Tupistra nutans* Wall was reported to cure diabetes and also as a tonic to relieve body pains. A total of 37 species of plants belonging to 28 families are used as antidiabetic agents in the folk medicinal practices in the Sikkim and Darjeeling Himalayan region and 81% of these plants are hitherto unreported as hypoglycemic agents by the local tribes (Chhetri et al., 2005). They found that Campylandra aurantiaca was also one of them. Bantawa and Rai, (2009) conducted an ethnobotanical study among the traditional herbal practitioner of Darjeeling Himalaya. A total of 41 plant species belonging to 26 families and 41 genera were found to be used by the practitioner for treating the diseases and disorders. Many of them are consumed directly as food and vegetables, condiments and spices. Tupistra aurantiaca Wall roots are recorded to be consumed orally in case of food poisoning. In East Sikkim, 79 plant species were collected which are useful to cure various human ailments by Das et al., (2012). The ethnobotanical survey revealed that the people of the area possessing good knowledge

of herbal drugs, however progressive exposure to modernization, their knowledge of traditional uses of plants may get lost in due course.

Besides Sikkim and adjoining region, other rural and remote regions of India were also surveyed by many authors. A total of 123 households in six villages of Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve buffer zone surveyed using a schedule by Misra et al., (2008) to assess the knowledge, availability and consumption pattern of wild leafy vegetables. The 21 species of wild leafy vegetables used by the local inhabitants belong to 14 genera and 11 families. A total of 25 species belonging to 18 families have been documented as underexploited, non-conventional and traditional indigenous vegetable from Kannauj district of Uttar Pradesh (Kumar, 2013). Chenopodium album was the most common and popularly used wild vegetable followed by Ipomoea aquatica and Coccinea grandis in the studied area. Seven species were reported as a wild vegetable for the first time in India. Leaves and young stem are used in the majority of the cases. The highly endangered wild vegetables in the study area were Abrus precatorius, Centella asiatica, Dioscorea bulbifera, and Solanum incanum. The Northeastern region of India of which Sikkim is a part is one of the hotspots of biodiversity and the richest reservoirs of genetic variability and diversity of different crops *i.e* fruits, vegetables, spices, ornamental plants, medicinal and aromatic plants (Asati and Yadav, 2004). Among Solanum species, S. macrocarpon, S. xanthocarpum, S. indicum, S. mammosum, S. khasianum, S. torvum, S. berbisetum, S. ferox, S. spirale, S. sisymbrifolium, S. kurzi and S. gilo were reported to be found grown either in wild or domesticated form. Similarly, several species of Capsicum are reported *i.e.* C. annum, C. annum var. avicular, C. annum var. grossum, C. annum var. longum, C. chinense, C. eximium, C. frutescens, C. minimum and C.

*pubescens*. Many indigenous cucurbitaceous species were also reported to be found in the Northeastern region either in cultivated or in wild form.

#### **2.2 Proximate Analysis**

According to Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), about one billion people, especially in developing countries depend on edible wild plants for their diets (Bharucha and Pretty, 2010). It is also to be noted that the staple food of the developing countries like India, starch based foods like wheat, maize and rice can meet up the human energy and protein requirement, however deficient in many of the essential nutrients. The diversity in wild species offers variety in family diet and can contribute to household food security (Zamede et al., 2001). Locally available crops serve as alternatives to staple food during periods of the deficit and are a valuable supplement (Scoones *et al.*, 1992). Analyzing local plants for nutritional and medicinal potential would enable the identification of unconventional food resources that can be used by the local inhabitants. Guerrero et al., (1998) compiled a comprehensive nutrient report of wild vegetables consumed by the European farmers, and nearly all the species were reported to have significant amount of several micronutrients such as copper, magnesium, zinc, iron, vitamin E, carotenoids and vitamin C. The dietary fiber is major consideration for food quality and health benefit which is largely composed of complex carbohydrates that are somewhat resistant to digestion. Insoluble fibre like cellulose, found in plant cell walls, are helpful in waste and toxin removal through several mechanisms (Weisburger et al., 1993). The physiological impacts of insufficient dietary fibre intake are constipation, increased risk of coronary heart disease and increased fluctuation of blood glucose and insulin levels (AACC, 2001; Jenkins et al., 1998).

16

| S. No. | Сгор              | Author (s)        | Plant parts used | Observations   |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| 1.     | Solanum melongena | Agoreyo et al.,   | Fruit (Round)    | Moisture 78.44%, Protein 5.79% DM, Lipid 1.65% DM, Fibre         |
|        |                   | (2012)            |                  | 1.81% DM, Ash 1.96% DM, Carbohydrate 11.77% DM                   |
|        |                   |                   | Fruit (Oval)     | Moisture 72.93%, Protein 4.58% DM, Lipid 2.13% DM, Fibre         |
|        |                   |                   |                  | 1.78% DM, Ash 3.15% DM, Carbohydrate 15.42% DM                   |
| 2.     | Solanum anguivi   | Oyeyemi et al.,   | Fruits (%)       | Moisture 4.58, Ash 8.89, Crude fat 5.68, Crude protein 36.35,    |
|        |                   | (2015)            |                  | Crude fiber 15.50, Carbohydrate 28.98                            |
| 3.     | Solanum           | Asaolu and Asaolu | Fruit Coat (%)   | Moisture 65.7, Crude protein 16.9, Crude fat 7.7, Total ash 3.2, |
|        | aethiopicum       | (2002)            |                  | Carbohydrate 6.5   |
|        |                   |                   | Fruit Flesh (%)  | Moisture 69.5, Crude protein 15.6, Crude fat 5.9, Total ash 1.0, |
|        |                   |                   |                  | Carbohydrate 6.5   |
|        |                   | Chinedu et al.,   | Fruit (g/100g)   | Moisture 89.27, Dry Matter 10.73, Protein 2.24, Fat 0.52, Ash    |
|        |                   | (2011)            |                  | 0.87, Crude fibre 2.96, Carbohydrate 4.14                        |

# Table 2.1. Proximate content of different vegetable crops- a review

|  | Yakeen et al., (2011)       | Leaves (%)     | Protein 29.87, Ash 10.14, Moisture 13.09, Fat 0.57, Fiber 9.23, |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|---|
|  |                             |                | СНО 37.10   |
|  | Eze and Kanu,               | Fruit (%)      | Moisture 6.69, Ash 15, Crude fibre 21.33, Lipid 37.66, Protein  |
|  | (2014)                      |                | 4.2,  |
|  | Gbadamosi et al.,           | Leaves (%)     | Moisture 9.50, Protein 17.90, Crude fat 1.85, Ash 9.95, Crude   |
|  | (2014)                      |                | fibre 15.90, Carbohydrate 44.85                                 |
|  | Sodamade et al.,            | Leaves         | Moisture 5.90, Crude fat 2.77, Crude fibre 6.79, Crude protein  |
|  | (2015)                      | (mg/100g)      | 28.92, Ash 8.32, Carbohydrate 47.31                             |
|  | Jose <i>et al.</i> , (2016) | Fruit (g/100g) | Moisture 86.6 Proteins 1.54 Titrable acidity 1.46 pH 5.70       |
|  |                             |                | Carbohydrate 3.60 Total Soluble Sugar 0.42 Starch 2.46 Fibre    |
|  |                             |                | 4.51, Total Vit C 11.6 mg/100g Ascorbic acid 4.80 mg/100g       |
|  |                             |                | Total Phenolics 24.4 mg/100g                                    |
|  | Eletta et al., (2017)       | Fruits (%)     | Moisture 91.20, Crude Protein 1.07, Crude Fat 0.38, Crude       |
|  |                             |                | Fibre 2.44, Ash 0.73, Carbohydrate 4.18, Dry Matter 8.80        |

|    |                 | Nyadanu and Lowor,          | Fruit            | Energy 46.2 kcal, Carbohydrate 10.11%, Protein 4.82%, Total     |
|----|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---|
|    |                 | (2015)                      |                  | fat 0.70%, Dietary Fiber 2.42%                                  |
| 4. | Solanum indicum | Ali, (2012)                 | Fruit            | Wet weight basis Crude fibre 8% total carbohydrate 40.67%,      |
|    |                 |                             |                  | Crude Protein 23.47%, Total ash 22.66%, Crude fat 5.26%,        |
|    |                 |                             |                  | Calorific Value 303.9, presence of alkaloids, saponins and      |
|    |                 |                             |                  | Polyphenols 7.02 mg/g   |
| 5. | Solanum         | Asaolu and Asaolu           | Fruit Coat (%)   | Moisture 73.9, Crude protein 8.9, Crude fat 5.5, Total ash 3.1, |
|    | macrocarpum     | (2002)                      |                  | Carbohydrate 8.7  |
|    |                 |                             | Fruit flesh (%)  | Moisture 84.8, Crude protein 6.1, Crude fat 1.8, Total ash 1.8, |
|    |                 |                             |                  | Carbohydrate 5.5  |
|    |                 | Oboh <i>et al.</i> , (2005) | Fresh leaves (%) | Protein 4.3, Fat 0.6, Crude Fibre 1.4, Ash 1.3, Moisture 89.7   |
|    |                 | Ijarotimi <i>et al</i> .,   | Leaves (Dry      | Ash 17.24, Protein 26.48, Fat 13.85, Fibre 10.68, Carbohydrate  |
|    |                 | (2010)                      | matter %)        | 34.31, Energy 359.80 kcal                                       |
|    |                 | Chinedu et al.,             | Fruit (g/100g)   | Moisture 92.5, Dry Matter 7.5, Protein 1.33, Fat 0.17, Ash 0.47 |
|  | (2011)                      |                | Crude Fibre 1.11, Carbohydrate 4.42                          |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
|  | Dougnon <i>et al.</i> ,     | Leaves (mg/kg) | Protein 271.6, Fat 21.670, Moisture 88.6% Ash 92.58%         |
|  | (2012)                      | Fruit (mg/kg)  | Protein 147, Fat 16.470, Moisture 92.9% Ash 90.23%           |
|  | Ajiboye <i>et al.</i> ,     |                | Ascorbic acid 340, Carbohydrate 6.4, Protein 4.6, Moisture   |
|  | (2014)                      |                | 85.6, Fibre 1.6 g/100g                                       |
|  | Ogbuagu et al.,             | Fruit (%)      | Carbohydrate 11.43, Moisture 68.35, Protein 5.07, Fats 5.00, |
|  | (2015)                      |                | Crude Fiber 3.31, Ash 5.0                                    |
|  | Ilodibia <i>et al.</i> ,    | Leaf (%)       | Moisture 85.87, Ash 1.77, Crude fibre 1.59, Crude Fat 0.77,  |
|  | (2016)                      |                | Crude protein 4.78   |
|  |                             | Root (%)       | Moisture 62.62, Ash 0.93, Crude fibre 0.91, Crude Fat 0.38,  |
|  |                             |                | Crude protein 2.89   |
|  |                             | Fruit (%)      | Moisture 78.77, Ash 1.64, Crude fibre 1.50, Crude Fat 0.47,  |
|  |                             |                | Crude protein 4.53   |
|  | Jose <i>et al.</i> , (2016) | Fruit (g/100g) | Moisture 87.2 Proteins 1.33 Titrable Acidity 1.87 pH 5.61    |

|    |                 |                       |                 | Carbohydrate 6.48 Total Souble Sugar 0.31 Starch 6.15, Fibre<br>2.86 Total Vit C 18.9 mg/100g Ascorbic Acid 6.21 mg/100g |
|----|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
|    |                 |                       |                 | Total Phenolics 144 mg/100g  |
|    |                 | Eletta et al., (2017) | Fruit (%)       | Moisture 92 Crude Protein 0.52, Crude Fat 0.15, Crude Fibre  |
|    |                 |                       |                 | 2.50, Ash 0.80, Carbohydrate 4.01, Dry Matter 8.00   |
|    |                 | Nyadanu and Lowor,    | Leaf            | Energy 58.44 Kcal, Carbohydrate 15.21%, Protein 8.71%, Total   |
|    |                 | (2015)                |                 | Fat 0.23%, Dietary fiber 2.81  |
|    |                 |                       | Fruit           | Energy 43.4 kcal, Carbohydrate 8.66%, Protein 4.31%, Total   |
|    |                 |                       |                 | fat 0.57%, Dietary Fiber 2.93%   |
| 6. | Solanum zebrina | Asaolu and Asaolu     | Fruit Coat (%)  | Moisture 72.5, Crude protein 10.1, Crude fat 6.5, Total ash 1.1,   |
|    |                 | (2002)                |                 | Carbohydrate 9.8   |
|    |                 |                       | Fruit flesh (%) | Moisture 82.9, Crude protein 7.3, Crude fat 4.6, Total ash 2.0,  |
|    |                 |                       |                 | Carbohydrate 3.2   |
| 7. | Solanum         | Asaolu and Asaolu     | Fruit Coat (%)  | Moisture 60.0, Crude protein 15.8, Crude fat 8.1, Total ash 3.5,   |

|     | sessiliflorum      | (2002)                       |                 | Carbohydrate 12.6  |
|-----|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|     |                    |                              | Fruit flesh (%) | Moisture 71.9, Crude protein 12.1, Crude fat 2.9, Total ash 2.1, |
|     |                    |                              |                 | Carbohydrate 11.0  |
| 8.  | Solanum            | Ijarotimi <i>et al</i> .,    | Leaves (Dry     | Ash 13.56, Protein 18.84, Fat 10.14, Fibre 13.79, Carbohydrate   |
|     | americanum         | (2010)                       | matter %)       | 49.38, Energy 345.72 kcal  |
| 9.  | Solanum surattense | Mali and Harsh,              | Leaves (%)      | Ash 11.85, Crude fat 1.96, Crude protein 11.11, Crude fibre      |
|     |                    | (2015)                       |                 | 33.91, Carbohydrate 75.08, Moisture 48.89                        |
|     |                    |                              | Seeds (%)       | Ash 11.24, Crude fat 4.19, Crude protein 12.83, Crude fibre      |
|     |                    |                              |                 | 20.24, Carbohydrate 71.74, Moisture 52.22                        |
| 10. | Solanum torvum     | JothiKarurmari et            | Fruits          | Moisture 73%, Organic content 88.6%, Inorganic content           |
|     |                    | al., (2014)                  |                 | 11.4%  |
|     |                    | Akoto <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Fruits          | Moisture 86.23%, Carbohydrate 7.033%, Protein 2.322%, Fats       |
|     |                    |                              |                 | 0.278%, Ash 0.143%, crude Fibre 3.393%                           |
|     |                    | Nyadanu and Lowor,           | Fruit           | Energy 43.7 kcal, Carbohydrate 7.50%, Protein 2.20%, Total       |

|     |                 | (2015)                      |                 | fat 0.76%, Dietary Fiber 5.40%                                  |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|
|     |                 | Otu et al., (2017)          | Fruit           | Moisture 84.43%, Fats 1.80%, Protein 1.44%, Fibre 0.69-         |
|     |                 |                             |                 | 1.35%, Carbohydrate 10.89%, Ash 2.6%                            |
| 11. | Nasturtium      | Rai et al., (2005)          | Young twigs     | Moisture 91.1%, Ash 1.7%, Fat 1.1%, Protein 3.1%,               |
|     | officinale      |                             |                 | Carbohydrate 3.0%   |
|     | (Watercress)    | Shad <i>et al.</i> , (2013) | Aerial part (%) | Moisture 80.08, Ash 3.07, Protein 3.61, Crude Fiber 9.43, Fat   |
|     |                 |                             |                 | 1.12, Carbohydrate 2.70,  |
|     |                 | Khan <i>et al.</i> , (2016) | Leaves (%)      | Moisture 87.50, Ash 0.36, Lipid 0.81, Protein 2.85, Fiber 1.06, |
|     |                 |                             |                 | Carbohydrate 7.40,  |
|     |                 | Pradhan et al.,             | Leaves          | Moisture 90.6%, Ash 24.9% DM, Protein 33.8% DM, Fat 9.6%        |
|     |                 | (2015)                      |                 | DM, Carbohydrate 31.7% DM, Crude Fibre 9.9% DM                  |
| 12. | Tupistra nutans | Rai et al., (2005)          | Inflorescence   | Moisture 91.5%, Ash 1.1%, Fat 2.9%, Protein 2.4%,               |
|     | (Nakima)        |                             |                 | Carbohydrate 2.1%   |
| 13. | Phlogacanthus   | Rai et al., (2005)          | Inflorescence   | Moisture 79.1%, Ash 2.4%, Fat 0.8%, Protein 1.5%,               |

|     | tbyrsittorus (Titay) |                           |                  | Carbohydrate 16.2%  |
|-----|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---|
| 14. | Hottuynia cordata    | Rai et al., (2005)        | Whole plant      | Moisture 88.3%, Ash 1.7%, Fat 0.8%, Protein 4.1%,             |
|     | (Hilay jhar)         |                           |                  | Carbohydrate 5.1%   |
| 15. | Urtica dioica        | Rai et al., (2005)        | Inflorecence and | Moisture 84.6%, Ash 2.4, Fat 0.7%, Protein 4.7%,              |
|     | (Sishnu)             |                           | young twigs      | Carbohydrate 7.6%   |
|     |                      | Pradhan <i>et al.</i> ,   | Leaves           | Moisture 84.5%, Ash 18.9% DM, Protein 28.5% DM, Fat 5.2%      |
|     |                      | (2015)                    |                  | DM, Carbohydrate 47.4% DM, Crude Fibre 13.2% DM               |
| 16. | Ficus benjamina      | Rai et al., (2005)        | Leaf buds        | Moisture 94.5%, Ash 0.4%, Fat 1.0%, Protein 1.9%,             |
|     | (Kabra)              |                           |                  | Carbohydrate 2.1%   |
| 17. | Aconogonum molle     | Rai et al., (2005)        | Young twigs      | Moisture 93.3%, Ash 0.8%, Fat 0.5%, Protein 2.6%,             |
|     | (Thotnay)            |                           |                  | Carbohydrate 2.8%   |
| 18. | Diplazium            | Rai et al., (2005)        | Young fronds     | Moisture 93.1%, Ash 1.3%, Fat 2.0%, Protein 2.6%,             |
|     | esculentum (Ningro)  |                           |                  | Carbohydrate 1.0%   |
| 19. | Basella alba         | Ijarotimi <i>et al.</i> , | Leaves (Dry      | Ash 11.42, Protein 28.52, Fat 14.31, Fibre 6.62, Carbohydrate |

|     |                     | (2010)                | matter %)  | 41.63, Energy 401.37 kcal                                       |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------------|------------|---|
| 20. | Talinum triangulare | Yakeen et al., (2011) | Leaf (%)   | Protein 15.85, Ash 23.74, Moisture 17.47, Fat 0.44, Fiber 9.42, |
|     |                     |                       |            | CHO 33.08   |
|     |                     | Ajiboye et al.,       |            | Ascorbic acid 284, Carbohydrate 4.8, Protein 5.0, Moisture      |
|     |                     | (2014)                |            | 93.0, Fibre 1.4 g/100g  |
| 21. | Nymphaea lotus      | Gbadamosi et al.,     | Leaves (%) | Moisture 8.70, Protein 15.60, Crude fat 1.60, Ash 9.20, Crude   |
|     |                     | (2014)                |            | fibre 15.40, Carbohydrate 49.45                                 |

Abbreviations: DM- Dry Matter

Inclusion of fruits and vegetables with high dietary fiber content is recommended for some cancer types (Weisburger *et al.*, 1993; Harris and Ferguson, 1993). The proximate content of wild and indigenous vegetables was evaluated by several researchers which were listed in Table 2.1 with their nutritional content and part of the plant used for analysis.

## 2.3 Mineral Composition

All the indigenous and wild vegetables are reported to be enriched with the all essential and beneficial elements required for the balanced growth and functioning of human body. Some minerals are essential for human nutrition (e.g., Fe, Cu, Se and Zn), while others such as Cr, Cd, Ni, As and Pb were beneficial possibly through the formation of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) (Rojas et al., 1999; Linder, 2001). The trace elements essentially act as cofactors for antioxidant enzymes involved in the destruction of toxic free radicals produced in the body as a normal consequence of the metabolic processes. Three key trace elements *i.e.* Zinc, Selenium and Iron with roles in antioxidant defense are gradually gaining attention. Apparently, Fe, Cu, Zn and Se are necessary to maintain genetic stability and nutritional well-being of humans and animals (Rojas et al., 1999). Recent studies suggest that ultra-trace elements, such as As and Ni, may also play a role both in animal and human nutrition (Rojas et al., 1999). In the last 20 years, evidence has been accumulated to support the role of zinc as a cellular antioxidant (Powell, 2000). Although zinc does not react directly with ROS, a number of indirect mechanisms have been described (Powell, 2000; DiSilvestro, 2000). One of the ways in which zinc acts as an antioxidant is through the induction of the metallothioneins, a group of low-molecular-weight amino acid residues, usually produced in many tissues including the liver, gut and kidney. For

instance, cadmium is present in spinach and cauliflower, while lead is found in Brussels sprouts and Chinese beets. Many vegetables including indigenous and wild were subjected for elemental analysis across the globe. The nutrient content reported by many researchers are listed in Table 2.2.

Iron is a key element in the metabolism of almost all living organisms. In Humans, iron is an essential component of hundreds of proteins and enzymes (Wood and Ronnenberg, 2006). Haeme is an iron-containing compound found in a number of biologically important molecules like haemoglobin and myoglobin that are involved in the transport and storage of oxygen. Cytochromes are haeme-containing compounds that have important roles in mitochondrial electron transport; therefore, cytochromes are critical to cellular energy production and thus life. Non-haeme ironcontaining enzymes, such as NADH dehydrogenase and succinate dehydrogenase, are also critical to energy metabolism (Yip and Dallman, 1996). Ribonucleotide reductase is an iron-dependent enzyme that is required for DNA synthesis (Beard and Dawson, 1997; Fairbanks, 1999). Thus, iron is required for a number of vital functions, including growth, reproduction, healing, and immune function.

Zinc is an essential trace element for all forms of life. Numerous aspects of cellular metabolism are zinc-dependent. Zinc plays important roles in growth and development, the immune response, neurological function, and reproduction. On the cellular level, the function of zinc can be divided into three categories namely, catalytic, structural and regulatory (Cousins, 2006). Nearly 100 different enzymes depend on zinc for their ability to catalyze vital chemical reactions. Zinc plays an important role in the structure of proteins and cell membranes. Loss of zinc from biological membranes increases their susceptibility to oxidative damage and impairs

| Table 2.2. M | ineral composition | n of different | vegetable crops- a | ı review |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------|
|              |                    |                |                    |          |

| S. No. | Сгор                | Author (s)             | Plant parts   | Mineral Composition                             |
|--------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|---|
|        |                     |                        | used          |   |
| 1.     | Solanum melongena   | Agoreyo et al., (2012) | Fruit (Round) | Ca 3.68, Fe 2.75, Mg 2.26, P 3.72, Zn 0.25, Na  |
|        |                     |                        | (mg/100g)     | 184.21, K 238.10, N 930                         |
|        |                     |                        | Fruit (Oval)  | Ca 1.95, Fe 1.96, Mg 2.56, P 5.23, Zn 0.25, Na  |
|        |                     |                        | (mg/100g)     | 174.93, K 245.37, N 730 mg/100g                 |
| 2.     | Solanum anguivi     | Oyeyemi et al., (2015) | Fruits        | Ca 0.49%, Na 0.03%, K 2.03%, Fe 222.25 mg/kg,   |
|        |                     |                        |               | Mg 255 mg/kg, Mn 27.65, Zn 28.15 mg/kg, Cu      |
|        |                     |                        |               | 13.80 mg/kg, P 650.86 mg/kg                     |
| 3.     | Solanum aethiopicum | Asaolu and Asaolu      | Fruit Coat    | Na 301, K 200, Mg 20.1, Ca 9.5, P 26.1, Cu 2.7, |
|        |                     | (2002)                 | (mg/100g)     | Fe 0.9, Mn 0.2, Zn 0.3, Co 0.2                  |
|        |                     |                        | Fruit flesh   | Na 230, K 160, Mg 16.8, Ca 9.8, P 35.0, Cu 2.0, |
|        |                     |                        | (mg/100g)     | Fe 1.1, Mn 0.2, Zn 0.5, Co 0.4                  |

|    |                     | Chinedu <i>et al.</i> , (2011) | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 498.47, Mg 1.98, Fe 1.02                     |
|----|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
|    |                     | Yakeen et al., (2011)          | Leaf (ppm)      | Fe 0.80, Zn 0.99, Pb 0.04, Cu 0.09, Mg 165.2    |
|    |                     | Eze and Kanu, (2014)           | Fruit (mg/g)    | Ca 0.12, K 4250, P 1.14, Mg 0.56, Na 0.63, Pd   |
|    |                     |                                |                 | 0.03, Zn 0.115, Fe 0.63, Cu 0.3, Cd 0.015, Se   |
|    |                     |                                |                 | 0.015   |
|    |                     | Gbadamosi et al., (2014)       | Leaves          | P 60, Ca 95, Fe 6, Mn 0.08, Mg 3.50, Zn 0.05    |
|    |                     |                                | (mg/100g)       |   |
|    |                     | Sodamade et al., (2015)        | Leaves          | Na 0.21, K 0.43, Ca 0.23, Mg 0.29, P 0.20, Fe   |
|    |                     |                                | (mg/100g)       | 124.95, Cu 2.45, Zn 33.5, Mn 4.05, Se 0.02      |
|    |                     | Nyadanu and Lowor,             | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 76.6, Cu 2.76, Fe 16.28, Mg 25.66, Mn 11.21, |
|    |                     | (2015)                         |                 | P 31.62, Zn 2.85, K 543.18                      |
| 4. | Solanum macrocarpum | Asaolu and Asaolu              | Fruit Coat      | Na 362, K 215, Mg 19.8, Ca 9.5, P 25.0, Cu 1.6, |
|    |                     | (2002)                         | (mg/100g)       | Fe 1.8, Mn 0.7, Zn 0.2, Co 0.3                  |
|    |                     |                                | Fruit flesh     | Na 320, K 195, Mg 18.1, Ca 7.8, P 38.8, Cu 1.1, |

|                                | (mg/100g)       | Fe 2.0, Mn 2.6, Zn 0.5, Co 0.6              |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Oboh <i>et al.</i> , (2005)    | fresh leaves    | Ca 32.6, Zn 8.2                             |
|                                | (mg/kg)         |   |
| Ijarotimi et al., (2010)       | Leaves          | Zn 6.44, Fe 1.39, Cu 1.61, Na 1658.60, K    |
|                                | (mg/100g of     | 2857.15, Ca 3496.86, Mg 4661.74, P 2793.52, |
|                                | Dry matter)     |   |
| Chinedu <i>et al.</i> , (2011) | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 101.56, Mg 1.01, Fe 0.70                 |
| Dougnon <i>et al.</i> , (2012) | Leaves (mg/kg)  | Na 1760.33, K 45.960,Ca 19.650, Mg 5960, Fe |
|                                |                 | 251, Cu 40, Zn 220, P 4300                  |
|                                | Fruit (mg/kg)   | Na 1370.33, K 37.410, Ca 3770, Mg 2430, Fe  |
|                                |                 | 203, Cu 34, Zn 156, P 5100                  |
| Ajiboye <i>et al.</i> , (2014) |                 | Ca 2.43, K 5.67, Mg 1.93, Na 4.56, Fe 0.07  |
|                                |                 | mg/100g                                     |
| Ogbuagu <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Fruit (ppm)     | Cu 0.158, Mn 0.696, Zn 5.239, Mg 11.304, Fe |

|    |                 |                            |                 | 4.539, Co 0.123, Cr 0.073, Al 0.000, Ca 30.425,<br>Pb 0.030, Cd 0.018, Ni 0.040 |
|----|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|
|    |                 | Ojo <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Fruit (mg/100g  | Ca 1704, K 2289, Mg 637.1, P 275.9, Fe 9.4, Mn                                  |
|    |                 |                            | of dry matter)  | 8.5, Zn 5.4, Cu 4.7   |
|    |                 | Usunomena and Chinwe,      | Leaves          | Ca 256.60, Cu 0.62, Mg 81.69, K 87.22, Na                                       |
|    |                 | (2016)                     | (mg/100g)       | 32.51, Fe 31.41, Zn 1.41, Cr 0.75   |
|    |                 | Nyadanu and Lowor,         | Leaves          | Ca 252.29, Cu 1.84, Fe 22.34, Mg 355.62, Mn                                     |
|    |                 | (2015)                     | (mg/100g)       | 5.68, P 62.79, Zn 3.21, K 326.54  |
|    |                 |                            | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 72.5, Cu 1.82, Fe 11.20, Mg 18.73, Mn 8.31,                                  |
|    |                 |                            |                 | P 27.53, Zn 3.13, K 329.52  |
| 5. | Solanum zebrina | Asaolu and Asaolu          | Fruit Coat      | Na 320, K 230, Mg 19.1, Ca 8.5, P 45.3, Cu 1.7,                                 |
|    |                 | (2002)                     | (mg/100g)       | Fe 1.1, Mn 0.5, Zn 0.3, Co 0.1  |
|    |                 |                            | Fruit flesh     | Na 312, K 190, Mg 17.8, Ca 6.9, P 50.0, Cu 1.6,                                 |
|    |                 |                            | (mg/100g)       | Fe 1.6, Mn 1.9, Zn 0.5, Co 0.3  |

| б. | Solanum sessiliflorum | Asaolu and Asaolu            | Fruit Coat      | Na 460, K 312, Mg 37.5, Ca 45.3, P 92.8, Cu 6.9, |
|----|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|    |                       | (2002)                       | (mg/100g)       | Fe 2.9, Mn 8.1, Zn 1.2, Co 1.2                   |
|    |                       |                              | Fruit flesh     | Na 320, K 118, Mg 23.3, Ca 28.1, P 35.4, Cu 2.9, |
|    |                       |                              | (mg/100g)       | Fe 1.0, Mn 4.6, Zn 0.8, Co 0.7                   |
| 7. | Solanum americanum    | Ijarotimi et al., (2010)     | Leaves          | Zn 1.02, Fe 0.47, Cu 0.83, Na 2056.15, K 941.10, |
|    |                       |                              | (mg/100g of     | Ca 1535.14, Mg 1422.73, P 1462.53                |
|    |                       |                              | Dry matter)     |  |
| 8. | Solanum surattense    | Mali and Harsh, (2015)       | Leaves          | Ca 1.17, K 0.19, Na 0.10, P 0.39                 |
|    |                       |                              | (mg/100g)       |  |
|    |                       |                              | Seeds           | Ca 1.52, K 0.22, Na 0.02, P 0.51                 |
|    |                       |                              | (mg/100g)       |  |
| 9. | Solanum torvum        | Akoto <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Fruit           | Fe 76.869, Mn 19.466, Ca 221.583, Cu 2.642, Zn   |
|    |                       |                              |                 | 21.460 mg/kg                                     |
|    |                       | Nyadanu and Lowor,           | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 59, Cu 2.55, Fe 10.6, Mg 38.56, Mn 13.11, P   |

|     |                          | (2015)                         |                 | 67.39, Zn 5.81, K 399.73                         |
|-----|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|     |                          | Otu <i>et al.</i> , (2017)     | Fruit (mg/100g) | Ca 67.35, Fe 77.60, K 695                        |
| 10. | Nasturtium officinale    | Rai et al., (2005)             | Young twigs     | Na 72.4, K 481.7, Ca 60.1                        |
|     | (Watercress)             |                                | (mg/100g)       |  |
|     |                          | Shad <i>et</i> al., (2013)     | Aerial part     | Se 0.025, Cd 0.001, Cr 0.003, Zn 0.045, Cu 1.07, |
|     |                          |                                | (mg/100g)       | Mn 0.046, Fe 4.18, Ni 0.083, Na 19.23, K 278.90, |
|     |                          |                                |                 | Ca 153, Mg 6.48, P 14.50                         |
|     |                          | Khan <i>et al.</i> , (2016)    | Leaves          | Na 320.30, K 1608, Ca 870, Mg 30, Fe 25, Cu 20,  |
|     |                          |                                | (mg/100g)       | Zn 40, Cr 1, Ni 2, Mn 20, Cd 0.90, Pb 0.30       |
|     |                          | Abdul et al., (2014)           | Leaves (ppb)    | Cr 0.001, K 107.7, Na 5.451, Fe 0.219, Mn 1.835, |
|     |                          |                                |                 | Mg 55.23, Se 175.8                               |
|     |                          | Pradhan <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Leaves          | Na 68.8, K 456.2, Ca 65.6,                       |
|     |                          |                                | (mg/100g)       |  |
| 11. | Tupistra nutans (Nakima) | Rai et al., (2005)             | Inflorescence   | Na 3.1, K 292.1,Ca 200.6                         |

|     |                          |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
|-----|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 12. | Phlogacanthus            | Rai <i>et al.</i> , (2005) | Inflorescence | Na 2.9, K 722.9, Ca 105.0  |
|     | tbyrsittorus (Titay)     |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
| 13. | Hottuynia cordata (Hilay | Rai <i>et al.</i> , (2005) | Whole plant   | Na 6.9, K 801.4, Ca 11.3   |
|     | jhar)                    |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
| 14. | Urtica dioica (Sishnu)   | Rai et al., (2005)         | Inflorecence  | Na 11.7, K 911.0, Ca 102.5 |
|     |                          |                            | and young     |                            |
|     |                          |                            | twigs         |                            |
|     |                          |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
| 15. | Ficus benjamina (Kabra)  | Rai et al., (2005)         | Leaf buds     | Na 9.2, K 222.0, Ca 11.7   |
|     |                          |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
| 16. | Aconogonum molle         | Rai <i>et al.</i> , (2005) | Young twigs   | Na 8.7, K 428.4, Ca 5.0    |
|     | (Thotnay)                |                            | (mg/100g)     |                            |
| 17. | Diplazium esculentum     | Rai et al., (2005)         | Young fronds  | Na 8.1, K 927.4, Ca 200.5  |

|     | (Ningro)            |                                 | (mg/100g)   |  |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 18. | Basella alba        | Ijarotimi et al., (2010)        | Leaves      | Zn 4.16, Fe 1.69, Cu 2.42, Na 1421.58, K       |
|     |                     |                                 | (mg/100g of | 1211.44, Ca 814.13, Mg 3132.59, P 2550.55      |
|     |                     |                                 | Dry matter) |  |
| 19. | Talinum triangulare | Yakeen <i>et al.</i> , (2011)   | Leaf (ppm)  | Fe 0.37, Zn 0.05, Pb 0.05, Mg 105.4            |
|     |                     | Ajiboye <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  |             | Ca 2.45, K 6.11, Mg 2.23, Na 0.29, Fe 0.44     |
|     |                     |                                 |             | mg/100g  |
| 20. | Nymphaea lotus      | Gbadamosi et al., (2014)        | Leaves      | P 120, Ca 160, Fe 8, Mn 0.01, Mg 2.00, Zn 0.01 |
|     |                     |                                 | (mg/100g)   |  |
| 21. | Cyclanthera pedata  | Oliveira <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruits      | Ca 11.9, Cu 0.013, Fe 0.21, K 152, Mg 8.4, Mn  |
|     |                     |                                 | (mg/100g)   | 0.074, Na 0.91, P 19.4, Zn 0.13, V 0.015       |

their function (O'Dell, 2000). Zinc also plays a role in cell signalling and has been found to influence hormone release and nerve impulse transmission.

Calcium is the most common mineral in the human body. About 99% of the calcium in the body is found in bones and teeth, while the other 1% is found in the blood and soft tissue. Calcium levels in the blood and fluid surrounding the cells must be maintained within a very narrow concentration range for normal physiological functioning. The physiological functions of calcium are so vital for survival that the body will demineralize bone to maintain normal blood calcium levels when calcium intake is inadequate. Thus, adequate dietary calcium is a critical factor in maintaining a healthy skeleton (Weaver and Heaney, 1999). Osteoporosis may result when bone resorption chronically exceeds formation (Weaver and Heaney, 1999). Calcium plays a role in mediating the constriction and relaxation of blood vessels, nerve impulse transmission, muscle contraction, and the secretion of hormones like insulin (Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, 1997). Calcium is necessary to stabilize a number of proteins and enzymes, optimizing their activities. The binding of calcium ions is required for the activation of the seven vitamin K-dependent clotting factors in the coagulation cascade.

Magnesium plays important roles in the structure and the function of the human body. The adult human body contains about 25 grams of magnesium. Over 60% of all the magnesium in the body is found in the skeleton, about 27% is found in muscle, 6% to 7% is found in other cells, and less than 1% is found outside of cells (Shils, 1997). Magnesium is involved in more than 300 essential metabolic reactions (Spencer *et al.*, 1994). The metabolism of carbohydrates and fats to produce energy requires numerous magnesium-dependent chemical reactions. Magnesium is required

by the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-synthesizing protein in mitochondria. ATP, the molecule that provides energy for almost all metabolic processes, exists primarily as a complex with magnesium (Rude and Shils, 2006). Magnesium is required for a number of steps during nucleic acid and protein synthesis. Several enzymes participating in the synthesis of carbohydrates and lipids require magnesium for their activity. Glutathione, an important antioxidant, requires magnesium for its synthesis (Rude and Shils, 2006). Magnesium plays a structural role in bone, cell membranes, and chromosomes. Magnesium is required for the active transport of ions like potassium and calcium across cell membranes. Through its role in ion transport systems, magnesium affects the conduction of nerve impulses, muscle contraction, and normal heart rhythm. Calcium and magnesium levels in the fluid surrounding cells affect the migration of a number of different cell types. Such effects on cell migration may be important in wound healing (Rude and Shils, 2006).

Phosphorus is an essential mineral that is required by every cell in the body for normal function (Knochel, 2006). The majority of the phosphorus in the body is found as phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub>). Approximately 85% of the body's phosphorus is found in bone. Phosphorus is a major structural component of bone in the form of a calcium phosphate salt called hydroxyapatite. Phospholipids are major structural components of cell membranes. All energy production and storage are dependent on phosphorylated compounds, such as ATP and creatine phosphate. Nucleic acids, which are responsible for the storage and transmission of genetic information, are long chains of phosphate-containing molecules. A number of enzymes, hormones, and cell-signalling molecules depend on phosphorylation for their activation. Phosphorus also helps to maintain normal acid-base balance by acting as one of the body's most important buffers. Additionally, the phosphorus-containing molecule 2,3diphosphoglycerate (2,3-DPG) binds to haemoglobin in red blood cells and affects oxygen delivery to the tissues of the body (Knochel, 2006).

Potassium is an essential dietary mineral and electrolyte. Normal body function depends on tight regulation of potassium concentrations both inside and outside of cells (Peterson, 1997). Potassium concentrations are about 30 times higher inside than outside cells, while sodium concentrations are more than ten times lower inside than outside cells. The concentration differences between potassium and sodium across cell membranes create an electrochemical gradient known as the membrane potential. Tight control of cell membrane potential is critical for nerve impulse transmission, muscle contraction, and heart function (Brody, 1999; Sheng, 2000). A limited number of enzymes require the presence of potassium for their activity. The activation of sodium, potassium-ATPase requires the presence of sodium and potassium. The presence of potassium is also required for the activity of pyruvate kinase, an important enzyme in carbohydrate metabolism (Sheng, 2000).

Copper (Cu) is an essential trace element for humans and animals. In the body, copper shifts between the cuprous (Cu<sup>1+</sup>) and cupric (Cu<sup>2+</sup>) forms, though the majority of the body's copper is in the Cu<sup>2+</sup> form. The ability of copper to easily accept and donate electrons explains its important role in oxidation-reduction reactions and in scavenging free radicals (Linder and Hazegh-Azam, 1996). Copper is a critical functional component for a number of essential enzymes known as cuproenzymes *i.e.* cytochrome *c* oxidase which plays a critical role in cellular energy production. Two copper-containing enzymes, ferroxidase I and ferroxidase II have the capacity to oxidize ferrous iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) to ferric iron (Fe<sup>3+</sup>), the form of iron that can be loaded onto the protein transferrin for transport to the site of red blood cell formation. The

myelin sheath is made of phospholipids whose synthesis depends on cytochrome *c* oxidase activity (Turnlund, 2006). The cuproenzyme, tyrosinase, is required for the formation of the pigment melanin which formed in cells called melanocytes and plays a role in the pigmentation of the hair, skin, and eyes (Turnlund, 2006). Copper-dependent transcription factors regulate transcription of specific genes. Thus, cellular copper levels may affect the synthesis of proteins by enhancing or inhibiting the transcription of specific genes. Genes regulated by copper-dependent transcription factors include genes for copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (Cu/Zn SOD), catalase, and proteins related to the cellular storage of copper (Uauy *et al.*, 1998).

#### 2.4 Phenolic compounds

Phenolic compounds can be defined a large number of naturally occurring organic compounds (more than 8000) widely dispersed throughout the plant kingdom that possesses at least one aromatic ring with one or more hydroxyl groups attached to the ring. Most naturally occurring phenolic compounds exist as conjugates with monosaccharides and polysaccharides linked to one or more of the phenolic groups (Harbone, 1998). Phenolics are produced in plants as secondary metabolites via the shikimic acid pathway. Phenylalanine ammonialyase (PAL) is the key enzyme catalyzing the biosynthesis of phenolics from the aromatic amino acid phenylalanine.

Phenolic acids, flavonoids and tannins are the main dietary phenolics. Phenolic acids include hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids. Flavonoids are a diverse group of secondary plant metabolites that include flavonols, flavanols, flavanones and flavones. The major classes of tannins in the plant kingdom are hydrolysable and condensed tannins (Harbone, 1998).

#### 2.4.1 Importance of phenolic compounds

Phenolic compounds have received substantial attention for being potentially protective factors against cancer and heart diseases, in part because of their potent antioxidative properties and their ubiquity in a wide range of commonly consumed foods of plant origin. Plant-based foods contain significant amounts of bioactive compounds, which provide desirable health benefits beyond basic nutrition. Epidemiological evidence suggests that consumption of a diet rich in vegetables and fruits has positive implications for human health. In the last decades, special attention has been paid towards edible plants, especially those that are rich in secondary metabolites (frequently called phytochemicals) and nowadays, there is an increasing interest in the antioxidant activity of such phytochemicals present in the diet.

#### 2.4.2 Phenolics contribution to Flavour

Phenolic compounds may contribute to the aroma and taste of numerous food products of animal and plant origin. Singleton and Nobel, (1976) related the presence of chlorogenic acid, other hydroxycinnamates and, in particular, oligomeric proanthocyanidins to the bitterness and astiry of wine and cider. Similarly, Dadic and Belleau, (1973) associated bitterness to the presence of phenolics.

## 2.4.3 Phenolics as Natural Food Pigments

A large and diversified group of phenolic substances known as flavonoids is responsible for the colour of fruits and vegetables. For example, anthocyanins are responsible for pink, scarlet, red, mauve, blue, and violet colours of vegetables, fruits, fruit juices, and wines (Mazza and Miniati, 1994). Other flavonoids also may contribute to the colour of food products. Some of the groups of yellow or ivory flavonoid pigments that can be found in plants include flavonols, flavones, chalcones, aurones, flavanones, isoflavanones and biflavonyls (Mazza and Miniati, 1994).

## 2.4.4 Phenolics contribution to Stress Resistance

In response to stress, plants may use phenolics already present in cells, Phenolics formed after stress from compounds existing in cells as a result of hydrolysis or oxidation or initiate biosynthesis of phenolics that contribute to the healing process. The last group of phenolics that possess antimicrobial properties are phytoalexins (Macheix *et al.*, 1990). The usual response of the plant to stress is an increase in total phenolics content, especially chlorogenic acid (Rhodes and Wooltorton, 1978). Immediately after injury, there is an oxidation of existing phenolics, their subsequent degradation and decrease in phenolics content (Rhodes and Wooltorton, 1978).

## 2.4.5 Antimicrobial Properties

Many preservatives are added to foods either as antioxidants or as antimicrobial agents. Phenolic compounds are known to possess both of these properties. However, the lipophilic nature of phenols may reduce their antimicrobial properties (Baranowski and Nagel, 1984). Gallic acid, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid and related phenolics have been found to retard or partially inhibit the growth and toxin production of *Clostridium botulinum* types A and B and the inhibitory activity increases with a decrease in the bacterial concentration (Pierson and Reddy, 1982). Mould growth has been found to be inhibited by naturally occurring ethyl *p*-methoxycinnamate at concentrations of 10 to 50 ppm (Gupta and Banerjee, 1976). *p*-coumaric acid at a 100 ppm level increases the lag phase of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and at concentrations > 250 ppm, the inhibition after 72-hour growth is proportional

to its concentration, whereas ferulic acid at 50 ppm brings about an increase in the lag phase and even complete inhibition is achieved at low concentrations of 250 ppm (Baranowski *et al.*, 1980).

#### 2.4.6 Anti-viral Activity

A number of flavonoids present in foods of plant origin possess antiviral activity. For example, tannins from strawberries have the ability to inactivate polio, enteric, and herpes viruses (Konowalchuk and Spiers, 1976). Quercetin, a flavonol aglycone, found in a number of fruits such as apple, apricot, fig, plum, strawberry, and tomato, has shown antiviral activities against herpes simplex virus type 1, Parainfluenza virus type 3, and poliovirus type 1 both in the *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies (Middleton, 1986; Musci, 1986).

## 2.4.7 Anti-inflammatory Activity

Phenolic compounds extracted from bilberry juice, *Vaccinium myrtillus*, after fermentation constitute the active principal of a drug used for vascular protection. Anthocyanin's present in the extract act on capillary permeability and fragility (Wagner, 1985). In skin inflammation, tumour necrosis factor (TNF) plays an important role as a potent activator of normal human keratinocytes (NHK) and as an inducer of pro-inflammatory mediators including Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) and interleukin-8 (IL-8). Trompezinski *et al.*, (2003) demonstrated that green tea extract and (-) epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) inhibited in a dose-dependent manner the upregulation of both VEGF and IL-8 in TNF $\alpha$ -stimulated keratinocytes. Their results confirm the anti-inflammatory activity of green tea extract and the role of its major polyphenolic constituent EGCG.

## 2.4.8 Anti-tumour Activity

Phenolic compounds have been reported to have antitumor activities, especially the flavonoids. The (-) epigallocatechin-3-gallate, a polyphenolic component of green tea, has been found to reduce the incidences of spontaneously and chemically induced tumours in experimental animals, as observed for tumours of liver, stomach, skin, lungs, and oesophagus (Huang *et al.*, 1992). Resveratrol has been shown to inhibit ribonucleotide reductase and certain other cellular events associated with initiation, promotion, and progression of carcinogenesis (Jang *et al.*, 1997). Administration of 25  $\mu$ M of resveratrol reduced the number of skin tumours in mice by 98% and reduced the number of mice with tumours by 88% (Jang *et al.*, 1997).

## 2.4.9 Anti-cancer Activity

The vegetables and fruits possess ellagic and chlorogenic acids, which serve as potential chemoprevention against several carcinogens (Huang *et al.*, 1992). Quercetin and rutin have the capability of inhibiting colonic neoplasia induced by azoxymethanol (Deschner, 1992). It has been suggested that regular consumption of tannins may induce the development of a defensive mechanism by animals and human beings to lower the risk of cancer. This may include utilization of very specialized tannin-binding proteins. For example, the mammalian herbivores produced salivary proteins with a high affinity for tannins (Salunkhe *et al.*, 1989). On the other hand, some tannins may exert anti-carcinogenic effects by acting as free radical scavengers.

#### 2.4.10 Reduction of Coronary Heart Disease Risk

In a cohort study conducted in Finland (Knekt *et al.*, 1996) with 5,133 men and women, aged 30 to 69 years, onions and apples, rich sources of dietary flavonoids

| S. No | Plant Species | Author (s)                     | Plant part used | Remarks   |
|-------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1.    | Solanum       | Agoreyo et al., (2012)         | Fruit (Round)   | Phytate 28.19, Oxalate 41.72, Alkaloid 1.16, Tanin 12.82,       |
|       | melongena     |                                |                 | Saponin 5.34 mg/100g  |
|       |               |                                | Fruit (Oval)    | Phytate 18.67, Oxalate 23.97, Alkaloid 0.99, Tanin 11.34,       |
|       |               |                                |                 | Saponin 11.63 mg/100g   |
| 2.    | Solanum       | Ajiboye <i>et al.</i> , (2014) |                 | Alkaloid (+), Flavonoid (+), Saponin (+), Tanin (+), Inulin (-) |
|       | macrocarpon   | Chinedu <i>et al.</i> , (2011) | Fruit           | Alkaloids (+++) Cardiac Glycosides (++), Flavonoids (++),       |
|       |               |                                |                 | Phytosterols (+), Saponins (+++), Steroids (-), Tanins (++),    |
|       |               |                                |                 | Terpinoids (+)  |
|       |               | Ojo et al., (2015)             | Fruit           | Tanin 6.39 mg/g, Total Phenol 13.07 mg/g, Oxalates 1.8%         |
|       |               | Ilodibia et al., (2016)        | Leaf (%)        | Tanin 1.85, Saponin 2.30, Alkaloid 3.17, Flavonoid 0.95         |
|       |               |                                | Root (%)        | Tanin0.77, Saponin 1.67, Alkaloid 0.92, Flavonoid 0.74          |
|       |               |                                | Fruit (%)       | Tanin 0.65, Saponin 1.59, Alkaloid 0.80, Flavonoid 0.67         |

# Table 2.3. Phytochemical studies in different vegetable crops- a review

|    |                 | Usunomena and                  | Leaves         | Falvonoid (+), Saponins (+), Alkaloids (+), Tanins (-), Phenols |
|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---|
|    |                 | Chinwe, (2016)                 |                | (+), Glycosides (+), Anthraquinones (-)                         |
|    |                 | Eletta et al., (2017)          |                | Alkaloid (+++), Saponins (+++), Flavonoids (+), Tanins (++),    |
|    |                 |                                |                | Terpenoids (+), Steroids (-), Cardiac Glycosides (+),           |
|    |                 |                                |                | Anthraquinones (-)  |
| 3. | Talinum         | Ajiboye <i>et al.</i> , (2014) |                | Alkaloid (+), Flavonoid (+), Saponin (+), Tanin (+), Inulin (-) |
|    | triangulare     |                                |                |   |
| 4. | Solanum indicum | Ali, (2012)                    | Fruit          | Alkaloid (+), Saponins (++)                                     |
|    |                 | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  | Fruit (aqueous | Phenols 3.76 mgGAE/g, Flavonoids 1.50 mgQE/g, Gallic acid       |
|    |                 |                                | extract)       | 39.90 mg/g, Catechin 4.17 mg/g, Chlorogenic acid 10.63,         |
|    |                 |                                |                | Caffeic Acid 27.21 mg/g, Ellagic Acid ND, Epicatechin 8.54      |
|    |                 |                                |                | mg/g, Rutin 21.78 mg/g, Quercitrin ND, Quercetin 9.15 mg/g,     |
|    |                 |                                |                | Kaempferol ND, Isoquercitrin 23.41 mg/g                         |
| 5. | Solanum         | Chinedu <i>et al.</i> , (2011) | Fruit          | Alkaloids (+++) Cardiac Glycosides (++), Flavonoids (++),       |
|    |                 |                                |                |   |

|    | aethiopicum    |                             |                  | Phytosterols (+++), Saponins (+++), Steroids (++), Tanins    |
|----|----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--|
|    |                |                             |                  | (++), Terpinoids (+)   |
|    |                | Eze and Kanu, (2014)        | Fruit (%)        | Alkaloid 5, Flavonoid 27, Saponin 14, Tanin 3, Phenol 4.7,   |
|    |                |                             |                  | Cyanogenic glycosides 5.7                                    |
|    |                | Eletta et al., (2017)       | Fruit            | Alkaloid (+++), Saponins (+++), Flavonoids (+), Tanins (++), |
|    |                |                             |                  | Terpenoids (+), Steroids (+), Cardiac Glycosides (+),        |
|    |                |                             |                  | Anthraquinones (-)   |
|    |                | Gbadamosi et al.,           | Leaves           | Alkaloids (+), Anthraquinones (+), Carotenoids (+),          |
|    |                | (2014)                      |                  | Flavonoids (+), Saponins (+), Steroids (+), Tanins (+)       |
|    |                | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (80%       | Ac-1: Phenol 24.31 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 5.81 mg/100fw       |
|    |                |                             | ethanol extract) | Ac-2: Phenol 22.62 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 6.11 mg/100fw       |
|    |                |                             |                  | Ac-3: Phenol 42.46 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 7.93 mg/100fw       |
| 6. | Nymphaea lotus | Gbadamosi et al.,           | Leaves           | Alkaloids (+), Anthraquinones (+), Carotenoids (+),          |
|    |                | (2014)                      |                  | Flavonoids (+), Saponins (+), Steroids (+), Tanins (+)       |

| 7. | Solanum surattense | Mali and Harsh, (2015)      | Leaves          | Alkaloids 2.62, Kaempferol 0.67, Quercetin 0.79, Aminoacid    |
|----|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---|
|    |                    |                             | (mg/100g)       | 37.18, Ascorbic acid 79.18                                    |
|    |                    |                             | Seeds (mg/100g) | Alkaloids 1.07, Kaempferol 0.72, Quercetin 0.28, Amino acid   |
|    |                    |                             |                 | 25.38, Ascorbic Acid 96.41                                    |
| 8. | Solanum anguivi    | Oyeyemi et al., (2015)      | Fruits (%)      | Saponins 1.29, Alkaloids 0.05, Flavonoids 0.46, Tannins 0.17, |
|    |                    |                             |                 | Phenols 1.520, Steroids 1.68, Triterpenoids 0.35              |
| 9. | Nasturtium         | Shad <i>et al.</i> , (2013) | Aerial part     | Oxalate 362.66, Phytic Acid 13.55, Tanins 59.66, Phenols      |
|    | officinale         |                             | (mg/100g)       | 70.34   |
|    |                    | Khan et al., (2016)         | Leaves (mg/g)   | Total phenols 27.35, Oxalate 60.12, Phytate 20.02             |
|    |                    | Aires et al., (2013)        | Young leaves    | Phenols: 14000mg GAE/kg Dry wt., Flavonoids: 5600mg           |
|    |                    |                             | (70% methanol   | CAE/kg dry wt., Gallic acid: 16 mg/kg, Chlorogenic Acid 33.0  |
|    |                    |                             | water extract)  | mg/kg, Caffeic Acid: 2.0 mg/kg, Quercetin: 200,               |
|    |                    |                             |                 | dicaffeoyltartaric Acid: 55 mg/kg, isorhamutin: 193 mg/kg     |
|    |                    | Boligon et al., (2013)      | Leaves          | Extract yield 10%, Phenols 104.41 mg GAE/g, Flavonoid         |
|    |                    |                             |                 |   |

|     |                |                              | (methanolic | 71.83 mg RAE/g, Rutin 0.51 mg (1.92%), Caffeic Acid 1.37  |
|-----|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|---|
|     |                |                              | Extract)    | mg (5.08%), Chlorogenic Acid 0.33 mg (1.25%)              |
|     |                | Abdul et al., (2014)         | Leaves      | Total Phenol (mg GAE/g extract): ME (121.4), WE (99.2),   |
|     |                |                              |             | EAE (83.3), CE (34.5)                                     |
| 10. | Solanum torvum | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> , | Fruit       | Raw: Methanol extract 13.9%, Phenols 5.8, Tannins 5.3     |
|     |                | (2012)                       |             | g/100g of extract   |
|     |                |                              |             | Processed: Methanol extract 10.2, Phenols 5.0, Tanins 4.5 |
|     |                |                              |             | g/100g of extract   |
|     |                | Ramamurthy et al.,           | Fruit       | Protein content (mg/100g): WE (172.3)>ME (147.1)> EE      |
|     |                | (2012)                       |             | (96.7), Total phenolic content: WE>EE>ME                  |
|     |                |                              |             | Total Flavonoid: EE>WE>ME                                 |
|     |                |                              |             | Gallic acid (µg/g): WE (1394)>ME (598)> EE(2.98)          |
|     |                |                              |             | Caffeic acid (µg/g): EE (0.65)>WE (0.58)>ME (0.54)        |
|     |                |                              |             | Rutin (µg/g): ME (18.32)>EE (14.84)>WE (1.53)             |

|  |                               |                                      | Ferulic acid (µg/g): WE (72.24)>EE (32.49)>ME (16.32)<br>Quercetin (µg/g): WE (7.68)>EE (1.91)>ME (1.59)   |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
|  | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80%<br>ethanol extract)       | Phenol 234.46 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 19.25 mg/100fw   |
|  | Rahman <i>et al.</i> , (2013) | Fresh Fruit (70%<br>ethanol extract) | Extract yield 4.9%, Total Phenol 358.25 mg GAE/100g  |
|  | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (aqueous<br>extract)           | Phenols 2.70 mgGAE/g, Flavonoids 1.60 mgQE/g, Gallic acid<br>50.34 mg/g, Catechin 12.67 mg/g, Chlorogenic acid 20.71,<br>Caffeic Acid 39.46 mg/g, Ellagic Acid 25.13 mg/g,<br>Epicatechin 14.53 mg/g, Rutin 22.18 mg/g, Quercitrin ND,<br>Quercetin 14.92 mg/g, Kaempferol ND, Isoquercitrin 28.36<br>mg/g |

|     |                 | Khatoon <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Leaves and seed | Presence of alkaloid, flavonoid, saponins, steroids, tanins, and |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|
|     |                 |                                |                 | phenols. GCMS analysis confirmed presence of Quinic acid,        |
|     |                 |                                |                 | Linoleic acid, Palmitic acid, Isopseudocumenol and Phytol        |
|     |                 |                                |                 | which are anti-microbial, anti-cancer, diuretic, anti-           |
|     |                 |                                |                 | inflammatory, anti-influenza                                     |
|     |                 | Lalmuanthanga, et al.,         | Dry Leaves      | Phenol: 5.34mf GAE/g of dry leaves                               |
|     |                 | (2015)                         |                 |  |
|     |                 | Abdulkadir <i>et al.</i> ,     |                 | Fruit: phenol 16.15 mg GAE/g, Flavonoid 1.41 mg QAE/g            |
|     |                 | (2016)                         |                 | Stem: Phenol 43.92 mf GAE/g, Flavonoid 16.21 mg QAE/g            |
|     |                 |                                |                 | Leaf: Phenol 37.48 mg GAE/g, Flavonoid 40.6 mg QAE/g             |
| 11. | S. xanthocarpum | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> ,   | Fruit           | Raw: methanol extract 5.7%, Phenols 7.6, Tannins 7.0 g/100g      |
|     |                 | (2012)                         |                 | of extract   |
|     |                 |                                |                 | Processed: methanol extract 9.3%, Phenols 6.1, Tannins 5.6       |
|     |                 |                                |                 | g/100g of extract  |

| 12. | S.violaceum | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> ,  | Fruit            | Raw: methanol extract 2.9%, Phenols 6.6, Tannins 6.2 g/100g |
|-----|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|
|     |             | (2012)                        |                  | of extract  |
|     |             |                               |                  | Processed: methanol extract 26.7, Phenols 5.0, Tannins 4.7  |
|     |             |                               |                  | g/100g of extract   |
| 13. | S. incanum  | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (aqueous   | Phenols 3.76 mgGAE/g, Flavonoids 1.50 mgQE/g, Gallic acid   |
|     |             |                               | extract)         | 6.28 mg/g, Catechin 6.13 mg/g, Chlorogenic acid 18.65,      |
|     |             |                               |                  | Caffeic Acid 23.79 mg/g, Ellagic Acid 13.26 mg/g,           |
|     |             |                               |                  | Epicatechin 2.51 mg/g, Rutin 12.38 mg/g, Quercitrin 6.45    |
|     |             |                               |                  | mg/g, Quercetin 27.05 mg/g, Kaempferol 12.68 mg/g,          |
|     |             |                               |                  | Isoquercitrin ND  |
|     |             | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80%       | Phenol 178.33 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 25.96 mg/100fw          |
|     |             |                               | ethanol extract) |   |
| 14. | S. kumba    | Nwanna et al., (2014)         | Fruit (aqueous   | Phenols 3.44 mgGAE/g, Flavonoids 1.22 mgQE/g, Gallic acid   |
|     |             |                               | extract)         | 17.36 mg/g, Catechin 4.25 mg/g, Chlorogenic acid 30.81,     |

|     |                  |                               |                                | Caffeic Acid 19.76 mg/g, Ellagic Acid 25.13 mg/g,<br>Epicatechin 6.32 mg/g, Rutin 16.89 mg/g, Quercitrin 12.45<br>mg/g, Quercetin 26.47 mg/g, Kaempferol 7.08 mg/g,<br>Isoquercitrin ND  |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 15. | S. gilo          | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (aqueous<br>extract)     | <ul> <li>Phenols 2.83 mgGAE/g, Flavonoids 1.22 mgQE/g, Gallic acid</li> <li>2.73 mg/g, Catechin 5.39 mg/g, Chlorogenic acid 20.67,</li> <li>Caffeic Acid 2.15 mg/g, Ellagic Acid 2.93 mg/g, Epicatechin</li> <li>3.12 mg/g, Rutin 4.98 mg/g, Quercitrin 6.17 mg/g, Quercetin</li> <li>12.58 mg/g, Kaempferol 10.92 mg/g, Isoquercitrin ND</li> </ul> |
| 16. | S. sysmbrifolium | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80%<br>ethanol extract) | Phenol 83.57 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 7.47 mg/100fw   |
| 17. | S. khasianum     | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80%<br>ethanol extract) | Phenol 206.37 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 15.97 mg/100fw   |
| 18. | S. integrifolium | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80%                     | Phenol 36.63 mg/100g fw, Flavonoid 8.25 mg/100fw   |

|     |                   |                       | ethanol extract) |  |
|-----|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 19. | Capsicum annum    | Acunha et al., (2017) | Fruit            | Total Phenol 175.52 mgGAE/100g, Carotenoid 28.47 mg/100g |
| 20. | Capsicum          | Acunha et al., (2017) | Fruit            | Total Phenol 110.67 mgGAE/100g, Carotenoid 34.97 mg/100g |
|     | baccatum          |                       |                  |  |
| 21. | Capsicum chinense | Acunha et al., (2017) | Fruit            | Total Phenol 144.39 mgGAE/100g, Carotenoid 23.21 mg/100g |

were associated with a reduction in coronary heart diseases mortality. Individuals in the highest quartile for apple intake had an approximately 50% reduction in coronary mortality. Likewise, a similar reduction was reported for individuals in the highest quartile of onion consumption. In a prospective study of 34,492 post-menopausal women in Iowa (Yochum *et al.*, 1999), total flavonoid intake was associated with a decreased risk in the group with the highest flavonoid intake. Thus, much of the epidemiologic evidence suggests that flavonoids have a protective effect against coronary mortality. For those studies that have reported an association, putative mechanisms of action include inhibition of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) oxidation and inhibition of platelet aggregation and adhesion (Frankel *et al.*, 1993).

Keeping in view the above-discussed importance of phenols as a health benefit, a considerable amount of research work has done for identification, presence and quantification of various phenols in vegetables. Some of the studies related to phytochemicals screening and phenols identification and quantification has been listed in Table 2.3.

#### 2.5 Antioxidants

An antioxidant is defined as a substance that when present in low concentrations compared to those of an oxidisable substrate significantly delays or prevents oxidation of that substance (Halliwell and Guteridge, 1989). For the *in vivo* situation, the concept of antioxidants includes antioxidant enzymes, iron binding and transport proteins and other compounds affecting signal transduction and gene expression (Guteridge, 1989).

#### 2.5.1 Classes of antioxidants

Antioxidants are divided into two major classes, namely endogenous antioxidants and exogenous antioxidants.

## 2.5.1 Endogenous antioxidants

Three groups of enzymes play important roles in protecting cells from oxidative stress (Becker *et al.*, 2004). Firstly, superoxide dismutases (SOD) are enzymes that catalyse the conversion of two superoxides to hydrogen peroxide and oxygen. Hydrogen peroxide is substantially less toxic than superoxide. The detoxifying reaction catalysed by SOD is ten thousand times faster than the uncatalyzed reaction (Becker *et al.*, 2004). SODs are metal-containing enzymes that depend on bound manganese, copper or zinc ion for their antioxidant activity. In mammals, the manganese-containing enzyme is most abundant in mitochondria, while the zinc or copper forms are predominant in the cytoplasm. SODs are inducible enzymes, with exposure to bacteria or vertebrate cells to higher concentrations of oxygen resulting in rapid increases in the concentration of SOD.

Secondly, catalase, found in peroxisomes in eukaryotic cells, degrades hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen and hence completes the detoxification reaction started by SOD. Finally, glutathione peroxidase, a group of enzymes which are the most abundant contain selenium and like catalase, degrade hydrogen peroxide. Glutathione is the most important intracellular defence against damage by reactive oxygen species. The cysteine on the glutathione molecule provides an exposed free sulfhydryl group that is very reactive, providing an abundant target for radical attack. Reaction with radicals oxidizes glutathione but the reduced form is regenerated in a redox cycle that involves glutathione reductase and the electron acceptor NADPH
(Baydar *et al.*, 2007). In addition to the three enzymes above, glutathione transferase, ceruloplasmin, hemoxygenase may participate in the enzymatic control of oxygen radicals and their products.

#### 2.5.2 Exogenous antioxidants

The three common exogenous antioxidants are vitamin E, vitamin C/ ascorbic acid and glutathione. Vitamin E is the major lipid-soluble antioxidant and plays an important role in protecting membranes from oxidative damage. The primary activity of vitamin E is to trap peroxy radicals in cellular membranes and consequently prevent lipid peroxidation of the membranes (Baydar *et al.*, 2007). Vitamin C is a water-soluble antioxidant that can reduce radicals from a variety of sources. Vitamin C participates in recycling vitamin E radicals. Vitamin C also functions as a pro-oxidant under certain circumstances and sometimes produces oxygen by-products of metabolism that can cause damage to cells (Coinu *et al.*, 2007). In addition to vitamin E and vitamin C, phenolic compounds can function as antioxidants. The antioxidant properties of some plant extracts have been attributed partially to their phenolic compound contents (Coinu *et al.*, 2007).

In addition to carotenoids, tocopherols, and ascorbic acid, most of the antioxidative effect related to plant food intake is mainly due to the presence of phenolic compounds, which have been associated with flavour and colour characteristics of fruits and vegetables. Among phytochemicals possessing the antioxidant capacity, phenolic compounds are one of the most important groups. It is well known that vegetables are rich in various antioxidants, including ascorbic acid, carotenoids, and phenolic and can be considered as a source of natural antioxidant. Many plants including vegetables had been categorising as sources of natural

56

| S. No. | Crops       | Author (s)                    | Plant part used    | Remarks   |
|--------|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| 1.     | Solanum     | Eletta <i>et al.</i> , (2017) | Fruit (DPPH%)      | 70.33 (500µg/ml)                                      |
|        | macrocarpon | Usunomena and                 | Leaves             | 76.54% (DPPH 1mg/ml extract conc.), Reducing Power    |
|        |             | Chinwe, (2016)                |                    |   |
| 2.     | Solanum     | Eletta et al., (2017)         | Fruit (DPPH%)      | 69.1 (500µg/ml)                                       |
|        | aethiopicum | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80% ethanol | Ac-1 (µmol Trolox/g fw): CUPRAC 2.22, FRAP 1.13, DPPH |
|        |             |                               | extract)           | 2.07, ABTS 2.96                                       |
|        |             |                               |                    | Ac-2 (µmol Trolox/g fw): CUPRAC 6.45, FRAP 1.35, DPPH |
|        |             |                               |                    | 2.45, ABTS 2.8  |
|        |             |                               |                    | Ac-3 (µmol Trolox/g fw): CUPRAC 7.35, FRAP 1.7, DPPH  |
|        |             |                               |                    | 2.50, ABTS 2.70                                       |
| 3.     | Nasturtium  | Shad <i>et</i> al., (2013)    | Aerial part (DPPH  | 37.96% (100mg/ml)                                     |
|        | officinale  |                               | assay)             |   |

# Table 2.4. Antioxidant activities in different vegetable crops- a review

|  | Khan <i>et al.</i> , (2016)  | Leaves                 | DPPH assay, Reducing Power  |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------|---|
|  | Usman <i>et al.</i> , (2012) | Root ethanolic extract | DPPH(0.1mg/ml):37.05%   |
|  | Aires et al., (2013)         | Young leaves (70%      | For 1mg/ml: DPPH: 81.4%, FRAP 14.3µM equivalent FeSO <sub>4</sub> , |
|  |                              | methanol water         | Reducing Power 0.473Abs (at 700nm)                                  |
|  |                              | extract)               |   |
|  | Boligon et al., (2013)       | Leaves (Methanolic     | DPPH IC50: 30.76 µg/ml  |
|  |                              | Extract)               |   |
|  | Abdul et al., (2014)         | Leaves                 | DPPH IC50 (µg/ml): ME (35.2), WE (55.5), EAE (67.3), CE             |
|  |                              |                        | (160.4)   |
|  |                              |                        | FRAP (µmol Fe <sup>2+</sup> /g extract): ME (1823.3), WE (1534.5),  |
|  |                              |                        | EAE (1245.2), CE (544.5)  |
|  |                              |                        | ABTS (µmol trolox/g extract): ME (646.3), WE (604), EAE             |
|  |                              |                        | (518.4), CE (509.6)   |

| 4. | Solanum torvum | Loganayaki <i>et al.</i> ,   | Leaves and Fruits | FRAP, DPPH, ABTS, Fe2+ Chelating activity and                  |
|----|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--|
|    |                | (2010)                       |                   | Haemolytic activity using various solvents. Extract yield      |
|    |                |                              |                   | obtained in order Chlroform> Acetone> Methanol. Found          |
|    |                |                              |                   | more antioxidant activity in S. torvum than S. nigrum and also |
|    |                |                              |                   | found good antihaemolytic activity                             |
|    |                | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> , | Fruit             | Raw: MCA 11.9 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 56.4 µmol                 |
|    |                | (2012)                       |                   | ascorbic acid/g extract, FRAP 7.2 µg extract/mmol, FRSA:       |
|    |                |                              |                   | 7.6 g extract/g DPPH, 226.1 µmol/g extract TAA,                |
|    |                |                              |                   | Peroxidation Inhibition 98%, HRSA 23%                          |
|    |                |                              |                   | Processed: 29.2 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 14.6 µmol               |
|    |                |                              |                   | ascorbic acid/g extract, FRAP 28.9 µg extract/mmol, FRSA:      |
|    |                |                              |                   | 1.9 g extract/g DPPH, 80.3 µmol/g extract TAA, Peroxidation    |
|    |                |                              |                   | Inhibition 98%, HRSA 52%                                       |

|  | Ramamurthy et al.,            | Fruit                   | DPPH: WE (60.48%)>ME (55.04)>EE (51.92%)                 |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|  | (2012)                        |                         | β-carotene bleaching: WE (58.29%)>EE (54.64%)>ME         |
|  |                               |                         | (54.47%)   |
|  |                               |                         | Oxidative haemolysis: WE (68.95%)> EE (68.46%)>ME        |
|  |                               |                         | (56.63%)   |
|  |                               |                         | HRSA: WE (76.77%)> EE (68.46%)> ME (57.04%)              |
|  |                               |                         | Reducing Power: ME (65.04%)>EE (59.92%)>WE (55.48)       |
|  | Rahman <i>et al.</i> , (2013) | Fresh Fruit (70%        | DPPH antioxidant activity 303 mg AEAC/100g               |
|  |                               | ethanol extract)        |  |
|  | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (aqueous extract) | Reducing Sugar 5.90 mg/g, EC50 of DPPH 4.18 mg/ml, Vit C |
|  |                               |                         | 4.01 mg/ml   |
|  | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)   | Fruit (80% ethanol      | CUPRAC 20.25, FRAP 8.04, DPPH 8.24, ABTS 12.94           |
|  |                               | extract) (µmol          |  |
|  |                               | Trolox/g fw)            |  |

|    |                 | Lalmuanthanga, <i>et al.</i> , | Dry Leaves        | DPPH: 99.71mg TE/g, FRAP: 42.47mg TE/g                                       |
|----|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
|    |                 | (2015)                         |                   |  |
|    |                 | Pradhan <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Leaves            | DPPH: 58.40% (0.1mg/ml)  |
|    |                 | Abdulkadir <i>et al.</i> ,     |                   | Fruit: DPPH 33%, FRAP 112 mM Fe(II)/g  |
|    |                 | (2016)                         |                   | Stem: DPPH 56.3%, FRAP 540 mM Fe(II)/g                                       |
|    |                 |                                |                   | Leaf: DPPH 78.7%, FRAP 438 mM Fe(II)/g                                       |
| 5. | Solanum nigrum  | Loganayaki et al.,             | Leaves and Fruits | FRAP, DPPH, ABTS, Fe2+ Chelating activity and                                |
|    |                 | (2010)                         |                   | Haemolytic activity using various solvents. Extract yield                    |
|    |                 |                                |                   | obtained in order Chlroform> Acetone> Methanol. Found                        |
|    |                 |                                |                   | more antioxidant activity in <i>S. torvum</i> than <i>S. nigrum</i> and also |
|    |                 |                                |                   | found good antihaemolytic activity   |
| 6. | S. xanthocarpum | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> ,   | Fruit             | Raw: MCA 29.0 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 12.4 µmol                               |
|    |                 | (2012)                         |                   | ascorbic acid/g extract, FRAP 7.0 µg extract/mmol, FRSA:                     |
|    |                 |                                |                   | 2.1 g extract/g DPPH, 144.4 µmol/g extract TAA,                              |

|    |               |  |                        | <ul> <li>Peroxidation Inhibition 99%, HRSA 46%</li> <li>Processed: 24.1 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 14.9 µmol<br/>ascorbic acid/g extract, FRAP 28.5 µg extract/mmol, FRSA:</li> <li>2.5 g extract/g DPPH, 236.1 µmol/g extract TAA,</li> <li>Peroxidation Inhibition 98%, HRSA 23%</li> </ul>   |
|----|---------------|--|------------------------|---|
| 7. | S. violaceum  | Nithiyantham <i>et al.</i> ,<br>(2012) | Fruit                  | Raw: MCA 6.5 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 9.1 µmol ascorbic<br>acid/g extract, FRAP 39.0 µg extract/mmol, FRSA: 2.0 g<br>extract/g DPPH, 111.9 µmol/g extract TAA, Peroxidation<br>Inhibition 99%, HRSA 28%<br>Processed: 6.1 mg EDTA/g extract, PMA 64.7 µmol ascorbic<br>acid/g extract, FRAP 29.5 µg extract/mmol, FRSA: 2.7 g<br>extract/g DPPH, 236.1 µmol/g extract TAA, Peroxidation<br>Inhibition 98%, HRSA 17% |
| 8. | Urtica dioica | Usman <i>et al.</i> , (2012)           | Root ethanolic extract | DPPH(0.1mg/ml):37.48%   |

|     | (Sishnu)         | Pradhan <i>et al.</i> , (2015) | Leaves   | DPPH: 53.19% (0.1mg/ml)  |
|-----|------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 9.  | S. incanum       | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  | Fruit (aqueous extract)                              | Reducing Sugar 6.89 mg/g, EC50 of DPPH 3.23 mg/ml, Vit C<br>5.82 mg/ml |
|     |                  | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)    | Fruit (80% ethanol<br>extract) (μmol<br>Trolox/g fw) | CUPRAC 11.98, FRAP 5.36, DPPH 5.97, ABTS 5.9                           |
| 10. | S. kumba         | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  | Fruit (aqueous extract)                              | Reducing Sugar 5.79 mg/g, EC50 of DPPH 3.80 mg/ml, Vit C<br>5.82 mg/ml |
| 11. | S. gilo          | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  | Fruit (aqueous extract)                              | Reducing Sugar 5.72 mg/g, EC50 of DPPH 4.20 mg/ml, Vit C<br>5.55 mg/ml |
| 12. | S.indicum        | Nwanna <i>et al.</i> , (2014)  | Fruit (aqueous extract)                              | Reducing Sugar 5.98 mg/g, EC50 of DPPH 3.62 mg/ml, Vit C<br>6.52 mg/ml |
| 13. | S. sysmbrifolium | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014)    | Fruit (80% ethanol<br>extract) (µmol                 | CUPRAC 10.21, FRAP 5.4, DPPH 5.95, ABTS 5.75                           |

|     |                  |                             | Trolox/g fw)          |   |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 14. | S. khasianum     | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (80% ethanol    | CUPRAC 15.86, FRAP 8.11, DPPH 6.63, ABTS 15.73              |
|     |                  |                             | extract) (µmol        |   |
|     |                  |                             | Trolox/g fw)          |   |
| 15. | S. integrifolium | Kaur <i>et al.</i> , (2014) | Fruit (80% ethanol    | CUPRAC 2.83, FRAP 1.88, DPPH 1.54, ABTS 6.55                |
|     |                  |                             | extract) (µmol        |   |
|     |                  |                             | Trolox/g fw)          |   |
| 16. | S. anguivi       | Daramola, (2015)            | Fruit, Seed, Pericarp | Ethanol extract: Total phenolic content 75-196.88, Relative |
|     |                  |                             |                       | reducing power 165-889.29, RSA 64.0-85.0                    |
|     |                  |                             |                       | Diethyl ether extract: Total phenolic content 2.81-45.00,   |
|     |                  |                             |                       | Relative reducing power 18.21-25.71, RSA 14.10-40.0         |
| 17. | Capsicum annum   | Acunha et al., (2017)       | Fruit                 | DPPH Antioxidant potential 1.63 mmol TE/100g                |
| 18. | Capsicum         | Acunha et al., (2017)       | Fruit                 | DPPH Antioxidant potential 1.14 mmol TE/100g                |
|     | baccatum         |                             |                       |   |

| 19. | Capsicum | Acunha et al., (2017) | Fruit | DPPH Antioxidant potential 1.53 mmol TE/100g |
|-----|----------|-----------------------|-------|--|
|     | chinense |                       |       |  |

Abbreviation: ME: Methanolic extract, WE: Water extract, WE: Water extract, AE: Acetone extract, CE: Chloroform Extract, DPPH: 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl Assay, FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power assay, PMA: Phosphomolybdenum Assay, MCA: Metal chelatingActivity, FRSA: Free radical Scavenging activities, HRSA: Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity, TAA: Total Antioxidant activity, CUPRAC:Cupricionantioxidantreducingcapacity

antioxidants that can protect against oxidative stress and thus play an important role in the chemoprevention of diseases that have their aetiology and pathophysiology in reactive oxygen species (Dragland *et al.*, 2003; Odukoya *et al.*, 2001; Atawodi, 2005). Regular consumption of fruit and vegetables is associated with reduced risks of cancer, cardiovascular disease, stroke, Alzheimer disease, cataracts and some of the functional declines associated with ageing. Prevention is a more effective strategy than treatment of chronic diseases. In the search of sources of novel antioxidants and other important nutrients, a large number of plants have been extensively studied during last few years, some of which were tried to enlist in Table 2.4.

## **2.6 VITAMINS**

Vitamins are a diverse group of organic compounds essential in trace amounts for the normal growth and maintenance of life. To ensure the adequate intake of vitamins the human diet can be completed with a high range of multivitamin tablets and food products enriched with vitamins, in other words, these compounds are usually administered as a nutraceutical or functional ingredient.

They are classified as either water-soluble or fat soluble. In humans, there are 13 vitamins: 4 fat-soluble (A, D, E and K) and 9 water-soluble (8 Vitamins B and vitamin C). These compounds have diverse biochemical roles. Some have hormonelike functions as regulators of mineral metabolism (e.g. Vitamin D), or regulators of cell and tissue growth and differentiation (e.g. some forms of vitamin A). Others work as antioxidants (e.g. vitamin E and sometimes vitamins B and C). The largest numbers of vitamins (e.g. B-complex vitamins) work as precursors of enzyme cofactors. The detection of vitamins is done by HPLC with several detectors as UV/Vis, VWD, PDA, FLD, MS although GC-FID (Bakowska-Barczak *et al.*, 2009; Verado *et al.*, 2009) and HPTLC (Aranda and Morlock, 2006).

Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid or L-ascorbate) is an essential nutrient for humans and other animal species. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C), a powerful, water-soluble antioxidant as a scavenger of ROS (Smirnoff, 2000). Ascorbic acid plays an important role in minimizing the damage caused by the oxidative process. This is performed by its synergistic action with other antioxidants (Smirnoff, 2005; Athar *et al.*, 2008). Deficiency of this vitamin causes the disease known as scurvy in humans. This compound is also widely used as a food additive because of its antioxidant activity.

Compared to the other vitamins, the number of works about Vitamin E is by far the highest one. Vitamin E is a generic term for tocopherols and tocotrienols, and it is a fat-soluble antioxidant that blocks the production of reactive oxygen species formed during oxidation of lipids, exclusively located in the plastid or thylakoid membranes (Munne-Bosch, 2005), where they have both antioxidant and nonantioxidant functions (Kagan, 1989). They occur in four different types or isomers, namely,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ -tocopherols. All four types of tocopherols structurally consist of a chromanol head group attached to the phytyl tail, which together giving vitamin E compounds amphipathic character (Kamal-Eldin and Appelqvist, 1996). Tocopherols are also known to protect lipids and other membrane components by physically quenching and chemically reacting with oxygen in chloroplasts, thus, protecting the structure and function of PSII (Igamberdiev and Hill, 2004). The main function of tocopherol lies in its fatty acyl chain-breaking activity, which scavenges Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) resulting from photosynthesis, thus protecting polyunsaturated fatty acid chains (PUFAs) from lipid peroxidation. Increasing evidence suggests that in higher plants, vitamin E may play a protective role in cell membrane systems, thus maintaining the integrity and normal function of the photosynthetic apparatus (Havaux et al., 2005; Collin et al., 2008). The most frequently employed analytical tool for determining vitamin E has also been HPLC coupled to all possible types of detectors as FLD, UV, PDA, VWD, MS. However, it has to be noted that the main natural source of vitamin E is vegetable and vegetable oils, being HPLC the analytical tool more usually employed.

Vitamin K is a group of structurally similar, fat-soluble vitamins that the human body needs for posttranslational modification of certain proteins required for blood coagulation and in metabolic pathways in bone and other tissue. They are 2methyl-1,4-naphthoquinone (3-) derivatives (Stafford, 2005). This group of vitamins includes two natural vitamers: vitamin K1 and vitamin K2. Vitamin K1, also known as phylloquinone, phytomenadione, or phytonadione, is synthesized by plants and is found in highest amounts in green leafy vegetables because it is directly involved in photosynthesis (Newman et al., 2008). It may be thought of as the "plant form" of vitamin K. It is active in animals and may perform the classic functions of vitamin K in animals, including its activity in the production of blood clotting proteins. Animals may also convert it to vitamin K2. Vitamin K2, the main storage form in animals, has several subtypes, which differ in isoprenoid chain length. These vitamin K2 homologs are called menaquinones and are characterized by the number of isoprenoid residues in their side chains. Vitamin K1 is found chiefly in leafy green vegetables such as dandelion greens, spinach, swiss chard and Brassica (e.g., cabbage, kale, cauliflower, broccoli and brussels sprouts) and often the absorption is greater when accompanied by fats such as butter or oils. Some vegetable oils, notably soybean, contain vitamin K, but at levels that would require relatively large calorific consumption to meet the USDA recommended levels (Weber, 2001). In the search of vitamin content in some of plants, a few studies have been carried out during last few years, some of which were tried to enlist in Table 2.5.

A new HPLC-DAD method was developed for the simultaneous detection and quantification of water- and fat-soluble vitamins in different beverages from different natural sources (orange, strawberry, apple, peach pineapple, plum and blackcurrant juices, soybean milk and beers) (Borochov *et al.*, 2008) with the additional advantage that it was not required for any previous sample preparation prior to their analysis. This fact was attributed to the use of an end-capped column, which possesses an ultralow silanol activity.

| S.No | Plant Species | Authors (s)             | Plant Part     | Remarks   |
|------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|---|
|      |               |                         | Used           |   |
| 1.   | Solanum       | Akoto <i>et al.</i> ,   | Fruits         | Vit A 0.078   |
|      | torvum        | (2015)                  |                | Vit C 2.686 mg/100g                                   |
|      |               | Nyadanu and             | Fruit          | Vit A 4172 IU   |
|      |               | Lowor, (2015)           |                | Vit C 112.60 mg/100g                                  |
|      |               |                         |                | Vit E 2.41 mg/100g                                    |
|      |               | Otu et al., (2017)      | Fruit          | 0.0950 mg/100ml, 0.0109 mg/100g                       |
| 2.   | Solanum       | Chinedu et al.,         | Fruit          | Vit C (++)  |
|      | macrocarpon   | (2011)                  |                |   |
|      |               | Dougnon <i>et al.</i> , | Leaves (mg/kg) | Vit A 3530, Vit K1 55                                 |
|      |               | (2012)                  | Fruit (mg/kg)  | Vit A 3680, Vit K1 1320                               |
|      |               | Nyadanu and             | Leaves         | Vit A 2911 IU, Vit C 9.85 mg/100g, Vit E 3.47 mg/100g |

# Table 2.5. Vitamins studies in different vegetable crops- a review

|    |             | Lowor, (2015)         | Fruit           | Vit A 3619 IU, Vit C 124.55 mg/100g, Vit E 1.43 mg/100g |
|----|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---|
|    |             | Offor <i>et al.</i> , | Fruits          | Retinol 39, Niacin 0.7, Ascorbic acid 2.400,            |
|    |             | (2015)                | (mg/100g)       | Tocopherol 0.28, Thiamine 0.033, Riboflavin 0.032,      |
|    |             |                       |                 | Calciferol 0.001  |
| 3. | Solanum     | Chinedu et al.,       | Fruit           | Vit C (+++)   |
|    | aethiopicum | (2011)                |                 |   |
|    |             | Eze and Kanu,         | Fruit           | Vit B1 0.45 µg/g, Vit B2 10.33 µg/g,                    |
|    |             | (2014)                |                 | Vit B3 14.34 $\mu g/g$ , Ascorbic Acid 406 mg/g,        |
|    |             |                       |                 | Vit E 0.53 $\mu g/g$                                    |
|    |             | Nyadanu and           | Fruit           | Vit A 4518 IU, Vit C 152.34 mg/100g, Vit E 3.56 mg/100g |
|    |             | Lowor, (2015)         |                 |   |
|    |             | Offor <i>et al</i> ., | Fruit (mg/100g) | Retinol 53.55, Calciferol 0.10, Tocopherol 0.310,       |
|    |             | (2015)                |                 | Thiamine 0.037, Riboflavin 0.034,                       |
|    |             |                       |                 | Niacin 0.7, Ascorbic acid 2.2                           |

| 4. | Nasturtium | Shad <i>et</i> al., | Aerial part | β-Carotene 209.60 mg/100g, Vit C 51.85 mg/100g |
|----|------------|---------------------|-------------|--|
|    | officinale | (2013)              |             |  |

| S. No | Plant Species         | Author (s)             | Part Used | Remarks  |  |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| 1.    | Solanum torvum        | Nithiyantham <i>et</i> | Fruit     | Antihaemolytic activity: Raw 35%, Processed 36%,       |  |
|       |                       | al., (2012)            |           | Good Antimicrobial activity against Gram -ve           |  |
|       |                       |                        |           | bacteria   |  |
| 2.    | S. xanthocarpum       | Nithiyantham <i>et</i> | Fruit     | Antihaemolytic activity: Raw 38%, Processed 28%,       |  |
|       |                       | al., (2012)            |           | Good Antimicrobial activity against Gram -ve           |  |
|       |                       |                        |           | bacteria   |  |
| 3.    | S. violaceum          | Nithiyantham <i>et</i> | Fruit     | Antihaemolytic activity: Raw 63%, Processed 63%,       |  |
|       |                       | al., (2012)            |           | Good Antimicrobial activity against Gram -ve           |  |
|       |                       |                        |           | bacteria 9832543513                                    |  |
| 4.    | Nasturtium officinale | Abdul et al., (2014)   | Leaves    | Methanol extract showed higher antimicrobial           |  |
|       |                       |                        |           | activity against all bacteria and fungi and chloroform |  |
|       |                       |                        |           | extract shows no inhibitions                           |  |

# Table 2.6. Pharmaceutical application of some related vegetable crops

The present investigation entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" was carried out during 2015-2018 at Department of Horticulture, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim. The details of materials used and methods employed during the present investigation are described below:

## 3.1 Material:

The experiment was conducted using five select vegetables viz. Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae (Dalle Khorsani), Tupistra aurantiaca Wall. (Nakima), Nasturtium officinale (Watercress).

 Table 3.1. List of plants considered for the study

| S. No. | Common Name        | Local Name          |  |
|--------|--------------------|---------------------|--|
|        |                    | (Nepali vernacular) |  |
| 1      | Ethiopian eggplant | Sayano Bihi         |  |
| 2      | African Eggplant   | Thulo Bihi          |  |
| 3      | Cherry Pepper      | Dalle Khorsani      |  |
| 4      | Nakima             | Nakimo              |  |
| 5      | Watercress         | Simrayo             |  |

### 3.1.1 Survey of ethnic community for medicinal properties of vegetables

The survey was conducted to appraise the knowledge of local inhabitants about the therapeutic or medicinal uses of plants that are eaten as vegetables. The structured questionnaire was prepared for the survey. Besides, focus group discussion and personal interview was also employed to get the required information. Sikkim has four district and all the districts were considered for survey. In each district five villages were selected purposively based on the secondary information. Samples for analysis of different parameters were also collected from the surveyed village in the season of their peak availability.

## **3.1.2 Collection of Plant Material:**

The collection of samples were carried out at different growth stage depending upon the vegetables. The fruit samples of *Solanum aethiopicum* and *Solanum macrocarpon* were collected during their fruiting season in between June September. Cherry pepper fruits were collected during the month of May- November. *Tupistra aurantiaca* Wall. was collected during its flowering time (August- October), since its economic parts is inflorescence . *Nasturtium officinale* being leafy vegetable was collected during October to May. These fruits/flowers/leaf samples were collected from four districts of Sikkim namely, East Sikkim, West Sikkim, North Sikkim and South Sikkim. The local floristic keys were used for determining the species. Approximately, 3 kg material of selected vegetable was collected. The collected material was placed in a polythene bag to prevent loss of moisture during transportation to the laboratory.

#### **3.1.2 Sample Preparation:**

The collected healthy and fresh fruits/flowers/leaves were thoroughly washed till no trace of extraneous material. They were blotted to remove the adsorbed moisture absorbed followed by air dried and weight was recorded as considered the same as fresh weight in gram. Some quantities of samples were stored at -80<sup>o</sup>c for proximate analysis.

The sample to be used for mineral analysis was washed using double deionised water. Then they were cut into small pieces, the seeds were removed and placed in paper envelope and dried in the oven at 60<sup>o</sup>C until constant weight was obtained. After complete drying the sample was grinded to a fine powder by using an electric grinder. The sample was packed into airtight sample bottles and used for the nutrient analysis. All analyses were conducted in triplicate by using analytical grade reagents.

## 3.2 Nutraceutical Analysis:

#### 3.2.1. Proximate Analysis:

#### **3.2.1.1 Dry matter and Moisture:**

The dry matter of the sample represents the amount of material left after the complete removal of moisture from it. The moisture of the sample was lost by volatilization caused by heat. Dry matter and moisture content was determine by gravimetric method. A 2.0 g sample were placed evenly on pre-dried and cleaned sample pan. Select standard drying at 130<sup>o</sup>C and close the sample chamber and press start button. After some time it will give beep sound and moisture analysis was done. The dry matter and moisture were calculated by using the following formulae:-

$$Dry matter (\%) = \frac{(Weight of dish+Weight of dried sample) - Weight of Dish}{weight of sample before drying} \times 100$$

 $Moisture \ content \ (\%) = \frac{Weight \ of \ fresh \ sample-weight \ of \ dry \ sample}{weight \ of \ fresh \ sample} \times 100$ 

## **3.2.1.2.** Determination of total Ash content:

Ash value was determined by following the method of AOAC (1990). For this crucible were kept in a muffle furnace at 600<sup>o</sup>C for 1 hour. Then crucible were transferred from furnace to a desiccator and cooled to room temperature and weighed as quickly as possible to prevent moisture absorption. Two gram dry fruit powder was taken in weighed silica crucible and placed in a muffle furnace at 600<sup>o</sup>C for 6 hours. Then crucible was transferred to a desiccator and cooled to room temperature, crucible was transferred as quickly as possible to avoid moisture absorption. The percentage of ash was calculated by using the following formula:-

$$Ash (\%) = \frac{weight of ash}{weight of sample} \times 100$$

#### **3.2.1.3.** Total soluble solids:

Total soluble solids was determined with the help of hand refractometer and represented as "Brix at 20°C.

## **3.2.1.4.** Determination of Crude fat

The Crude fat content was determined by following the method of Sadasivam and Manikam (1992) with the help of essential oil extractor model no Socsplus-SCS 06 DLS, PELICAN. Two gram dried sample was taken in a thimble (prepared from Whatman No. 41 filter paper). The initial weight of the beaker (W1) was taken. Thimble was fixed to the thimble holder and the thimble was placed into the beakers. 70 ml of Solvent (methyl alcohol) was taken and poured into the fat collection beakers. The beaker with solvent was inserted into the extraction system and water tap was opened for condenser. Essential oil extractor machine was operated at a temperature of 100<sup>o</sup>C for 45 minutes. The stopper was kept in the extractor in closed position for no condensation of solvent. After completion of boiling, temperature was increased to 160<sup>o</sup>C for 80 min to evaporate the solvent. The stopper was opened slowly when the level touches below the thimble open and the recovered solvent was allowed to flow drop by drop through the thimble and stopper was closed. Beaker was taken from extraction system and the thimble was taken out from the beakers. The beaker was kept inside hot air oven for few minutes to remove solvent vapours. Final weight of the beakers (W2) was taken to find out crude fat percentage of the sample which was calculated by the following formula:

Crude fat content (%) = 
$$\frac{(W2 - W1)}{weight of sample} \times 100$$

#### 3.2.1.5. Total Protein:

The sample was subjected to Lowry's method (1951) to obtain its total protein content. 1 g of sample were macerated in mortar and pestle with 5 ml of phosphate buffer and transferred to centrifuge tubes. The materials were then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min. The supernatants were collected and the procedure was repeated 4-5 times. The supernatants were mixed and volume was made up to 50 ml with phosphate buffer. 1 ml of 20% trichloro Acetic Acid (TCA) was added to 1 ml of the extract and the mixture was incubated for 30 min. The mixture was then centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 20 min. The resultant pellets were washed twice with acetone and again centrifuged. The supernatant was then discarded. The pellet was mixed well in 5 ml of 0.1N NaOH till it had dissolved. 1 ml of the aliquot was taken in which 5 ml of freshly prepared alkaline copper sulphate reagent were added and mixed properly. After 10 min, 0.5 ml of Folin's reagent was added and mixed instantaneously and allowed to develop colour for 30 min. Absorbance at 660 nm were recorded after setting the instrument with reagent blank which contained 1 ml of 0.1 N NaOH instead of the sample aliquot.

In another set of tubes, suitable aliquot of BSA solution (in the range of 0-100 $\mu$ l) were taken and volume made up to 1 ml with 0.1 N NaOH and allowed to develop colour as described above. A standard curve of absorbance at 660 nm versus  $\mu$ g of BSA was drawn and from this standard curve, the amount of protein in the sample tube was determined as protein per gram of the sample.

## 3.2.1.6. Crude fibre

Crude fibre content was determined by following the method of Sadasivam and Manikam (1992) using fibre estimation system, Model no Fibra plus- FES 04 AS DLS, PELICAN. Crude fibre is the organic residue which remains after the food samples have been under standardized conditions with petroleum spirit, boiling dilute sulphuric acid, boiling dilute sodium hydroxide solution and use of alcohol is used. The crude fibre consists largely of cellulose together with little lignin. As the recovery of cellulose using the specified procedure seldom exceeds four-fifths of that actually present, the crude fibre content does not represent a measure of a specific group of substances. Also, as the figure obtained tends to vary with the conditions employed, it is important to adopt a standardized procedure in order to obtain consistent result. The crude fibre was extracted through Fibra plus extractor equipment. Two gram fat free samples (w) were taken weighed and the samples were transferred to the crucible. Crucible was inserted into the extraction system and tap water was opened to the condenser and 100-150ml of 1.25% of H<sub>2</sub>SO4 was poured from the top of the extractor funnels. The power was switched on and the required temperature was set for boiling of reagent and the sample should be boiled at 500°C for 30min and after boiling the individual value was kept in open position. Once the boiling was completed, the reagent was drained through the crucible with the help of fibre flow and the same procedure was repeated by using alkali (100-150ml) of 1.25% NaOH at 400°C for 45min. The alkali digestion was completed after removal of the crucible from the main unit and the crucible was kept inside the hot air oven for few minutes to remove the moisture at 90°-100°C. The samples were cooled and the initial weight (W1) of the crucible was taken. Crucible was taken inside the muffle furnace at 500°C for 3hrs for ashing and the crucible was taken from the muffle furnace and cooled for some time. Final weight (W2) of the crucible was taken.

Crude fibre content of the sample was calculated out by using the following formula:

Crude fibre content(%) = 
$$\frac{\text{loss in weight on ignition (W1-W2)}}{\text{Weight of sample (W)}} \times 100$$

## 3.2.1.7. Carbohydrates

Total carbohydrate was determined by using Anthrone reagent. 100 mg of the representative sample hydrolyzed for 3 hours with 5ml of 2.5 N HCl and cooled down to normal temperature. The acid was neutralized using sodium carbonate and volume made upto 100ml. A suitable aliquot of the sample was taken and 4ml of Anthrone

reagent added. Heat for 8 minutes in a water bath and cool rapidly. Total carbohydrate content was determined by taking absorbance at 630nm (Perkin Elmer, Lamb 35 UV/VIS spectrophotometer) and calculated in percentage according to standard absorbance.

## 3.2.1.8. Total Starch Determination

The total starch content was determined using anthrone reagent. 500 mg of the representative sample was homogenized in hot 80% ethanol to remove sugars until it doesn't give colour with anthrone reagent. The residue was mixed with 5 ml water and 6.5 ml perchloric acid and centrifuged. A suitable volume of supernatant was taken and 4ml of anthrone reagent was added. The mixture was heated for 8 minutes in a water bath and absorbance was recorded at 630 nm (Perkin Elmer, Lamb 35 UV/VIS spectrophotometer) after cool down. The total starch content was calculated according to a standard curve in percentage.

## 3.2.1.9. Total Sugar

Total sugar was determined by Lane and Eynon method using Fehling solution after hydrolizing non-reducing sugars with dilute acids to reducing sugar.

#### **3.2.1.10.** Chlorophyll content

Chlorophyll A, B and total chlorophyll was determined by measuring OD of actone extract of samples at 663nm and 645 nm and calculated according to Arnon (1949). One gram of fruit was taken and ground with 20 - 40ml of 80% acetone. It was then centrifuged at 5000 –10000rpm for 5mins. The supernatant was transferred and

the procedure was repeated till the residue becomes colourless. The absorbance of the solution was red at 645nm and 663nm against the solvent (acetone) blank.

Estimation of Chlorophyll content:

The concentrations of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll were calculated using the following equation:

Total Chlorophyll: 20.2 (A645) + 8.02 (A663)

Chlorophyll A: 12.7 (A663) – 2.69 (A645)

Chlorophyll B: 22.9 (A645) – 4.68 (A663)

## 3.2.2 Mineral Analysis:

Multi-elemental analysis was carried out by employing Inductively Coupled Plasma mass Spectroscopy (ICPMS) (Perkin Elmer Nex ION 300X, USA). Prior to ICPMS analysis of multi minerals in a given samples, a clear and colourless sample solution was needed. So, dried and powdered sample were digested to achieve clear and colourless sample.

## i. Preparation of acid digests:

The di-acid digestion method has been followed for the analysis of inorganic constituents. Fresh sample were washed with water. Blotted to dry and then kept in oven at  $60^{\circ}$ C till a constant weight was obtained. The oven dried plant material was randomly mixed and powdered. One hundred mg oven dried powder of fruits was transferred to 150 ml clean borosil conical flask and to that 15 ml of di-acid mixture

(Concentrated Nitric acid and Perchloric acid) in the ratio of 9 ml: 5ml was added. It was covered with watch glass and kept for an hour till the primary reactions subsided. Then, it was then heated strongly on the hot plate until the solution became colourless and reduced to about 2-3 ml. While heating, the solution was not allowed to dry. After cooling, it was transferred quantitatively to 100 ml capacity volumetric flask, diluted to 100 ml with distilled water and kept overnight. Next day the extract was filtered through Whatman No. 44 (Ashless) filter paper. The filtrate was stored properly and used for analysis of inorganic constituents. An Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry( ICPMS) was used for the quantification of selected metals like K, P, S, Ca, Mg, Cd, Al, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mo, Li, Mn, Na, Pb, Sr and Zn. Sodium and Potassium were estimated flame photometrically following the standard method of flame photometer . For standardization, various concentrations of sodium and Potassium were prepared by ranging from 10 to 80 ppm by diluting stock solution of NaCl (100 ppm).

## **3.3 Determination of Phytochemicals**

#### **3.3.1 Extraction of the Samples**

The vegetable samples were rinsed under tap and deionised water, then cut into small pieces and dried at 70°C for 48 h in an electric oven. The dried samples were ground into fine powder, placed in clean bags and stored at room temperature in desiccators until further analysis. The powdered vegetable samples were put in a cellulose thimble along with thimble holder and placed in a beaker containing 150ml of HPLC grade methanol. Beakers were placed in distillation assembly and in first phase assembly was set at a temperature of 90° C for 3 hours and after that in recovery phase temperature was 140°C. Further extract was concentrated in vacuum concentrator and necessary dilutions were made with HPLC grade methanol for further experiments.

### **3.3.2 Determination of Total Phenolic Contents**

The total phenolic contents of vegetables in methanol extracts was determined according to the method reported by the Lin et al., (2011). Aliquots of 1.0 mL of methanol extracts was mixed with 5 mL of 10 fold diluted Folin-ciocalteu reagent and 4 mL of 7.5% sodium carbonate. The mixture was allowed to stand for 90 minutes at room temperature before the absorbance measured at 760 nm was spectrophotometrically. Gallic acid was used as standard and the final results were expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE).

## **3.3.3 Determination of Total Flavonoid Contents**

The flavonoid contents in methanol extract was measured using a modified colorimetric method described by Lin *et al.*, (2011). A volume of 5 mL of methanol extract was transferred to the test tube, mixed with the 0.3 mL of 5% sodium nitrite for 5 minutes. Then 0.3 mL of 10% aluminium chloride was added. After 6 min, reaction was stopped by addition of 2 mL sodium hydroxide. The mixture was further diluted with distilled water up to 10 mL. The absorbance of the mixture was immediately measured at 510 nm. Rutin was used as standard and the flavonoid contents were calculated and expressed as rutin equivalents (Rt).

## **3.3.4 Determination of Total Flavonols**

Total flavonol contents in the methanol extracts was measured using the method reported by Kumaran and Karunakaran (2006). About 2.0 mL of sample was taken and 2.0 mL of 2% AlCl3 and 3 mL sodium acetate (50 g L<sup>-1</sup>) solutions were added. The absorption was measured at 440 nm after 2.5 h at 20°C. Total flavonols contents were calculated as Rutin (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) which was used as standard.

## 3.3.5 Determination of Ascorbic Acid

Ascorbic acid was determined according to the method of Klein and Perry (1982). Methanol extracts was re-extracted with meta-phosphoric acid (1%, 10 mL) for 45 min at room temperature and filtered through Whatman No 4 filter paper. The filtrate (1.0 mL) was mixed with 9 mL of 2,6-dichloroindophenol (0.8 g 1000mL<sup>-1</sup>) and the absorbance was measured within 30 minutes at 515 nm. Ascorbic acid contents were calculated on the basis of calibration curve of L-ascorbic acid and results were expressed as ascorbic acid equivalents.

#### **3.3.6 Carotene Content**

Carotene content was determined by measuring OD of actone extract of samples at 663nm, 645 nm and 480 nm and calculated according to Arnon (1949).

## **3.4 Quantification of Phenols**

High-performance liquid chromatography was performed with the HPLC system Agilent Series 1100, Agilent Technologies, U.S.A. Reversed-phase chromatographic analyses were carried out in isocratic conditions using RP-C18 column (4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm) packed with 5-µmdiameter particles. The mobile phase was acetonitrile-water (10:90, v v<sup>-1</sup>) containing 1.0% of acetic acid. The flow rate was 0.7 ml min<sup>-1</sup>, injection volume was 40 µL, and detection was done at 310 nm. The mobile phase was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 µm) and then degassed by an ultrasonic sound before use. The crude extract and the solutions of standards (gallic acid, rutin, catechol, ferulic acid and quercetin) were prepared in the same mobile phase of HPLC. All chromatographic operations were performed at room temperature and in triplicate.

### **3.5 Antioxidant Activities**

Antioxidant activities were also measured in the methanol extracts of the vegetable samples as described in the previous section. The protocols of antioxidant activities included in the present study are described below.

#### **3.5.1 DPPH Scavenging Activity**

DPPH scavenging activity of the vegetables was determined according to Yu *et al.*, (2002) and Aoshima *et al.*, (2004) with slight modification. This method is based on the ability of an antioxidant to scavenge the DPPH cation radical. Briefly 2.0 mL of the sample extract or standards was added to the 5 mL of DPPH solution (0.1 mM in methanol) and vortexes vigorously; then incubated in dark for 30 minutes at room temperature and the decolourization of DPPH was measured against blank at 517 nm. Results were expressed as Gallic acid equivalents and % inhibition was calculated by following relationship:

% Inhibition = 
$$\frac{(A_{Blank} - A_{Sample})}{A_{Blank}} \times 100$$

### 3.5.2 Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity

The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of methanol extracts was calculated as described by Yu *et al.*, (2004) with slight modification. This assay is based on Fenton reaction. Briefly 2.0 mL of 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.2), 0.04 mL ferrous sulphate (0.02 M), and 2.0 mL of extract and 1 mL of 1, 10-phenanthroline (0.04 M) were delivered in to the test tube. The Fenton reaction was initiated by addition of 0.1 mL of

7 mM  $H_2O_2$ . Absorbance was measured at 560 nm after 5 minutes incubation at room temperature. The relative hydroxyl radical scavenging activity (%) was calculated as:

Scavenging Activity (%) = 
$$\frac{(A_{Blank} - A_{Sample})}{A_{Blank}} \times 100$$

## 3.5.3 Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of the methanol extracts was determined by Aiyegoro and Okoh, (2010) method with slight modification. The extract (4 mL) was mixed with 2.4 mL of 4 mM  $H_2O_2$  solution prepared in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4) and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 230 nm against blank, containing the extract without  $H_2O_2$ . Scavenging activity (%) was calculated by:

Scavenging Activity (%) = 
$$\frac{(A_{Blank} - A_{Sample})}{A_{Blank}} \times 100$$

## 3.5.4 Fe<sup>2+</sup> Chelating Activity

The extracts were assessed for their ability to compete with ferrozine for iron (II) in solution. The chelating ability of ferrous ion of various fractions was estimated by method of Dinis *et al.*, (1994). 2.0 mL of water or acetone extract was added to the 2.0 mL of ferrous sulphate (0.125 mM). The reaction was initiated by addition of 2 mL of 0.3125 mM ferrozine. The mixture was shaken vigorously and left standing at room temperature for ten minutes. Absorbance of the solution was measured at 562 nm against blank prepared in same manner using ferrous chloride and water. EDTA (0.625-5.0 mg) served as positive control and sample without extract or EDTA served as

negative control. The percentage inhibition of ferrozine-Fe (II) complex was calculated using formula:

Chelating Activity (%) = 
$$\frac{(A_{Control} - A_{Sample})}{A_{Control}} \times 100$$

#### **3.5.5 Ferric ion Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP Assay)**

The ferric ion reducing power of the extracts was calculated by the method of Hazra *et al.*, (2008) with slight modification. Briefly 2.0 mL of sample was mixed with the 2.0 mL of phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2 mL of 0.1% potassium ferricyanide, followed by incubation at 50°C in water bath for 20 minutes. Afterward the reaction was stopped with addition of 2 mL of trichloroacetic acid (10%). The upper portion of solution (2 mL) was mixed with 2 mL of distilled water and 2 mL of 0.01% ferric chloride and left for 20 minutes at room temperature and absorbance was measured at 700 nm against blank. A higher of absorbance of reaction mixture indicated greater reducing power. Rutin, Gallic acid or ascorbic acid can be used as positive control.

## 3.5.6 Phosomolybdenium Complex (PM) Assay

The total antioxidant capacity of the vegetables extracts was measured by Phosomolybdenum complex assay as described by Prieto *et al.* (2006). Briefly 2.0 mL of sample solution in methanol extract was added to the 6.6 mL of reagent solution (0.6 mol  $L^{-1}$  sulphuric acid, 28 mol  $L^{-1}$  sodium phosphate and 4 mol  $L^{-1}$  ammonium molybdate), capped and incubate at 95°C for 90 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 695 nm against blank containing 1 mL of reagent solution and same volume of water instead of extract solution and then subjected to the same experimental condition. The results from three independent experiments, each run in triplicate, are expressed as the mean of relative antioxidant activity (RAA) compared to ascorbic acid (Vitamin-C).

#### 3.6 Analysis of fat soluble vitamins

1 g of 80-mesh sample was precisely weighed and added to a 10-ml screwcapped extraction tube. 0.5 gm Ascorbic Acid was added to the tubes to prevent oxidation of vitamins. Four ml of acetone- chloroform solvent (30:70) mixture, was added to the tube and the tube was flushed with Nitrogen to protect vitamins from air exposure before sealing with the cap. The mixture was shaken on a vortex mixer for 1 min, rested for 5 min, and mixed another minute. After centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 min, 1 ml of supernatant was transferred to a 1.5- ml vial and evaporated under nitrogen to remove the solvent. The residue was dissolved in 1 ml methanol before injection onto the HPLC system. High-performance liquid chromatography was performed with the HPLC system Agilent Series 1100, Agilent Technologies, U.S.A. Reversed-phase chromatographic analyses were carried out in isocratic conditions using RP-C18 column (4.6 mm  $\times$  250 mm) packed with 5-µmdiameter particles. The mobile phase was acetonitrile-water with gradient flow. The flow rate with time gradient and percentage of water and acetonitrile were presented in table 3.1. The injection volume was 10  $\mu$ L, and detection was done at 290 nm. The mobile phase was filtered through a membrane filter (0.45  $\mu$ m) and then degassed by an ultrasonic sound before use. The crude extract and the solutions of standards were prepared in the same mobile phase of HPLC. All chromatographic operations were performed at room temperature and in triplicate.

|   | Time | Flow | % A (Water) | % B (Acetonitrile) |
|---|------|------|-------------|--------------------|
|   |      |      |             |                    |
| 1 |      | 0.60 | 90          | 10                 |
| 2 | 2    | 0.70 | 90          | 10                 |
| 3 | 20   | 0.70 | 10          | 90                 |
| 4 | 35   | 0.70 | 10          | 90                 |
| 5 | 40   | 0.60 | 90          | 10                 |

## Table 3.2. Time gradient flow of mobile phase

## **3.7 Statistical Analysis**

All the statistical analysis were performed with the help of JMP 11 statistical software. All the experiment was performed in completely randomized design with three replication. ANOVA was performed to know the statistical significance between the treatments. Duncan Multiple range test was also performed to determine the statistical differences between the treatments.

The present investigation entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" was undertaken to evaluate five local or underutilized vegetables of Sikkim Himalaya for different nutraceutical properties. The experimental results are presented under the following sub heads:

- 4.1 Survey for medicinal property of vegetables
- 4.2 Collection of Samples
- 4.3 Proximate content
- 4.4 Multi-elemental profile
- 4.5 Phytochemical content
- 4.6 Quantification of Phenols
- 4.7 Anti-oxidative Activity
- 4.8 Analysis of Fat soluble Vitamins

## 4.1 Survey for medicinal property of vegetables

A Survey was conducted as described in Chapter III to assess the knowledge of local inhabitants about the use of local indigenous or underutilized vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas. A total of 137 respondents participated in the study survey, comprising 37, 32, 41 and 27 respondents respectively from East, West, South and North district. Utmost care was taken to include local healers, farmers, local traders
| S. No. | Scientific name  | Common Name   | Local Name   | Family        | Part used      |
|--------|--|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| 1.     | Sechium edule Jacq.  | Chow-Chow     | Ishkush      | Cucurbitaceae | Whole plant    |
| 2.     | <i>Momordica subangulata var. renigera</i><br>Wall ex G. Dom | Spine gourd   | Mitho Karela | Cucurbitaceae | Fruit          |
| 3.     | Cucurbita pepo L.  | Pumpkin       | Pharsi       | Cucurbitaceae | Fruit and Your |
| 4.     | Capsicum spp. L.   | Cherry pepper | Khorsani     | Solanaceae    | Fruit          |

# Table 4.1.1. Identification of commonly used plant part of different local and indigenous vegetables

| 3.         Cucut           4.         Capso           5.         Cheno           6.         Nastu           7.         Tunis | urbita pepo L.<br>sicum spp. L.<br>nopodium album L.<br>turtium officinale W.T. Aiton | Pumpkin<br>Cherry pepper<br>Bathua | Pharsi<br>Khorsani<br>Bethu | Cucurbitaceae<br>Solanaceae | Fruit and Young shoot<br>Fruit |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 4.         Capsiling           5.         Cheno           6.         Nastur           7.         Tunis                       | sicum spp. L.<br>nopodium album L.<br>turtium officinale W.T. Aiton                   | Cherry pepper<br>Bathua            | Khorsani<br>Bethu           | Solanaceae                  | Fruit                          |
| 5. Chena<br>6. Nastu   | nopodium album L.<br>turtium officinale W.T. Aiton                                    | Bathua                             | Bethu                       | ~                           |                                |
| 6. Nastu<br>7 Tunis  | turtium officinale W.T. Aiton   | ***                                |                             | Chenopodiaceae              | Whole Plant                    |
| 7 Tunis  |   | Watercress                         | Simrayo                     | Brassicaceae                | Leaves with stem               |
| 7. <b>1</b> upis   | istra nutans Walls  | Nakima                             | Nakima                      | Asparagaceae                | Infloresecence                 |
| 8. Apiun   | um graveolens var. dulce L.   | Celery                             | Seleri                      | Apiacae                     | Leaf stalk and leaf            |
| 9. Solan   | num anguivi Lam.  | Poison berry                       | Bihi                        | Solanaceae                  | Fruit                          |
| 10. Amar   | ranthus viridis L.  | Amaranthus                         | Lalisaag                    | Amaranthaceae               | Leaves and stem                |
| 11. Morin  | inga oleifera Lam.  | Drumstick                          | Sajana                      | Moringaceae                 | Pod                            |
| 12. Brass  | ssica juncea var. rugose (L.) Czern   | Mustard leaf                       | Rayo sag                    | Cruciferae                  | Leaves                         |
| 13. Raph   | hanus sativus (L.) Domin  | Radish                             | Mula                        | Cruciferae                  | Root                           |
| 14. Diosc  | scorea spp. L.  | Yams                               | Tarul                       | Araceae                     | Tuber                          |
| 15. Ipome  | nea batatas (L.) Lam  | Sweet potato                       | Sakhar khanda               | Convolvulaceae              | Root tuber and leaves          |
| 16. Mani   | ihot esculenta Crantz   | Tapioca                            | Simal tarul                 | Euphorbiaceae               | Tuber                          |

| 17. | Amorphophallus campanulatus (Roxb.) | Elephant's foot yam   | Ool             | Araceae        | Tuber                  |
|-----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 18. | Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott     | Taro                  | Pindalu         | Araceae        | Tuber                  |
| 19. | Phaseolus vulgaris L.               | Common French bean    | Simbi           | Fabaceae       | Tender pod and seed    |
| 20. | Phaseolus lunatus L.                | Butter bean           | Gheu simbi      | Fabaceae       | Tender pod             |
| 21. | Vicia faba L.                       | Broad bean            | Bakuley simbi   | Fabaceae       | Tender pod and seed    |
| 22. | Dolichos biflorus Lim.              | Horse gram            | Gahat           | Fabaceae       | Tender pod             |
| 23. | Spinacea oleracea L.                | Palak                 | Palak           | Chenopodiaceae | Leaves                 |
| 24. | Musa sp. L.                         | Banana inflorescence  | Keraa ko bungoo | Musaceae       | Unopened inflorescence |
| 25. | Cyclanthera pedata (L.) Schrader    | Sweet Gourd           | Chuche Karela   | Cucurbitaceae  | Fruit                  |
| 26. | Solanum macrocarpon L.              | African eggplant      | Thulo bihi      | Solanaceae     | Fruit                  |
| 27. | Urtica dioca L.                     | Stinging nettle       | Sisnu           | Urticaceae     | Plants                 |
| 28. | Diplazium esculentum (Ritz.) Sw.    | Dhekia, Dhenkir Shaak | Ningro          | Athyraceae     | Young leaf             |
| 29. | Solanum betacea Cav.                | Tree tomato           | Tree tomato     | Solanaceae     | Fruit                  |
| 30. | Allium tuberosum Roxb.              | Chinese Leek          | Dundu ko Saag   | Alliaceae      | leaf                   |
| 31. | Basella rubra L.                    | Malabar Spinach       | Poi sag         | Basellaceae    | Stem and leaf          |
| 32. | Fagopyrum esculentum Moench.        | Buck wheat            | Phaapar ko saag | Polygonaceae   | Leaf                   |
| 33. | Dendrocalamus hamiltonii Gamble     | Bamboo                | Tamba           | Poaceae        | Shoots                 |
| 34. | Portulaca oleracea L.               | Common purslane       | Dalda sag       | Portulaceae    | Plant                  |
| 35. | Aconogonum molle (D.Don) H.Harce    | Knotweed              | Thotne          | Polygonaceae   | Young Shoots           |
| 36. | Allium wallichii Kunth              | Himalaya Onion        | Dung-dunge      | Alliaceae      | Leaves                 |

| S. No. | Scientific name  | Medicinal Use  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1      |  | Good for thyroid health, Prevents kidney               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.     | Sechium edule Jacq.  | stones, anaemia, antiaging properties                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.     | <i>Momordica subangulata<br/>var. renigera</i> Wall ex G.<br>Dom | Relief fever   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.     | Cucurbita pepo L.  | Good for heart and liver health                        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.     | Capsicum spp. L.   | Reduces blood cholesterol                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.     | Chenopodium album L.   | useful in curing anorexia, cough, dysentery, diarrhoea |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.     | <i>Nasturtium officinale</i> W.T. Aiton                          | Jaundice, relief from Hypertension                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7      | Turisting mutang Walls   | Used to treat chickenpox, Slow-healing                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.     | <i>Tupistra nutans</i> walls                                     | wounds, antidiabetic                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8      | Apium graveolens var.  | Hypertension, Dehydration, Prevent or Treat            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.     | dulce L.   | high blood pressure, gastric                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.     | Solanum anguivi Lam.   | Blood pressure, Diabetes                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10     |  | Whole plant used for loose motion, dysentery,          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.    | Amaraninus viriais L.  | swelling   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11     | Marine a chifana Lore  | Antihypertensive, Antiulcer, Cholesterol               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11.    | Moringa oleijera Lam.  | lowering   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.    | <i>Brassica juncea var. rugose</i><br>(L.) Czern                 | Good during the stomach upset                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.    | <i>Raphanus sativus</i> (L.)<br>Domin                            | No idea  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.    | Dioscorea spn I  | It is used in fever, leaves in rash and itch and       |  |  |  |  |  |
|        | Dioscorea spp. L.  | plants in constipation                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15.    | Ipomea batatas (L.) Lam  | Asthma ,arthritis                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.    | Manihot esculenta Crantz   | No idea  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.    | Amorphophallus<br>campanulatus (Roxb.)                           | Dysentery  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18.    | <i>Colocasia esculenta</i> (L.)<br>Schott                        | Utilized for Arthritis, Diarrhoea                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19.    | Phaseolus vulgaris L.  | Controls cardiovascular diseases                       |  |  |  |  |  |

 Table 4.1.2. Knowledge of ethnic communities on medicinal property of local and indigenous vegetables

| 20. | Phaseolus lunatus L.                       | Digestion   |
|-----|--|---|
| 21. | Vicia faba L.                              | No Idea   |
| 22. | Dolichos biflorus Lim.                     | Useful in kidney and Gall bladder stone   |
| 23. | Spinacea oleracea L.                       | Reducing blood pressure   |
| 24. | Musa sp. L.                                | Overcome diabetes and anaemia   |
| 25. | <i>Cyclanthera pedata</i> (L.)<br>Schrader | The treatment of intestinal parasites, treat gastrointestinal problems                                      |
| 26. | Solanum macrocarpon L.                     | Diabetes  |
| 27. | Urtica dioca L.                            | Paste of roots is applied externally to bone<br>fractures with a cotton cloth, antihypertensive<br>property |
| 28. | <i>Diplazium esculentum</i><br>(Ritz.) Sw. | No idea   |
| 29. | Solanum betacea Cav.                       | Good food for Diabetes  |
| 30. | Allium tuberosum Roxb.                     | No idea   |
| 31. | Basella rubra L.                           | No idea   |
| 32. | <i>Fagopyrum esculentum</i> Moench.        | Good food for diabetic patient  |
| 33. | <i>Dendrocalamus hamiltonii</i><br>Gamble  | No idea   |
| 34. | Portulaca oleracea L.                      | No idea   |
| 35. | Aconogonum molle<br>(D.Don) H.Harce        | The plants is used as an astringent and eaten relished in the hills as vegetable and pickle.                |
| 36. | Allium wallichii Kunth                     | It is used for the preparation of scented food<br>items also helps in digestion                             |

|             | East District |          |             | West District |          |              | South District | -        | North District |             |          |
|-------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------------|----------|--------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude     | Longitude      | Altitude | Latitude       | Longitude   | Altitude |
| (N)         | (Ē)           | (m)      | (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)          | (E)            | (m)      | (N)            | (E)         | (m)      |
| 27° 18' 54" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1282     | 27° 18' 53" | 88° 14' 51"   | 1525     | 27° 22' 05"  | 88° 28' 12"    | 1015     | 27° 25' 09"    | 88° 35' 10" | 1679     |
| 27° 18' 53" | 88° 36' 34"   | 1309     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 47"   | 1501     | 27° 22' 02"  | 88° 28' 17"    | 953      | 27° 25' 08"    | 88° 35' 14" | 1638     |
| 27° 18' 48" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1240     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 49"   | 1499     | 27° 21' 58"  | 88° 28' 02"    | 1001     | 27° 25' 09"    | 88° 35' 09" | 1625     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 49"   | 1243     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 50"   | 1500     | 27° 21' 57"  | 88° 28' 16"    | 926      | 27° 25' 14"    | 88° 35' 22" | 1537     |
| 27° 18' 52" | 88° 36' 43"   | 1225     | 27° 18' 59" | 88° 14' 55"   | 1462     | 27° 22' 01"  | 88° 28' 21"    | 891      | 27° 25' 08"    | 88° 35' 23" | 1553     |
| 27° 18' 56" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1244     | 27° 18' 59" | 88° 14' 54"   | 1455     | 27° 12' 00"  | 88° 21' 51"    | 867      | 27° 29' 40"    | 88° 31' 59" | 1621     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1229     | 27° 19' 02" | 88° 14' 53"   | 1430     | 27° 11' 55"  | 88° 21' 49"    | 870      | 27° 29' 42"    | 88° 31' 56" | 1224     |
| 27° 16' 53" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1217     | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 42"   | 1818     | 27° 11' 56"  | 88° 21' 53"    | 895      | 27° 29' 42"    | 88° 31' 53" | 1207     |
| 27° 16' 56" | 88° 36' 34"   | 1204     | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 40"   | 1794     | 27° 11' 53"  | 88° 21' 49"    | 876      | 27° 29' 44"    | 88° 31' 52" | 1186     |
| 27° 16' 55" | 88° 36' 36"   | 1212     | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 15' 15"   | 1442     | 27° 11' 51"  | 88° 22' 02"    | 986      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 03" | 1096     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1231     | 27° 17' 21" | 88° 15' 16"   | 1440     | 27° 15' 33"  | 88° 31' 21"    | 961      | 27° 24' 32"    | 88° 37' 13" | 1483     |
| 27° 16' 52" | 88° 36' 38"   | 1241     | 27° 17' 20" | 88° 15' 08"   | 1370     | 27° 15' 30"  | 88° 31' 17"    | 988      | 27° 24' 31"    | 88° 37' 13" | 1489     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 26"   | 1157     | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 14' 57"   | 1372     | 27° 15' 45"  | 88° 31' 28"    | 888      | 27° 24' 32"    | 88° 36' 58" | 1475     |
| 27° 16' 51" | 88° 36' 27"   | 1155     | 27° 14' 47" | 88° 16' 09"   | 1546     | 27° 15' 44"  | 88° 31' 13"    | 966      | 27° 34' 32"    | 88° 37' 12" | 1481     |
| 27° 11' 24" | 88° 40' 30"   | 1442     | 27° 14' 41" | 88° 16' 13"   | 1593     | 27° 15' 14"  | 88° 31' 24"    | 917      | 27° 24' 29"    | 88° 37' 11" | 1495     |
| 27° 11' 22" | 88° 40' 31"   | 1460     | 27° 14' 34" | 88° 16' 09"   | 1583     | 27° 09' 57"  | 88° 28' 39"    | 1400     | 27° 31' 51"    | 88° 30' 42" | 969      |
| 27° 11' 35" | 88° 40' 15"   | 1391     | 27° 14' 33" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1596     | 27° 09' 56"  | 88° 28' 25"    | 1472     | 27° 31' 50"    | 88° 30' 35" | 1039     |
| 27° 11' 32" | 88° 40' 11"   | 1446     | 27° 14' 37" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1581     | 27° 09' 47"  | 88° 28' 19"    | 1409     | 27° 31' 48"    | 88° 30' 36" | 1039     |
| 27° 11' 23" | 88° 40' 27"   | 1441     | 27° 15' 26" | 88° 16' 56"   | 1293     | 27° 09' 38"  | 88° 28' 30"    | 1308     | 27° 31' 46"    | 88° 30' 34" | 1063     |
| 27° 12' 44" | 88° 36' 09"   | 1016     | 27° 15' 31" | 88° 16' 47"   | 1211     | 27° 09' 41"  | 88° 28' 35"    | 1284     | 27° 31' 42"    | 88° 30' 35" | 1078     |
| 27° 12' 35" | 88° 36' 03"   | 1068     | 27° 15' 28" | 88° 16' 39"   | 1269     | 27° 05' 58"  | 88° 23' 40"    | 579      | 27° 26' 13"    | 88° 35' 30" | 1835     |
| 27° 12' 30" | 88° 36' 07"   | 1026     | 27° 15' 35" | 88° 16' 40"   | 1161     | 27° 06' 02'' | 88° 23' 36"    | 561      | 27° 26' 10"    | 88° 35' 25" | 1828     |
| 27° 12' 32" | 88° 36' 10"   | 996      | 27° 15' 20" | 88° 16' 29"   | 1404     | 27° 05' 53"  | 88° 23' 29"    | 523      | 27° 25' 54"    | 88° 35' 28" | 1663     |
| 27° 12' 53" | 88° 36' 12"   | 1005     | 27° 10' 51" | 88° 12' 05"   | 1635     | 27° 06' 03"  | 88° 23' 49"    | 691      | 27° 25' 56"    | 88° 35' 40" | 1663     |

 Table 4.2.1. GPS data of the places of collection of Solanum aethiopicum

| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1301 | 27° 10' 48" | 88° 12' 10" | 1601 | 27° 06' 04" | 88° 23' 51" | 719 | 27° 25' 48" | 88° 35' 42" | 1564 |
|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-------------|------|
| 27° 14' 37" | 88° 35' 58" | 1335 | 27° 10' 58" | 88° 11' 58" | 1706 |             |             |     |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1310 | 27° 10' 54" | 88° 12' 00" | 1682 |             |             |     |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 43" | 88° 36' 16" | 1281 | 27° 10' 55" | 88° 12' 04" | 1682 |             |             |     |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 48" | 88° 36' 21" | 1253 |             |             |      |             |             |     |             |             |      |

 Table 4.2.2. GPS data of the places of collection of Solanum macrocarpon

|             | East District |          |             | West District |          |             | South District | t        | North District |             |          |
|-------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude    | Longitude      | Altitude | Latituda (N)   | Longitude   | Altitude |
| (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)         | (E)            | (m)      | Latitude (IN)  | (E)         | (m)      |
| 27° 18' 54" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1282     | 27° 18' 53" | 88° 14' 51"   | 1525     | 27° 22' 12" | 88° 27' 53"    | 1231     | 27° 25' 09"    | 88° 35' 09" | 1625     |
| 27° 18' 53" | 88° 36' 34"   | 1309     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 47"   | 1501     | 27° 22' 10" | 88° 27' 51"    | 1246     | 27° 25' 14"    | 88° 35' 22" | 1537     |
| 27° 18' 48" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1240     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 49"   | 1499     | 27° 22' 22" | 88° 28' 17"    | 966      | 27° 25' 08"    | 88° 35' 23" | 1553     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 49"   | 1243     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 50"   | 1500     | 27° 22' 14" | 88° 28' 22"    | 906      | 27° 25' 05"    | 88° 35' 20" | 1557     |
| 27° 18' 52" | 88° 36' 43"   | 1225     | 27° 18' 59" | 88° 14' 55"   | 1462     | 27° 22' 05" | 88° 28' 12"    | 1015     | 27° 25' 06"    | 88° 35' 17" | 1588     |
| 27° 18' 56" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1244     | 27° 18' 59" | 88° 14' 54"   | 1455     | 27° 11' 56" | 88° 21' 53"    | 895      | 27° 29' 42"    | 88° 31' 53" | 1207     |
| 27° 16' 53" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1217     | 27° 19' 02" | 88° 14' 53"   | 1430     | 27° 11' 53" | 88° 21' 49"    | 876      | 27° 29' 44"    | 88° 31' 52" | 1186     |
| 27° 16' 56" | 88° 36' 34"   | 1204     | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 14' 57"   | 1372     | 27° 11' 51" | 88° 22' 02"    | 986      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 03" | 1096     |
| 27° 16' 55" | 88° 36' 36"   | 1212     | 27° 17' 19" | 88° 14' 52"   | 1339     | 27° 11' 52" | 88° 22' 02"    | 986      | 27° 29' 07"    | 88° 32' 05" | 1120     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1231     | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 40''  | 1794     | 27° 11' 52" | 88° 22' 02"    | 973      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 00" | 1065     |
| 27° 16' 52" | 88° 36' 38"   | 1241     | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 42"   | 1818     | 27° 15' 25" | 88° 31' 22"    | 958      | 27° 24' 32"    | 88° 36' 58" | 1475     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 26"   | 1157     | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 15' 15"   | 1442     | 27° 15' 24" | 88° 31' 18"    | 994      | 27° 34' 32"    | 88° 37' 12" | 1481     |
| 27° 16' 51" | 88° 36' 27"   | 1155     | 27° 14' 37" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1581     | 27° 15' 21" | 88° 31' 26"    | 911      | 27° 24' 29"    | 88° 37' 11" | 1495     |
| 27° 11' 24" | 88° 40' 18"   | 1441     | 27° 14' 35" | 88° 16' 08"   | 1571     | 27° 15' 17" | 88° 31' 26"    | 906      | 27° 34' 26"    | 88° 37' 13" | 1518     |
| 27° 11' 30" | 88° 40' 13"   | 1440     | 27° 14' 34" | 88° 16' 09"   | 1583     | 27° 15' 14" | 88° 31' 24"    | 917      | 27° 24' 23"    | 88° 37' 04" | 1500     |
| 27° 11' 23" | 88° 40' 30"   | 1443     | 27° 14' 33" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1596     | 27° 09' 30" | 88° 28' 31"    | 1287     | 27° 31' 48"    | 88° 30' 36" | 1039     |

| 27° 11' 24" | 88° 40' 30" | 1442 | 27° 14' 36" | 88° 16' 08'' | 1562 | 27° 09' 46" | 88° 28' 41" | 1256 | 27° 31' 46" | 88° 30' 34" | 1063 |
|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|--------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 27° 11' 22" | 88° 40' 31" | 1460 | 27° 15' 30" | 88° 16' 49"  | 1213 | 27° 09' 56" | 88° 28' 25" | 1472 | 27° 31' 42" | 88° 30' 35" | 1078 |
| 27° 16' 46" | 88° 36' 10" | 1011 | 27° 15' 32" | 88° 16' 45"  | 1188 | 27° 09' 48" | 88° 28' 20" | 1418 | 27° 31' 39" | 88° 30' 34" | 1093 |
| 27° 12' 35" | 88° 36' 03" | 1068 | 27° 15' 26" | 88° 16' 56"  | 1293 | 27° 09' 47" | 88° 28' 14" | 1422 | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 25" | 1828 |
| 27° 12' 32" | 88° 36' 10" | 996  | 27° 15' 31" | 88° 16' 47'' | 1211 | 27° 06' 01" | 88° 23' 43" | 633  | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 32" | 1816 |
| 27° 12' 53" | 88° 36' 12" | 1005 | 27° 15' 28" | 88° 16' 39"  | 1269 | 27° 26' 02" | 88° 23' 40" | 613  | 27° 26' 07" | 88° 35' 33" | 1799 |
| 27° 12' 43" | 88° 36' 14" | 976  | 27° 10' 57" | 88° 12' 02"  | 1675 | 27° 05' 58" | 88° 23' 40" | 579  | 27° 26' 06" | 88° 35' 32" | 1793 |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1301 | 27° 10' 55" | 88° 12' 03"  | 1663 | 27° 06' 02" | 88° 23' 36" | 561  | 27° 26' 03" | 88° 35' 45" | 1699 |
| 27° 14' 37" | 88° 35' 58" | 1335 | 27° 10' 54" | 88° 12' 05"  | 1644 | 27° 05' 53" | 88° 23' 29" | 523  |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1310 | 27° 10' 52" | 88° 12' 02"  | 1662 |             |             |      |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 51" | 88° 36' 23" | 1267 | 27° 10' 51" | 88° 12' 05"  | 1635 |             |             |      |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1294 |             |              |      |             |             |      |             |             |      |

 Table 4.2.3. GPS data of the places of collection of Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae

|             | East District |          | West District |             |          | South District |             |          | North District |             |          |
|-------------|---------------|----------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude      | Longitude   | Altitude | Latitude       | Longitude   | Altitude | Latitude       | Longitude   | Altitude |
| (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)           | (E)         | (m)      | (N)            | (E)         | (m)      | (N)            | (E)         | (m)      |
| 27° 18' 48" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1240     | 27° 18' 55"   | 88° 14' 49" | 1499     | 27° 22' 27"    | 88° 28' 00" | 1081     | 27° 25' 01"    | 88° 35' 15" | 1606     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 49"   | 1243     | 27° 18' 55"   | 88° 14' 50" | 1500     | 27° 22' 32"    | 88° 28' 10" | 966      | 27° 25' 06"    | 88° 35' 11" | 1664     |
| 27° 18' 52" | 88° 36' 43"   | 1225     | 27° 18' 59"   | 88° 14' 55" | 1462     | 27° 22' 27"    | 88° 28' 15" | 948      | 27° 25' 16"    | 88° 35' 28" | 1503     |
| 27° 18' 56" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1244     | 27° 18' 59"   | 88° 14' 54" | 1455     | 27° 22' 12"    | 88° 27' 53" | 1231     | 27° 25' 10"    | 88° 35' 25" | 1540     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1229     | 27° 19' 06"   | 88° 14' 53" | 1392     | 27° 22' 10"    | 88° 27' 51" | 1246     | 27° 25' 00"    | 88° 35' 24" | 1525     |

| 27° 18' 49" 88° 30 | 6' 49" | 1167 | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 42"  | 1818 | 27° 11' 59" | 88° 20' 05" | 1004 | 27° 29' 05" | 88° 32' 03" | 1096 |
|--------------------|--------|------|-------------|--------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 27° 16' 53" 88° 30 | 6' 35" | 1217 | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 15' 15"  | 1442 | 27° 12' 01" | 88° 22' 04" | 990  | 27° 29' 07" | 88° 32' 05" | 1120 |
| 27° 16' 56" 88° 30 | 6' 34" | 1204 | 27° 17' 20" | 88° 15' 11"  | 1397 | 27° 11' 59" | 88° 22' 00" | 928  | 27° 29' 05" | 88° 32' 00" | 1065 |
| 27° 16' 55" 88° 30 | 6' 36" | 1212 | 27° 17' 21" | 88° 15' 16"  | 1440 | 27° 11' 52" | 88° 22' 02" | 986  | 27° 29' 07" | 88° 32' 02" | 1093 |
| 27° 16' 54" 88° 30 | 6' 39" | 1231 | 27° 17' 20" | 88° 15' 08"  | 1370 | 27° 11' 52" | 88° 22' 02" | 973  | 27° 29' 06" | 88° 32' 04" | 1106 |
| 27° 16' 52" 88° 30 | 6' 38" | 1241 | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 14' 57"  | 1372 | 27° 12' 00" | 88° 21' 58" | 910  | 27° 34' 26" | 88° 37' 13" | 1518 |
| 27° 16' 54" 88° 30 | 6' 26" | 1157 | 27° 14' 36" | 88° 16' 08"  | 1562 | 27° 15' 24" | 88° 31' 37" | 775  | 27° 24' 23" | 88° 37' 04" | 1500 |
| 27° 16' 51" 88° 30 | 6' 27" | 1155 | 27° 14' 35" | 88° 16' 08"  | 1571 | 27° 15' 22" | 88° 31' 43" | 721  | 27° 24' 21" | 88° 37' 01" | 1494 |
| 27° 11' 32" 88° 40 | 0' 11" | 1446 | 27° 14' 34" | 88° 16' 09"  | 1583 | 27° 15' 45" | 88° 31' 28" | 888  | 27° 24' 18" | 88° 37' 00" | 1511 |
| 27° 11' 23" 88° 40 | 0' 27" | 1441 | 27° 14' 33" | 88° 16' 11"  | 1596 | 27° 15' 44" | 88° 31' 13" | 966  | 27° 24' 27" | 88° 37' 14" | 1514 |
| 27° 11' 23" 88° 40 | 0' 30" | 1443 | 27° 14' 37" | 88° 16' 11"  | 1581 | 27° 15' 41" | 88° 31' 25" | 918  | 27° 31' 51" | 88° 30' 42" | 969  |
| 27° 11' 24" 88° 40 | 0' 30" | 1442 | 27° 15' 28" | 88° 16' 39"  | 1269 | 27° 09' 38" | 88° 28' 30" | 1308 | 27° 31' 50" | 88° 30' 35" | 1039 |
| 27° 11' 22" 88° 40 | 0' 31" | 1460 | 27° 15' 35" | 88° 16' 40'' | 1161 | 27° 09' 41" | 88° 28' 35" | 1284 | 27° 31' 48" | 88° 30' 36" | 1039 |
| 27° 12' 44" 88° 30 | 6' 09" | 1016 | 27° 15' 20" | 88° 16' 29"  | 1404 | 27° 09' 42" | 88° 28' 33" | 1312 | 27° 31' 46" | 88° 30' 34" | 1063 |
| 27° 12' 35" 88° 30 | 6' 03" | 1068 | 27° 15' 44" | 88° 16' 49"  | 1027 | 27° 09' 30" | 88° 28' 31" | 1287 | 27° 26' 15" | 88° 35' 21" | 1875 |
| 27° 12' 30" 88° 30 | 6' 07" | 1026 | 27° 15' 31" | 88° 16' 49"  | 1198 | 27° 09' 46" | 88° 28' 41" | 1256 | 27° 26' 16" | 88° 35' 25" | 1878 |
| 27° 12' 32" 88° 30 | 6' 10" | 996  | 27° 10' 48" | 88° 12' 10"  | 1601 | 27° 05' 58" | 88° 23' 47" | 625  | 27° 26' 13" | 88° 35' 30" | 1835 |
| 27° 12' 53" 88° 30 | 6' 12" | 1005 | 27° 10' 58" | 88° 11' 58"  | 1706 | 27° 05' 59" | 88° 23' 46" | 628  | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 25" | 1828 |
| 27° 14' 41" 88° 30 | 6' 15" | 1294 | 27° 10' 51" | 88° 12' 10"  | 1599 | 27° 05' 59" | 88° 23' 45" | 623  | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 32" | 1816 |
| 27° 14' 41" 88° 30 | 6' 15" | 1301 | 27° 10' 53" | 88° 12' 11"  | 1591 | 27° 06' 01" | 88° 23' 43" | 633  |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 37" 88° 3  | 5' 58" | 1335 | 27° 10' 57" | 88° 12' 09"  | 1608 | 27° 26' 02" | 88° 23' 40" | 613  |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 41" 88° 30 | 6' 15" | 1310 |             |              |      |             |             |      |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 43" 88° 30 | 6' 16" | 1281 |             |              |      |             |             |      |             |             |      |

|             | East District |          |             | West District |          | South District |             |          | North District |              |          |
|-------------|---------------|----------|-------------|---------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|----------------|--------------|----------|
| Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude    | Longitude     | Altitude | Latitude       | Longitude   | Altitude | Latitude       | Longitude    | Altitude |
| (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)         | (E)           | (m)      | (N)            | (E)         | (m)      | (N)            | (E)          | (m)      |
| 27° 18' 54" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1282     | 27° 19' 03" | 88° 15' 04"   | 1420     | 27° 22' 05"    | 88° 28' 12" | 1015     | 27° 25' 16"    | 88° 35' 28"  | 1503     |
| 27° 18' 53" | 88° 36' 34"   | 1309     | 27° 19' 06" | 88° 14' 53"   | 1392     | 27° 22' 02"    | 88° 28' 17" | 953      | 27° 25' 10"    | 88° 35' 25"  | 1540     |
| 27° 18' 48" | 88° 36' 44"   | 1240     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 50"   | 1500     | 27° 21' 58"    | 88° 28' 02" | 1001     | 27° 25' 00"    | 88° 35' 24"  | 1525     |
| 27° 18' 49" | 88° 36' 49"   | 1243     | 27° 18' 53" | 88° 14' 51"   | 1525     | 27° 21' 57"    | 88° 28' 16" | 926      | 27° 25' 57"    | 88° 35' 21"  | 1541     |
| 27° 18' 52" | 88° 36' 43"   | 1225     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 47"   | 1501     | 27° 22' 01"    | 88° 28' 21" | 891      | 27° 25' 54"    | 88° 35' 21"  | 1536     |
| 27° 18' 56" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1244     | 27° 18' 55" | 88° 14' 49"   | 1499     | 27° 12' 01"    | 88° 22' 04" | 990      | 27° 29' 07"    | 88° 32' 02'' | 1093     |
| 27° 16' 53" | 88° 36' 35"   | 1217     | 27° 17' 58" | 88° 14' 42"   | 1818     | 27° 11' 59"    | 88° 22' 00" | 928      | 27° 29' 06"    | 88° 32' 04"  | 1106     |
| 27° 16' 55" | 88° 36' 36"   | 1212     | 27° 17' 23" | 88° 15' 15"   | 1442     | 27° 12' 00"    | 88° 21' 58" | 910      | 27° 29' 06"    | 88° 32' 10"  | 1167     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 39"   | 1231     | 27° 17' 20" | 88° 15' 11"   | 1397     | 27° 21' 00"    | 88° 21' 56" | 897      | 27° 29' 08"    | 88° 32' 14"  | 1195     |
| 27° 16' 54" | 88° 36' 26"   | 1157     | 27° 17' 21" | 88° 15' 16"   | 1440     | 27° 12' 00"    | 88° 21' 51" | 867      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 09"  | 1155     |
| 27° 16' 42" | 88° 36' 18"   | 1077     | 27° 17' 20" | 88° 15' 08"   | 1370     | 27° 15' 34"    | 88° 31' 18" | 986      | 27° 24' 21"    | 88° 37' 01"  | 1494     |
| 27° 11' 24" | 88° 40' 18"   | 1441     | 27° 14' 41" | 88° 16' 13"   | 1593     | 27° 15' 33"    | 88° 31' 21" | 961      | 27° 24' 18"    | 88° 37' 00'' | 1511     |
| 27° 11' 30" | 88° 40' 13"   | 1440     | 27° 14' 34" | 88° 16' 09"   | 1583     | 27° 15' 30"    | 88° 31' 17" | 988      | 27° 24' 27"    | 88° 37' 14"  | 1514     |
| 27° 11' 23" | 88° 40' 30"   | 1443     | 27° 14' 33" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1596     | 27° 15' 29"    | 88° 31' 22" | 961      | 27° 24' 11"    | 88° 36' 59"  | 1558     |
| 27° 11' 35" | 88° 40' 15"   | 1391     | 27° 14' 37" | 88° 16' 11"   | 1581     | 27° 15' 25"    | 88° 31' 22" | 958      | 27° 24' 17"    | 88° 36' 59"  | 1507     |
| 27° 11' 35" | 88° 40' 16"   | 1384     | 27° 14' 35" | 88° 16' 08"   | 1571     | 27° 09' 59"    | 88° 28' 39" | 1404     | 27° 31' 38"    | 88° 30' 40"  | 992      |
| 27° 16' 46" | 88° 36' 10"   | 1011     | 27° 15' 32" | 88° 16' 44"   | 1326     | 27° 09' 59"    | 88° 28' 42" | 1389     | 27° 31' 51"    | 88° 30' 42"  | 969      |
| 27° 12' 44" | 88° 36' 09"   | 1016     | 27° 15' 25" | 88° 16' 46"   | 1299     | 27° 09' 57"    | 88° 28' 39" | 1400     | 27° 31' 50"    | 88° 30' 35"  | 1039     |
| 27° 12' 35" | 88° 36' 03"   | 1068     | 27° 15' 30" | 88° 16' 49"   | 1213     | 27° 09' 56"    | 88° 28' 25" | 1472     | 27° 31' 48"    | 88° 30' 36"  | 1039     |

# Table 4.2.4. GPS data of the places of collection of Tupistra aurantiaca

| 27° 12' 30" | 88° 36' 07" | 1026 | 27° 15' 32" | 88° 16' 45" | 1188 | 27° 09' 47" | 88° 28' 19" | 1409 | 27° 26' 13" | 88° 35' 30" | 1835 |
|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|
| 27° 12' 32" | 88° 36' 10" | 996  | 27° 15' 26" | 88° 16' 56" | 1293 | 27° 06' 03" | 88° 23' 49" | 691  | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 25" | 1828 |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1301 | 27° 10' 54" | 88° 12' 00" | 1682 | 27° 06' 04" | 88° 23' 51" | 719  | 27° 26' 10" | 88° 35' 32" | 1816 |
| 27° 14' 37" | 88° 35' 58" | 1335 | 27° 10' 55" | 88° 12' 04" | 1682 | 27° 06' 08" | 88° 23' 49" | 746  | 27° 26' 07" | 88° 35' 33" | 1799 |
| 27° 14' 41" | 88° 36' 15" | 1310 | 27° 10' 57" | 88° 12' 02" | 1675 | 27° 06' 11" | 88° 23' 48" | 750  | 27° 26' 06" | 88° 35' 32" | 1793 |
| 27° 14' 51" | 88° 36' 23" | 1267 | 27° 10' 55" | 88° 12' 03" | 1663 | 27° 06' 10" | 88° 23' 53" | 793  |             |             |      |
| 27° 14' 48" | 88° 36' 16" | 1225 |             |             |      |             |             |      |             |             |      |

 Table 4.2.5. GPS data of the places of collection of Nasturtium officinale

| East District |             |          | West District |             |          |             | South District | ţ        | North District |             |          |
|---------------|-------------|----------|---------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------|
| Latitude      | Longitude   | Altitude | Latitude      | Longitude   | Altitude | Latitude    | Longitude      | Altitude | Latituda (NI)  | Longitude   | Altitude |
| (N)           | (E)         | (m)      | (N)           | (E)         | (m)      | (N)         | (E)            | (m)      | Latitude (N)   | (E)         | (m)      |
| 27° 18' 49"   | 88° 36' 49" | 1167     | 27° 19' 03''  | 88° 15' 04" | 1420     | 27° 22' 01" | 88° 28' 21"    | 891      | 27° 25' 00"    | 88° 35' 24" | 1525     |
| 27° 18' 51"   | 88° 36' 49" | 1158     | 27° 19' 06''  | 88° 14' 53" | 1392     | 27° 21' 57" | 88° 28' 16"    | 926      | 27° 25' 57"    | 88° 35' 21" | 1541     |
| 27° 18' 56"   | 88° 36' 48" | 1178     | 27° 19' 05"   | 88° 15' 12" | 1396     | 27° 22' 14" | 88° 28' 22"    | 906      | 27° 25' 54"    | 88° 35' 21" | 1536     |
| 27° 18' 55"   | 88° 36' 36" | 1280     | 27° 19' 06''  | 88° 15' 08" | 1396     | 27° 22' 27" | 88° 28' 15"    | 948      | 27° 25' 16"    | 88° 35' 28" | 1503     |
| 27° 18' 51"   | 88° 36' 37" | 1301     | 27° 19' 16''  | 88° 15' 31" | 1309     | 27° 22' 32" | 88° 28' 10"    | 966      | 27° 25' 14"    | 88° 35' 22" | 1537     |
| 27° 16' 51"   | 88° 36' 27" | 1155     | 27° 17' 16"   | 88° 15' 04" | 1311     | 27° 21' 00" | 88° 21' 56"    | 897      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 03" | 1096     |
| 27° 16' 50"   | 88° 36' 18" | 1071     | 27° 17' 15"   | 88° 14' 51" | 1305     | 27° 12' 00" | 88° 21' 51"    | 867      | 27° 29' 05"    | 88° 32' 00" | 1065     |

| 27° 16' 41" | 88° 36' 14" | 1061 | 27° 17' 16'' | 88° 14' 46"  | 1339 | 27° 11' 55" | 88° 21' 49" | 870  | 27° 29' 07"  | 88° 32' 02" | 1093 |
|-------------|-------------|------|--------------|--------------|------|-------------|-------------|------|--------------|-------------|------|
| 27° 16' 38" | 88° 36' 13" | 1060 | 27° 17' 20'' | 88° 15' 08"  | 1370 | 27° 11' 56" | 88° 21' 53" | 895  | 27° 29' 06"  | 88° 32' 04" | 1106 |
| 27° 11' 23" | 88° 40' 27" | 1441 | 27° 17' 23'' | 88° 14' 57"  | 1372 | 27° 11' 53" | 88° 21' 49" | 876  | 27° 29' 06"  | 88° 32' 10" | 1167 |
| 27° 11' 23" | 88° 40' 30" | 1443 | 27° 14' 33'' | 88° 16' 01"  | 1523 | 27° 15' 24" | 88° 31' 37" | 775  | 27° 24' 32"  | 88° 37' 13" | 1483 |
| 27° 11' 35" | 88° 40' 16" | 1384 | 27° 14' 40'' | 88° 16' 05"  | 1526 | 27° 15' 22" | 88° 31' 43" | 721  | 27° 24' 31"  | 88° 37' 13" | 1489 |
| 27° 11' 39" | 88° 40' 13" | 1382 | 27° 14' 41"  | 88° 16' 06'' | 1527 | 27° 15' 45" | 88° 31' 28" | 888  | 27° 24' 32"  | 88° 36' 58" | 1475 |
| 27° 11' 40" | 88° 40' 12" | 1380 | 27° 14' 47'' | 88° 16' 09"  | 1546 | 27° 15' 21" | 88° 31' 26" | 911  | 27° 34' 32"  | 88° 37' 12" | 1481 |
| 27° 12' 43" | 88° 36' 14" | 976  | 27° 14' 46'' | 88° 16' 07'' | 1534 | 27° 15' 17" | 88° 31' 26" | 906  | 27° 24' 29"  | 88° 37' 11" | 1495 |
| 27° 12' 35" | 88° 36' 21" | 903  | 27° 15' 44"  | 88° 16' 49"  | 1027 | 27° 09' 30" | 88° 28' 31" | 1287 | 27° 37' 45"  | 88° 30' 47" | 886  |
| 27° 12' 38" | 88° 36' 22" | 894  | 27° 15' 32'' | 88° 16' 45"  | 1188 | 27° 09' 46" | 88° 28' 41" | 1256 | 27° 31' 46"  | 88° 30' 47" | 892  |
| 27° 12' 43" | 88° 36' 25" | 874  | 27° 15' 31"  | 88° 16' 47'' | 1211 | 27° 09' 41" | 88° 28' 35" | 1284 | 27° 31' 36"  | 88° 30' 46" | 963  |
| 27° 12' 38" | 88° 36' 22" | 896  | 27° 15' 35"  | 88° 16' 40'' | 1161 | 27° 09' 38" | 88° 28' 30" | 1308 | 27° 31' 38"  | 88° 30' 40" | 992  |
| 27° 14' 48" | 88° 36' 21" | 1253 | 27° 15' 30'' | 88° 16' 49'' | 1213 | 27° 09' 30" | 88° 28' 31" | 1287 | 27° 26' 03'' | 88° 35' 45" | 1699 |
| 27° 14' 51" | 88° 36' 23" | 1267 | 27° 10' 51"  | 88° 12' 10"  | 1599 | 27° 05' 58" | 88° 23' 40" | 579  | 27° 25' 54"  | 88° 35' 35" | 1662 |
| 27° 14' 48" | 88° 36' 16" | 1225 | 27° 10' 48'' | 88° 12' 10"  | 1601 | 27° 06' 02" | 88° 23' 36" | 561  | 27° 25' 54"  | 88° 35' 28" | 1663 |
| 27° 14' 47" | 88° 36' 07" | 1223 | 27° 10' 57"  | 88° 12' 09"  | 1608 | 27° 05' 53" | 88° 23' 29" | 523  | 27° 25' 56"  | 88° 35' 40" | 1663 |
| 27° 14' 43" | 88° 36' 16" | 1281 | 27° 10' 51'' | 88° 12' 10"  | 1599 | 27° 05' 59" | 88° 23' 46" | 628  | 27° 25' 48'' | 88° 35' 42" | 1564 |
|             |             |      | 27° 10' 51"  | 88° 12' 05"  | 1635 | 27° 05' 59" | 88° 23' 45" | 623  |              |             |      |

and village gentries as respondent. The present study revealed the use of 36 indigenous and local vegetables with medicinal properties were commonly used by local inhabitants of Sikkim Himalayas. The vegetables belong to 21 families, among which cucurbitaceae, fabaceae, solanaceae and araceae were most commonly used by local inhabitants. The details of vegetables used by ethnic community of Sikkim with its botanical name, common name, family and plant part used are presented in Table 4.1.1. The Table 4.1.2 describes the medicinal use of the vegetables along with their botanical name. Tender shoot and leaf were most commonly used part as vegetable followed by fruits and leaves.

#### 4.2 Collection of samples

The samples used for this study were collected from all the four districts of Sikkim along with the GPS data during their peak availability period. The GPS data of the places of collection of samples for each vegetables are presented in Table 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.2.3, 4.2.4, 4.2.5.

#### 4.3. Proximate Analysis:

The different proximate content *i.e.* moisture content, dry matter content, total soluble solids, total ash content, crude fat, crude protein, crude fibre, total carbohydrate, total starch, total sugar, Chlorophyll A, Chlorophyll B and total chlorophyll content of the five selected vegetables were analysed. The results are shown in respective graphs and Table 4.3 along with mean  $\pm$  SD value.

#### **4.3.1 Moisture (%)**

The moisture content of *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Solanum macrocarpon*, *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae*, *Tupistra aurantiaca*, *Nasturtium officinale* was

|                      | Moisture           | Dry                | TSS               |                   | Crude              | Crude             | Crude             | Carbohy            | Total             | Total             | Chl A (mg            | Chl B (mg            | Total                                   |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
|                      | Content (%)        | Matter             | (°Brix)           | Ash (%)           | Fat (%)            | Protein           | Fibre             | drate<br>(%)       | starch            | Sugar             | 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Chlorophyll<br>(mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| S.                   | 88.27 <sup>c</sup> | 11.73°             | 3.53 <sup>c</sup> | 0.86 <sup>d</sup> | 2.91 <sup>b</sup>  | $2.10^{\circ}$    | 3.35 <sup>b</sup> | 7.11 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.84 <sup>a</sup> | 4.93 <sup>a</sup> | 0.97 <sup>d</sup>    | 6.23 <sup>d</sup>    | 7.17 <sup>d</sup>                       |
| aethiopicum          | ±0.06              | ±0.06              | ±0.12             | ±0.08             | ±0.19              | ±0.12             | ±0.16             | ±0.05              | ±0.03             | ±0.02             | ±0.03                | ±0.02                | ±0.03                                   |
| S.                   | 91.53 <sup>b</sup> | 8.47 <sup>d</sup>  | 3.27 <sup>d</sup> | 1.37 <sup>c</sup> | 2.23 <sup>c</sup>  | 1.44 <sup>d</sup> | 2.66 <sup>c</sup> | 6.59 <sup>c</sup>  | 0.64 <sup>b</sup> | 5.22 <sup>a</sup> | 0.17 <sup>e</sup>    | 5.99 <sup>e</sup>    | 6.15 <sup>e</sup>                       |
| macrocarpon          | ±0.15              | ±0.15              | ±0.12             | ±0.15             | ±0.12              | ±0.06             | ±0.21             | ±0.28              | ±0.02             | ±0.05             | ±0.02                | $\pm 0.02$           | ±0.03                                   |
| C. annuum            | 84.39 <sup>d</sup> | 15.61 <sup>b</sup> | 6.27 <sup>b</sup> | 0.55 <sup>e</sup> | 0.89 <sup>d</sup>  | 6.25 <sup>a</sup> | 1.42 <sup>e</sup> | 4.29 <sup>d</sup>  | 0.17 <sup>d</sup> | 2.57 <sup>b</sup> | 9.50 <sup>a</sup>    | 15.43 <sup>a</sup>   | 24.89 <sup>a</sup>                      |
| var.<br>cerasiformae | ±0.09              | ±0.09              | ±0.12             | ±0.13             | ±0.10              | ±0.11             | ±0.16             | ±1.61              | ±0.07             | ±0.05             | ±0.08                | ±0.04                | ±0.02                                   |
| Т.                   | 80.68 <sup>e</sup> | 19.32 <sup>a</sup> | 6.93 <sup>a</sup> | 3.69 <sup>a</sup> | 22.59 <sup>a</sup> | 0.36 <sup>e</sup> | 6.56 <sup>a</sup> | 41.83 <sup>a</sup> | 0.01 <sup>e</sup> | 2.69 <sup>b</sup> | 1.40 <sup>c</sup>    | 8.54 <sup>c</sup>    | 9.92°                                   |
| aurantiaca           | ±0.45              | ±0.45              | ±0.12             | ±0.02             | ±0.03              | ±0.05             | $\pm 0.04$        | $\pm 0.08$         | $\pm 0.00$        | ±0.49             | ±0.10                | ±0.03                | ±0.01                                   |
| N officinals         | 94.24 <sup>a</sup> | 5.76 <sup>e</sup>  | 2.53 <sup>e</sup> | 2.38 <sup>b</sup> | 0.30 <sup>e</sup>  | 4.60 <sup>b</sup> | 1.66 <sup>d</sup> | 8.73 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.39 <sup>c</sup> | 5.25 <sup>a</sup> | 6.79 <sup>b</sup>    | 11.00 <sup>b</sup>   | 17.79 <sup>b</sup>                      |
|                      | ±0.28              | $\pm 0.28$         | ±0.12             | ±0.01             | $\pm 0.05$         | ±0.06             | $\pm 0.02$        | ±0.23              | ±0.01             | ±0.03             | ±0.03                | ±0.04                | $\pm 0.02$                              |
| CV %                 | 0.29               | 2.06               | 2.56              | 5.29              | 1.96               | 2.86              | 4.46              | 5.39               | 8.48              | 5.41              | 1.62                 | 0.33                 | 0.16                                    |
| SEm±                 | 0.14               | 0.14               | 0.07              | 0.05              | 0.07               | 0.05              | 0.08              | 0.43               | 0.02              | 0.13              | 0.04                 | 0.02                 | 0.01                                    |
| SEđ                  | 0.20               | 0.20               | 0.09              | 0.08              | 0.09               | 0.07              | 0.11              | 0.60               | 0.03              | 0.18              | 0.05                 | 0.03                 | 0.02                                    |

 Table 4.3. Mean performance of the selected vegetables for proximate content

found to be significantly different as depicted in Figure 4.3.1. The moisture content was recorded highest in the *Nasturtium officinale* leaves (94.24%±0.28) followed by fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (91.53%±0.15), *Solanum aethiopicum* (88.27±0.06) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (84.39% ±0.09) while lowest was recorded in the inflorescence of the *Tupistra aurantiaca* (80.68%±0.45).

#### 4.3.2 Dry Matter Content (%)

The dry matter content of Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae, Tupistra aurantiaca, Nasturtium officinale was found to be significantly different as shown in Figure 4.3.2. Dry matter content was highest in inflorescence of Tupistra aurantiaca (19.32% $\pm$ 0.45) followed by fruits of Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae (15.61% $\pm$ 0.09), Solanum aethiopicum (11.73 $\pm$ 0.06) and Solanum macrocarpon (8.47% $\pm$ 0.15) whereas lowest dry matter content was estimated in leaves of Nasturtium officinale (5.76% $\pm$ 0.28).

#### 4.3.3 Total Soluble Solids (°Brix)

The total soluble solids (TSS) of the selected vegetables was found significantly different and data are presented in Table 4.3 and represented by Figure 4.3.3. The total soluble solids was recorded highest in inflorescence of *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $6.93\% \pm 0.12$ ) followed by fruits of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $6.27\% \pm 0.12$ ), *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $3.53\% \pm 0.12$ ) and *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $3.27\% \pm 0.12$ ) while it was observed lowest in leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* ( $2.53\% \pm 0.12$ ).



Figure 4.3.1 Moisture content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.2 Dry matter content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.3 TSS (°Brix) of vegetables

#### 4.3.4 Total Ash content (%)

The total ash content was found significantly different of all the studied vegetables and data are represented in Figure 4.3.4. The total ash content was found highest in inflorescence of *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $3.69\%\pm0.02$ ) followed by leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* ( $2.38\%\pm0.01$ ), fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $1.37\%\pm0.15$ ) and *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $0.86\%\pm0.08$ ) while it is observed lowest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $0.55\%\pm0.13$ ).

#### 4.3.5 Crude Fat (%)

The data regarding crude fat content of all the studied vegetables were found significantly different and represented in Figure 4.3.5. *Tupistra aurantiaca* (22.59%±0.03) was found to be contain highest crude fat content among all the selected vegetables followed by fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* (2.91%±0.19), *Solanum macrocarpon* (2.23%±0.12) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.89% ±0.10). The lowest amount of crude fat content was recorded in *Nasturtium officinale* (0.30%±0.05).

#### 4.3.6 Crude Protein (%)

The crude protein content of all the studied vegetables were found significantly different and depicted in Figure 4.3.6. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $6.25\%\pm0.11$ ) was found to contain highest crude protein content among all the selected vegetables followed by *Nasturtium officinale* ( $4.60\%\pm0.06$ ), *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $2.10\%\pm0.12$ ) and *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $1.44\%\pm0.06$ ). The crude protein content was recorded lowest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $0.36\%\pm0.05$ ).

107



Figure 4.3.4 Total Ash content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.5 Crude Fat content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.6 Crude Protein content (%) of vegetables

#### **4.3.7 Crude Fibre (%)**

The Figure 4.3.7 represents the significantly different crude fibre content of all the studied vegetables which reveals that *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $6.56\% \pm 0.04$ ) contains highest fibre content among all the studied vegetables followed by fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $3.35\% \pm 0.16$ ) and *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $2.66\% \pm 0.21$ ). *Nasturtium officinale* ( $1.66\% \pm 0.02$ ) was found to be containing lowest amount of crude fibre which was statistically at par with *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $1.42\% \pm 0.16$ ).

#### 4.3.8 Total Carbohydrate (%)

The total carbohydrate content of all the studied vegetables are represented in Figure 4.3.8 and found significantly different. *Tupistra aurantiaca* (41.83% ±0.08) had highest content of total carbohydrate among all the studied vegetables followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (8.73% ±0.23). The total carbohydrate content of *Solanum aethiopicum* (7.11%±0.05) was statistically at par with the value of *Solanum macrocarpon* (6.59% ±0.28). The lowest amount of total carbohydrate was recorded in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (4.29% ±1.61).

#### **4.3.9 Total Starch (%)**

The total starch content of all the studied vegetables were found to be significantly different and depicted in Figure 4.3.9. The total starch content of fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.84%±0.03) was found highest among all the five indigenous selected vegetables followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.64% ±0.02), *Nasturtium officinale* (0.39% ±0.01) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.17% ±0.07) while it was observed lowest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (0.01% ±0.00).



Figure 4.3.7 Crude Fibre content (%) of vegetables







Figure 4.3.9 Total Starch content (%) of vegetables

#### **4.3.10 Total Sugar (%)**

The Figure 4.3.10 represents the total sugar content of all the studied vegetables which was found significantly different. The total sugar content was recorded maximum in the leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* (5.25%  $\pm$ 0.03) which was statistically at par with the total sugar content of *Solanum macrocarpon* (5.22%  $\pm$ 0.05) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (4.93% $\pm$ 0.02). The minimum sugar content was observed in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (2.57%  $\pm$ 0.05) which was statistically at par with the total sugar content of *Tupistra aurantiaca* (2.69%  $\pm$ 0.49).

#### 4.3.11 Chlorophyll A (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>)

The chlorophyll content of all the studied vegetables were found to be significantly different and data are depicted in Figure 4.3.11. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (9.50 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ) exhibited maximum amount of chlorophyll A content followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (6.79 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (1.40 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.10$ ) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.97 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ). The minimum amount of chlorophyll A content was found in fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.17 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ).

## 4.3.12 Chlorophyll B (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>)

The figure 4.3.12 depicts the significantly different chlorophyll B content of all the five studied vegetables which reveals that *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (15.43 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.04$ ) exhibited maximum amount of chlorophyll B followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (11.00 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.04$ ), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (8.45 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (6.23 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ). While, chlorophyll B



Figure 4.3.10 Total Sugar content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.11 Chlorophyll A content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.12 Chlorophyll B content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables



Figure 4.3.13 Total Chlorophyll content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

content was found to be lowest in fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (5.99 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ).

## 4.3.13 Total Chlorophyll (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>)

The total chlorophyll content of all the studied vegetables were found significantly different and presented in Figure 4.3.13. The total chlorophyll content among all the studied vegetables was found highest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (24.89 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (17.79 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (9.92 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.01$ ) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (7.17 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ). The fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (6.15 mg  $100g^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ) was recorded to contain the lowest amount of total Chlorophyll.

#### 4.4 Multi-elemental profiling

Essential elements including macro and micro (K, Ca, Mg, P, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Mo and S), trace elements (Al, Co, Li, Na and Sr) and some heavy metals (Pb, Sn, Cd and Cr) were analysed for the collected samples of the selected vegetables. Analysis was done using the ICP-MS and following the methodologies described in Chapter III.

#### **4.4.1 Essential Elements**

The concentration of essential elements of all the five studied vegetables are tabulated in Table 4.4.1 and described in following sub headings:

## 4.4.1.1 Potassium (K) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The potassium content of all the five vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.4.1. Potassium content was found highest in the fruit samples of *Solanum aethiopicum* 

|                             | K       | Ca      | Mg     | Р      | Fe    | Mn     | Cu     | Мо       | S     | Zn    |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|----------|-------|-------|
| S. aethiopicum              | 630.99  | 59.42   | 92.89  | 38.56  | 4.37  | 3.27   | 6.38   | 0.23     | 0.78  | 2.87  |
| S. macrocarpon              | 467.53  | 65.72   | 55.00  | 31.49  | 5.24  | 8.30   | 7.07   | 0.14     | 3.09  | 6.67  |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 246.15  | 27.41   | 13.64  | 77.43  | 9.82  | 0.74   | 0.16   | 2.18     | 3.98  | 0.51  |
| T. aurantiaca               | 561.61  | 11.30   | 86.82  | 110.88 | 42.33 | 26.24  | 52.63  | 2.70     | 3.042 | 2.38  |
| N. officinale               | 573.43  | 27.01   | 18.51  | 68.87  | 8.35  | 5.79   | 6.50   | 0.29     | 3.94  | 0.93  |
| Highest RDA                 | 4700 mg | 1000 mg | 420 mg | 700 mg | 18 mg | 2.3 mg | 0.9 mg | 0.045 mg | NA    | 11 mg |
| Upper Tolerance limit       | NE      | 2500 mg | 350 mg | 4000mg | 45 mg | 11 mg  | 10 mg  | 2 mg     | NA    | 40 mg |

Table 4.4.1. Essential elements content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) of the selected vegetables

# Table 4.4.2. Trace elements content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) of the selected vegetables

|                             | Al    | Na    | Sr    | Со   | Li   |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| S. aethiopicum              | 1.60  | 2.43  | 1.03  | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| S. macrocarpon              | 2.40  | 2.26  | 2.14  | 0.06 | 0.37 |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 6.41  | 25.66 | 17.76 | 0.67 | 2.23 |
| T. aurantiaca               | 14.85 | 19.06 | 14.43 | 0.52 | 1.61 |
| N. officinale               | 3.81  | 3.18  | 1.38  | 0.08 | 0.31 |
| Highest RDA                 | NA    | 1.5 g | NA    | NA   | NA   |
| Upper Tolerance limit       | NA    | 2.3 g | NA    | NA   | NA   |

(630.99 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* (573.43 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), inflorescence of *Tupistra aurantiaca* (561.61 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (467.53 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). While, it was recorded lowest in (246.15 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). All the mentioned data are significant different at p < 0.05.

## 4.4.1.2 Calcium (Ca) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The calcium content of the studied vegetables are represented by Figure 4.4.2. The calcium content was found in the wide range of 11.30 to 65.72 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW with statistically significant (p<0.05) variation amongst the vegetables. The calcium content was recorded highest in the fruit samples of *Solanum macrocarpon* (65.72 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) followed by fruit samples of *Solanum aethiopicum* (59.42 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW), *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (27.41 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW), leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* (27.01 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) and the least value was recorded for inflorescence of *Tupistra aurantiaca* (11.30 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW).

## 4.4.1.3 Magnesium (Mg) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The magnesium content was recorded in the range of 13.64 to 92.89 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW and represented in Figure 4.4.2. The significantly (*p*<0.05) different data of the samples for *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Tupistra aurantiaca*, *Solanum macrocarpon*, *Nasturtium officinale*, *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* was estimated to the tune of 92.89, 86.82, 55.00, 18.51 and 13.64 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW, respectively. The content in each vegetables were tested significant (*p*<0.05) statistically.

### 4.4.1.4 Phosphorus (P) (mg 100g<sup>1</sup> dry weight)

The phosphorus content for all the samples is graphically presented in Figure 4.4.2. It is quite interesting to note that the samples of *Tupistra aurantiaca* contain





Figure 4.4.1 Potassium content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

Figure 4.4.2. Calcium, magnesium & phosphorus content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables



Figure 4.4.3 Iron, Manganese and Copper content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

highest phosphorus (110.88 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (77.43 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Nasturtium officinale* (68.87 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (38.56 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). The lowest phosphorus content was observed in fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (31.49 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

## 4.4.1.5 Iron (Fe) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The iron content of the studied vegetables is illustrated in Figure 4.4.3. *Tupistra aurantiaca* (42.33 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) exhibited the maximum content of iron among all the studied vegetables followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (9.82 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Nasturtium officinale* (8.35 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (5.24 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), while minimum amount of iron content was observed in the fruits *Solanum aethiopicum* (4.37 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). All the data were found to be significant different (p < 0.05).

## 4.4.1.6 Manganese (Mn) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The manganese content was more than thrice in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (26.24 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) than other vegetables under study. Though in smaller quantity, manganese was higher in *Solanum macrocarpon* (8.30 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (5.79 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW), *Solanum aethiopicum* (3.27 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) and the lowest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.74 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW). All data were analysed to be statistically different (p < 0.05) (Figure 4.4.3).

### 4.4.1.7 Copper (Cu) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The copper content of all the studied vegetables are shown in Figure 4.4.3. The copper content was recorded highest in inflorescence of *Tupistra aurantiaca*  (52.63 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) which is more than seven times than that recorded for fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (7.07 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW), leaves of *Nasturtium officinale* (6.50 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW) and the fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* (6.38 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW). However, the lowest and only the trace was found in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.16 mg  $100g^{-1}$  DW). All data were found to be statistically different (p < 0.05).

### 4.4.1.8 Molybdenum (Mo) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The figure 4.4.4 displays the molybdenum content of all the studied vegetables. *Tupistra aurantiaca* again topped the list of the studied vegetable being rich in molybdenum content (2.70 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae, Nasturtium officinale, Solanum aethiopicum* and *Solanum macrocarpon* with 2.18, 0.29, 0.23 and 0.14 mg molybdenum per 100g DW of the samples, respectively.

## 4.4.1.9 Sulphur (S) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

Figure 4.4.4 depicts the sulphur content in different vegetables. Higher but non-significantly different data were recorded in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (3.98 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Nasturtium officinale* (3.94 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (3.09 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (3.04 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). While, *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.78 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) has least content of sulphur.

## 4.4.1.10 Zinc (Zn) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The zinc content of all the studied vegetables are graphically presented in Figure 4.4.4. The maximum content of Zinc was recorded in fruits of *Solanum* 

119





Figure 4.4.4 Molybdenum, Sulphur and Zinc content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

Figure 4.4.5 Aluminium, Sodium and Strontium content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables



Figure 4.4.6 Cobalt and Lithium content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

*macrocarpon* (6.67 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Solanum aethiopicum* (2.87 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (2.83 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Nasturtium officinale* (0.93 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) whereas lowest content was found in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.51 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

#### 4.4.2 Trace elements

The concentration of trace elements of all the five studied vegetables are tabulated in Table 4.4.2.

## 4.4.2.1 Aluminium (Al) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The aluminium content of all the studied vegetables are presented in Figure 4.4.5. *Tupistra aurantiaca* (14.85 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) samples were tested to have highest content of aluminium among all the studied vegetables followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (6.41 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Nasturtium officinale* (3.81 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). The lowest amount of aluminium was found in fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* (1.60 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (2.4 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). All the data are were significantly different (p < 0.05).

#### 4.4.2.2 Sodium (Na) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The sodium content of all the studied vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.4.5. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (25.66 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) was found to have rich contents of sodium. The value descends for *Tupistra aurantiaca* (19.06 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Nasturtium officinale* (3.18 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Solanum aethiopicum* (2.43 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and the lowest content of *Solanum macrocarpon* (2.26 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

## 4.4.2.3 Strontium (Sr) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The Figure 4.4.5 represents the strontium content of all the studied vegetables. The *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* stands to have highest strontium content (17.76 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) significantly higher than *Tupistra aurantiaca* (14.43 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). Strontium content for *Solanum macrocarpon, Solanum aethiopicum* and *Nasturtium officinale* was 2.14, 1.38 and 1.03 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW respectively.

## 4.4.2.4 Cobalt (Co) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The cobalt content of all the studied vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.4.6. From the graph it was shown that cobalt content was highest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.67 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Tupistra aurantiaca* (0.52 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). While it was found lowest in fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.06 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.06 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (0.08 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

# 4.4.2.5 Lithium (Li) (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The lithium content of all the studied vegetables are presented in Figure 4.4.6. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (2.23 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) recorded highest amount of lithium followed by *Tupistra aurantiaca* (1.61 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). The lithium content was found lowest in *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.24 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (0.31 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.37 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

|                             | Pb    | FSSAI permissible limits | Sn | Cd    | FSSAI permissible limits | Cr |
|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|----|-------|--------------------------|----|
|                             | (ppm) |                          |    | (ppm) |                          |    |
| S. aethiopicum              | 0.02  | Fruit vegetable 0.1 ppm  | ND | 0.010 | Fruit vegetable 0.05 ppm | ND |
| S. macrocarpon              | 0.03  | Fruit vegetable 0.1 ppm  | ND | 0.005 | Fruit vegetable 0.05 ppm | ND |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 0.08  | Fruit vegetable 0.1 ppm  | ND | 0.003 | Fruit vegetable 0.05 ppm | ND |
| T. aurantiaca               | 0.08  | NA                       | ND | 0.004 | NA                       | ND |
| N. officinale               | 0.02  | Leafy vegetable 0.3 ppm  | ND | 0.004 | Leafy vegetable 0.2 ppm  | ND |

 Table 4.4.3. Heavy elements content of the selected vegetables



Figure 4.4.7 Lead and Cadmium content of vegetables

#### 4.4.3 Heavy metals

All the vegetables selected for this investigation were subjected to analysis for concentration of heavy metals viz. lead, tin, cadmium and chromium. The data of heavy metal are shown in Table 4.4.3.

In all the investigated vegetables, lead was found to be in the range of 0.02 to 0.08 ppm (Figure 4.4.7) though the highest amount of lead was recorded in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.08 ppm) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (0.08 ppm) followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.03 ppm), *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.02 ppm) and *Nasturtium officinale* (0.02 ppm).

Tin and Chromium were not detectable during analysis which means they are either not present in samples or present in very low amount that could not be detected.

The cadmium content in all the vegetables was found to be in very low quantity and presented in Figure 4.4.7. Cadmium in *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Solanum macrocarpon*, *Tupistra aurantiaca*, *Nasturtium officinale* and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* was 0.010 ppm, 0.005 ppm, 0.004 ppm, 0.004 ppm and 0.003ppm, respectively.

#### **4.5 Phytochemical content**

Total phenol, total flavonoid, total flavonols, ascorbic acid content and carotene content were analysed for all the studied vegetables. For total phenol, total flavonoid and total flavonols methanol (80%) extracts were used as sample. The mean data of all phytochemical content along with respective SD value are tabulated in Table 4.5.

|                             | Total Phenol                | Flavonoid                   | Flavonols                   | Ascorbic                | Carotene                 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) | (mg g <sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) | (mg g <sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) | Acid (%)                | (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> ) |
| S. aethiopicum              | 3.89 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11     | 27.59 <sup>b</sup> ±1.59    | 9.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.16     | $0.46^{b}\pm0.03$       | $0.15^{d}\pm0.01$        |
| S. macrocarpon              | 1.03°±0.08                  | $12.69^{d} \pm 0.60$        | 4.35°±0.37                  | $0.78^{a}\pm0.02$       | 0.08 <sup>e</sup> ±0.01  |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 11.31 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03    | 54.66 <sup>a</sup> ±1.59    | 5.36 <sup>d</sup> ±0.15     | 0.26 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03 | 0.60ª±0.02               |
| T. aurantiaca               | 3.55°±0.11                  | 26.37 <sup>b</sup> ±0.21    | 6.22°±0.11                  | $0.44^{b}\pm0.02$       | 0.41 <sup>b</sup> ±0.02  |
| N. officinale               | 3.07 <sup>d</sup> ±0.12     | 24.61°±0.82                 | 20.44 <sup>a</sup> ±0.12    | $0.12^{d}\pm0.02$       | 0.38°±0.01               |
| Mean                        | 4.57                        | 29.18                       | 9.09                        | 2.05                    | 1.63                     |
| CV %                        | 2.09                        | 3.79                        | 2.24                        | 5.74                    | 4.88                     |
| SEm±                        | 0.06                        | 0.64                        | 0.12                        | 0.01                    | 0.92                     |
| SEđ                         | 0.08                        | 0.90                        | 0.17                        | 0.02                    | 0.01                     |

 Table 4.5. Phytochemical contents of the selected vegetables

# 4.5.1 Total Phenols (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW)

The Figure 4.5.1 represents significantly different total phenol content for different studied vegetables. The methanolic extract of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (11.31 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.03) showed highest total phenolic content which is almost thrice of the total phenol content in *Solanum aethiopicum* (3.89 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.11), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (3.55 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.11) and *Nasturtium officinale* (3.07 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±0.12) and almost ten times than present in *Solanum macrocarpon* (1.03 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±0.08).

### 4.5.2 Total Flavonoid (mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW)

The total flavonoid content (Figure 4.5.2) followed the similar trend as that of total phenol wherein the methanolic extract of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (54.66 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW±1.59) had highest content of total flavonoid, which was almost double than that of *Solanum aethiopicum* (27.59 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW ±1.59) and statistically at par value of *Tupistra aurantiaca* (26.37 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW ±0.21) and significantly different data of *Nasturtium officinale* (24.61 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW ±0.82). The lowest amount of total flavonoid content was recorded in *Solanum macrocarpon* (12.69 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW ±0.60).

# 4.5.3 Total Flavonols (mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW)

As depicted in Figure 4.5.3. the total flavonols content were found to be significantly different for all the studied vegetables. The total flavonols was very high in *Nasturtium officinale* (20.44 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW  $\pm 0.12$ ) than *Solanum aethiopicum* (9.07 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW  $\pm 0.16$ ) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (6.22 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW  $\pm 0.11$ )


Figure 4.5.1 Total Phenol content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.5.2 Total Flavonoid content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.5.3 Total Flavonols content (mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.5.4 Ascorbic Acid content (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.5.5 Carotene content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>) of vegetables

and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (5.36 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW  $\pm 0.15$ ), while it was observed lowest in *Solanum macrocarpon* (4.35 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW  $\pm 0.37$ ).

#### 4.5.4 Ascorbic Acid (%)

The Figure 4.5.4 represents the ascorbic acid content of all the studied vegetables which was found significantly different. The ascorbic acid content was recorded maximum in the fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.78%  $\pm$ 0.02) followed by *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.46% $\pm$ 0.03), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (0.44%  $\pm$ 0.02) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.26%  $\pm$ 0.03). The amount of ascorbic acid in *Solanum aethiopicum* was statistically at par with *Tupistra aurantiaca*. The minimum ascorbic acid content was observed in *Nasturtium officinale* (0.12%  $\pm$ 0.02).

#### 4.5.5 Carotene (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup>)

The carotene content of all the studied vegetables were found to be significantly different and data is represented at Figure 4.5.5. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (0.60 mg  $100g^{-1}\pm0.03$ ) exhibited maximum amount of carotene content followed by *Tupistra aurantiaca* (0.41 mg  $100g^{-1}\pm0.02$ ), *Nasturtium officinale* (0.38 mg  $100g^{-1}\pm0.01$ ) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (0.15 mg  $100g^{-1}\pm0.01$ ). The minimum amount of carotene content was found in fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (0.08 mg  $100g^{-1}\pm0.01$ ).

#### **4.6 Quantification of Phenols**

Five different phenols were quantified in all the studied vegetables namely Gallic acid, Rutin, Catechol, ferulic acid and quercetin through reversed phase HPLC. The retention time of standards and samples are given in Table 4.6.1. The phenols

|              | Standards | S. aethiopicum | S. macrocarpon | C. annuum var.<br>cerasiformae | T. aurantiaca | N. officinale |
|--------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Gallic Acid  | 5.62      | 5.841          | 5.717          | 5.777                          | 4.913         | 5.801         |
| Rutin        | 17.405    | 17.353         | 17.283         | 17.371                         | 17.939        | 17.221        |
| Catechol     | 18.703    | 18.808         | 18.800         | 18.851                         | 18.725        | 18.735        |
| Ferulic Acid | 20.154    | 20.394         | 19.937         | 20.258                         | 20.207        | 20.221        |
| Quercetin    | 29.099    | 29.327         | 28.994         | 29.642                         | 29.512        | 29.421        |

 Table 4.6.1. Retention time of standards and vegetables for different phenols

# Table 4.6.2. Phenols content (mg $100g^{-1}$ DW) of the selected vegetables

|                             | Gallic Acid | Rutin | Catechol | Ferulic Acid | Quercetin |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|
| S. aethiopicum              | 559.55      | 33.49 | 927.43   | 2.90         | 10.06     |
| S. macrocarpon              | 468.31      | 33.02 | 238.38   | 6.09         | 51.17     |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 1463.92     | 58.89 | 148.94   | 5.30         | 19.04     |
| T. aurantiaca               | 161.03      | 27.65 | 187.29   | 3.20         | 12.71     |
| N. officinale               | 1354.86     | 87.29 | 1759.54  | 7.95         | 10.52     |



Figure 4.6.1 Gallic Acid content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.6.2 Rutin content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.6.3 Catechol content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables

content of all vegetables are tabulated in Table 4.6.2 and presented in respective figures.

# 4.6.1 Gallic Acid (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The gallic acid concentration of all the studied vegetables are presented in Figure 4.6.1. *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (1463.92 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) exhibited the highest content of gallic acid among all the studied vegetables followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (1354.86 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Solanum aethiopicum* (559.55 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (468.31 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). The lowest amount of gallic acid was found in fruits of *Tupistra aurantiaca* (161.03 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

### 4.6.2 Rutin (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The rutin content is represented by Figure 4.6.2. which shows that the leaf samples of *Nasturtium officinale* (87.29 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) was found to have highest rutin content followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (58.89 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) which was statistically at par value of *Solanum aethiopicum* (33.49 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (33.02 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). However, the lowest record was found in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (27.65 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

#### 4.6.3 Catechol (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

. The catechol content was found to be highest in *Nasturtium officinale* (1759.54 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), which was almost double of that recorded in samples of *Solanum aethiopicum* (927.44 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). Low content of catechol was found in *Solanum macrocarpon* (238.38 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (187.29 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (148.94 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) (Figure 4.6.3).



Figure 4.6.4 Ferulic Acid content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.6.5 Quercetin content (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) of vegetables

#### 4.6.4 Ferulic Acid (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The ferulic acid content of all the studied vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.6.4. From the graph it is understood that the ferulic acid content was highest in *Nasturtium officinale* (7.95 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (6.09 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (5.30 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (2.90 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW). While it was found lowest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (3.20 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

# 4.6.5 Quercetin (mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight)

The quercetin content of all the studied vegetables are presented in Figure 4.6.5. Highest Quercetin was found in *Solanum macrocarpon* (51.17 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) more than double in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (19.04 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and almost four times than that found in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (12.71 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), *Nasturtium officinale* (10.52 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and *Solanum aethiopicum* (10.06 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW).

#### 4.7 Antioxidant Activity

All the studied vegetables are analysed for their antioxidant activity using six different assays. The data of different antioxidant activity of all the vegetables were presented in respective figures and Table 4.7 along with mean data and their SD value.

# 4.7.1 DPPH activity (%)

All the vegetables were found significantly different for their DPPH activity and data is presented at Figure 4.7.1. The methanolic extract of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $80.72\% \pm 2.29$ ) exhibited highest activity of DPPH among all the

|                             | DPPH                     | FRAP                        | Ferrous ion chelating    | PMA                         | HRSA                     | HPSA                     |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | (%)                      | (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) | activity (%)             | (mg g <sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) | (%)                      | (%)                      |
| S. aethiopicum              | 74.82 <sup>b</sup> ±1.59 | 20.03 <sup>a</sup> ±0.30    | 39.42 <sup>a</sup> ±1.08 | 41.13 <sup>a</sup> ±1.62    | 67.46 <sup>b</sup> ±2.79 | 61.46 <sup>c</sup> ±1.36 |
| S. macrocarpon              | 80.38 <sup>a</sup> ±1.78 | 4.77°±1.59                  | 12.25°±0.16              | 40.58 <sup>a</sup> ±2.82    | 75.85 <sup>a</sup> ±2.20 | 45.78 <sup>e</sup> ±0.63 |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 80.72 <sup>a</sup> ±2.29 | 3.47°±0.16                  | $16.01^{d} \pm 1.60$     | 38.49 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.01   | 78.12 <sup>a</sup> ±1.80 | 66.43 <sup>b</sup> ±3.38 |
| T. aurantiaca               | 78.90 <sup>a</sup> ±1.02 | 7.17 <sup>b</sup> ±0.20     | 19.07°±1.01              | 35.92 <sup>b</sup> ±1.21    | 58.35 <sup>c</sup> ±1.07 | 58.22 <sup>d</sup> ±1.03 |
| N. officinale               | 45.91°±1.01              | 6.35 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06     | 34.29 <sup>b</sup> ±1.06 | 24.65°±1.11                 | 59.16 <sup>c</sup> ±1.22 | 71.96 <sup>a</sup> ±1.01 |
| Mean                        | 72.15                    | 8.36                        | 24.21                    | 36.15                       | 67.79                    | 60.77                    |
| CV %                        | 2.24                     | 8.79                        | 4.48                     | 4.67                        | 2.84                     | 2.92                     |
| SEm±                        | 0.93                     | 0.42                        | 0.63                     | 0.98                        | 1.11                     | 1.03                     |
| SEđ                         | 1.32                     | 0.60                        | 0.89                     | 1.38                        | 1.57                     | 1.45                     |

 Table 4.7. Antioxidant activity of the selected vegetables



Figure 4.7.1 DPPH activity (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.7.2 FRAP activity (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.7.3 Ferrous ion chelating activity (%) of vegetables

vegetables extract which was statistically at par with *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $80.38\% \pm 1.78$ ) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $78.90\% \pm 1.02$ ) followed by *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $74.82\% \pm 1.59$ ). While, the minimum DPPH activity was recorded in *Nasturtium officinale* ( $45.91\% \pm 1.01$ ).

# 4.7.2 Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW)

The ferric ion reducing antioxidant power of *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Solanum macrocarpon*, *Capsicum annuum* var *cerasiformae*, *Tupistra aurantiaca*, *Nasturtium officinale* was found to be significant and shown in Figure 4.7.2. From the graph it was revealed that FRAP was highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* (20.03 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.30) followed by *Tupistra aurantiaca* (7.17 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.20) and *Nasturtium officinale* (6.35 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±0.06). The FRAP activity of *Tupistra aurantiaca* was statistically at par with *Nasturtium officinale*. FRAP activity was recorded as lowest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (3.47 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±0.16) followed by *Solanum macrocarpon* (4.77 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±1.59).

#### 4.7.3 Ferrous ion chelating activity (%)

The ferrous ion chelating activity of the five indigenous vegetables was found significantly different and data is presented in Figure 4.7.3. The ferrous ion chelating activity was recorded highest in methanolic extracts of *Solanum aethiopicum* ( $39.42\%\pm1.08$ ) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* ( $34.29\%\pm1.06$ ), *Tupistra aurantiaca* ( $19.07\%\pm0.12$ ) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* ( $16.01\%\pm1.60$ ) while it was observed lowest in methanolic extracts of *Solanum macrocarpon* ( $12.25\%\pm0.16$ ).



Figure 4.7.4 Phosphomolybdenum assay activity (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) of vegetables



Figure 4.7.5 Hydroxyl Radical scavenging activity (%) of vegetables



Figure 4.7.6 Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (%) of vegetables

# 4.7.4 Phosphomolybdenum Assay (mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW)

The phosphomolybdenum assay was found significantly different of all the studied vegetables and data is presented in Figure 4.7.4. The phosphomolybdenum assay was found highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* (41.13 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW±1.62) which is statistically at par with *Solanum macrocarpon* (40.58 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±2.82) and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (38.49 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±1.01) while it is observed lowest in *Nasturtium officinale* (24.65 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±1.11). The value of phosphomolybdenum assay of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* was also statistically at par with *Tupistra aurantiaca* (35.92 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW ±1.21).

# 4.7.5 Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity (HRSA) (%)

The data regarding hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of methanolic extracts of all the studied vegetables were found significantly different and presented in Figure 4.7.5. The methanolic extract of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (78.12% $\pm$ 1.80) was found to shows highest hydroxyl radical scavenging activity among all the studied vegetables which is statistically at par with *Solanum macrocarpon* (75.85% $\pm$ 2.20) followed by *Solanum aethiopicum* (67.46% $\pm$ 2.79). The lowest amount of hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was recorded in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (58.35% $\pm$ 1.07) which was statistically at par with *Nasturtium officinale* (59.16% $\pm$ 1.22).

## 4.7.6 Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity (%)

The hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of all the studied vegetables were found significantly different and depicted in Figure 4.7.6. *Nasturtium officinale*  $(4.60\% \pm 0.06)$  exhibited maximum hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity among all the studied vegetables followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (66.43% $\pm$ 3.88), *Solanum aethiopicum* (61.46% $\pm$ 1.36) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (58.22% $\pm$ 1.03). The hydrogen peroxide activity was recorded lowest in *Solanum macrocarpon* (45.78% $\pm$ 0.63).

#### 4.8 Fat soluble Vitamins

Four fat soluble vitamins were quantified in all the studied vegetables namely Vitamin A, Vitamin D, Vitamin E and Vitamin K through reversed phase HPLC. The retention time of standards and samples are presented in Table 4.8.1. The data regarding vitamin content of all vegetables are tabulated in Table 4.8.2 and presented in respective figures.

#### 4.8.1 Vitamin A (IU)

The vitamin A concentration of all the studied vegetables are presented in Figure 4.8.1. *Solanum aethiopicum* (1402.92 IU) exhibited the highest content of Vitamin A among all the studied vegetables followed by *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (999.72 IU), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (637.14 IU) and *Nasturtium officinale* (415.12 IU). The lowest amount of Vitamin A was found in fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* (362.48 IU).

# 4.8.2 Vitamin D (µg g<sup>-1</sup>)

The vitamin D content of all the studied vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.8.2 which reveals that *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (66.61  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) contains highest vitamin D content followed by *Tupistra aurantiaca* (52.50  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (48.15  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest content of vitamin D was found in

|            | Standards | S. macrocarpum | S. aethiopicum | C. annuum var. cerasiformae | T. aurantiaca | N. officinale |
|------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Vitamin A  | 4.195     | 4.362          | 4.058          | 4.014                       | 4.072         | 4.021         |
| Vitamin E  | 5.641     | 5.712          | 5.731          | 5.378                       | 5.386         | 5.382         |
| Vitamin D2 | 19.826    | 19.555         | -              | 19.793                      | 19.781        | 19.698        |
| Vitamin K  | 28.42     | 28.731         | 28.505         | -                           | 28.378        | 28.575        |

# Table 4.8.1. Retention time of standards of vitamins and samples

# Table 4.8.2. Vitamin content of the selected vegetables

|                             | Vitamin A (IU) | Vitamin D (µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Vitamin E (µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) | Vitamin K (µg g <sup>-1</sup> ) |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S. aethiopicum              | 1402.92        | 0.00                            | 192.30                          | 8555.95                         |
| S. macrocarpon              | 362.48         | 48.15                           | 1106.01                         | 329.03                          |
| C. annuum var. cerasiformae | 999.72         | 66.61                           | 140.66                          | 0.00                            |
| T. aurantiaca               | 637.14         | 52.50                           | 103.95                          | 343.63                          |
| N. officinale               | 415.12         | 8.22                            | 127.38                          | 1001.36                         |

*Nasturtium officinale* (8.22  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) while it was not found in fruits of *Solanum aethiopicum*.

# **4.8.3 Vitamin E** (µg g<sup>-1</sup>)

The Figure 4.8.3 presents the vitamin E content of all the studied vegetables. The vitamin E content was observed highest in *Solanum macrocarpon* (1106.01  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) followed by *Solanum aethiopicum* (192.30  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>), *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (140.66  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and *Nasturtium officinale* (127.38). While, vitamin E content was found lowest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (103.95).

# 4.8.4 Vitamin K (µg g<sup>-1</sup>)

The vitamin K content of all the studied vegetables are depicted in Figure 4.8.3. From the graph it was shown that Vitamin content was highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* (8555.95  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) followed by *Nasturtium officinale* (1001.36  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (343.63  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>). While it was found lowest in *Solanum macrocarpon* (329.03  $\mu$ g g<sup>-1</sup>) and not found in fruits of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae*.



Figure 4.8.1 Vitamin A content (IU) of vegetables







Figure 4.8.3 Vitamin E and Vitamin K (µg g<sup>-1</sup>) content (IU) of vegetables

# Chapter-5 Discussion

The people across the globe are well aware of nutritive values of conventional foods. National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad (Gopalan et al., 2004) has analysed 591 Indian foods, including cereals, grains, pulses, legumes, leafy vegetables, roots, tubers, nuts, oil seeds, condiments, spices, fruits, fishes, other sea foods, meat, poultry, milk, milk products, fats, edible oils, sugars, beverages (alcoholic) and (non-alcoholic). An extensive review has revealed that the proximate principles of some less familiar foods were also determined. However, hundreds of wild edibles including ethnic foods are yet to be studied and validate for their nutritive and proximate richness, which create huge gaps in knowledge on potential of underexploited or traditional vegetables as food. Unfortunately, only few species of crops were intensified and promoted for last many decades for attaining the food security. In turn, the acceptability of wild and traditional vegetables is now considerably reduced. People often have a negative perception towards these vegetables and fail to appreciate their taste. However, the health conscious consumers are ever increasing, which have widened the scope for promoting of the use of traditional vegetables with high nutritional and protective properties. Moreover, it is not to be undermined that the agrobiodiversity forms the basis for crop improvement and food security. Popularization of local germplasms is of vital importance to widened the agrobiodiversity and making the cropping system more resilient to the climate change.

Present investigation deals with the nutraceutical attributes of some indigenous and underutilized edible vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas. The five vegetables of the region, popularly accepted by the local people but less known to the scientific fraternity about their nutrition properties were chosen for the study. Main objective of this thesis was to verify in real sense the nutritionally rich vegetables amongst them. The literature revealed that very low attention was given to verify the nutritional status of these vegetables. The present study has selected this problem with mere objective to bring these neglected crops in limelight, so that some of them, during the course of time, may emerge as commercial plant and may further contribute for economic upliftment of the farmers in the region. To attain such status for any vegetable, it is obvious to have desirable properties like medicinal, nutraceutical and phytochemical. Hence, it was considered relevant to determine some nutraceutical properties of five vegetables species (*Solanum aethiopicum* L., *Solanum macrocarpon* L., *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* L., *Tupistra aurantiaca* Wall. and *Nasturtium officinale* W.T. Aiton) consumed by rural people and to determine the relation between their nutritional properties and phytochemical components. This research has focused on their evaluation as a source of health promoting phytochemical including major proximate components, phenol content, antioxidative activity and vitamin content of select vegetable species.

Sikkim, a Himalayan state of India is known for rich biodiversity owing to varied climatic condition across the altitudinal gradient. The state is inhabited by diverse ethnic communities with about 10 hilly tribes. In the Sikkim Himalaya, several varieties of locally available vegetables are commonly consumed and are considered an integral part of ethno-culture. These tribes have rich knowledge on use of indigenous vegetables as medicine. In recent decades, a resurgence of interest has focused on wild plant species for their possible nutritional and medicinal values to broaden the diversity of human diet (Flyman and Afolayan, 2007; Afolayan and Jimoh, 2009). This particularly ascribed to the concerns of consumers about the effects of modern agricultural technology, promotion of few crops, marketing and consequent loss of agrobiodiversity. On the other hand, increasing research on underutilized vegetables in different regions showed that most of these wild greens have great nutritional values and antioxidant properties, which are comparable to those commercially cultivated vegetables (Afolayan and Jimoh, 2009) and there has been no document on nutraceutical potential of indigenous vegetables of Sikkim.

Nutraceutical potential of the indigenous and underutilized vegetables studied here is discussed in light of the role of nutrient components and bioactive molecules they contain. In this chapter 5, the findings of the present investigation along with the results obtained have been discussed under the following heads:

#### 5.1 Survey for medicinal property of vegetables

The large number of local plant species in cultivated and wild form are known to be edible as vegetable in Sikkim Himalayas. Amongst them, some crops have found the special place in the food bowl of local people, owing to their various properties including the medicinal and therapeutic. Some vegetables are consumed not only to meet the nutritional requirement but also as the medicine. The local inhabitant have also inherited the knowledge of such medicinal properties of the vegetables they collected wild or cultivated in their field. The ethnic community and the consumer were surveyed of rapid appraisal on their knowledge on medicinal properties of vegetables. The survey revealed that a section of society consume the local vegetables for therapeutic uses too. (Chapter-4, Table 4.1.2). The vegetables belonging to Cucurbitaceous, solanaceous, leguminous family and tuber vegetables were found to be commonly consumed by the inhabitants as vegetables. *Tupistra nutans, Solanum anguivi, Solanum macrocarpon, Cyphomandra betacea, Fagopyrum esculentum* and *Musa spp*. were used for treatment of diabetes. For lowering of blood pressure *Apium graveolens var. dulce, Solanum anguivi* and *Spinacea oleracea* were used.

Sundriyal (1999) reported 190 wild edible species which are locally consumed by peoples of Sikkim and adjoining areas. Many plants are used for therapeutic purposes in far-flung area. The people mainly depends on the locally available plant materials to cure various health disorders, where modern health care is not adequate (Grover and Vats, 2001). Sundrival et al., (2004) screened a total of 190 wild edible plant species from Sikkim Himalayas belonging to 143 genera and 78 families which accounts for nearly 15% of total wild plants resources of India. This clearly depicts the picture of botanical richness and dietary use of the edible wild plant resources from the Sikkim Himalaya (Eastern Himalaya), many with promising potential. Of the total, 65% were edible for their fruits, 22% for leaves or shoots, 7% for flowers and 3% for roots/rhizomes. Nearly 91 wild edible species were recorded from low-hills, 70 from mid-hills and 28 species from high-hill areas. Within Sikkim state, the North and East districts represent the maximum diversity of edible wild plants due to the wilderness and inaccessibility to most of the habitats. An average rural family annually consumes nearly 8 types of edible wild plants, and a few species provide over five meals in a season and some supports the livelihood. It is suggested that the high diversity of edible plants needs to be conserved for future use. Watercress was reported as a leafy vegetable consumed by 87% of people next to Diplazium esculentum. Tupistra nutans Wall was mentioned as a spice used in making traditional dishes.

The traditional knowledge of the ethnic communities on medicinal uses of plants of Sikkim was documented by Singh *et al.*, (2002) and reported 64 species of plants belonging to 42 families and 57 genera used for traditional healing practices. The major ailments like epilepsy, leprosy, paralysis, asthma, typhoid, diabetes and hemorrhage during childbirth, cholera as well as others were reported to be treated using the studies plants. Some of these plants were reported to be consumed as food

items. A dry powder of inflorescence of Tupistra nutans Wall (Syn. Tupistra *aurantiaca*) was reported to be eaten by diabetic patients and also use as a tonic to relieve body pains. A total 37 species of plants belonging to 28 families are used as antidiabetic agents in the folk medicinal practices in the Sikkim and Darjeeling Himalayan region and 81% of these plants are hitherto unreported as hypoglycemic agents in by the local tribes (Chhetri et al., 2005). They found that Campylandra aurantiaca was also one of them. Bantawa and Rai, (2009) conducted an ethnobotanical study among the traditional herbal practitioner of Darjeeling Himalaya. A total of 41 plant species belonging to 26 families and 41 genera were found to be used by the practitioner for treating the disease and disorder. Many of them are consumed directly as food and vegetables, condiments and spices. Tupistra aurantiaca Wall roots are recorded to be consumed orally in case of food poisoning. In Eastern Sikkim, 79 plant species were collected which are useful to cure various human ailments by Das et al., (2012). The ethnobotanical survey of the area revealed that the people of the area possessing good knowledge of herbal drugs but as the people are in progressive exposure to modernization, their knowledge of traditional uses of plants may be lost in due course.

#### **5.2 Proximate content**

In developing countries like India, starch-based foods, including wheat, maize and rice, are the main staple foods that supply both energy and protein requirements; however, they are deficient in many other essential nutrients. The diversity in wild species offers variety in family diet and can contribute to household food security (Zamede *et al.*, 2001). Locally available crops serve as alternatives to staple food during periods of the deficit and are a valuable supplement (Scoones *et al.*, 1992). Usually the determination of proximate content is the first step in making a decision that a plant species is nutritionally rich or not based on the parameters like moisture content, dry matter content, TSS, Total ash content, Crude fat, Crude protein, crude fibre, total carbohydrate, total starch, total sugar, chlorophyll A, chlorophyll B and total chlorophyll. *Tupistra aurantiaca* found best for proximate content among all the vegetables.

The moisture content of fruits is related to its dry matter content and this can be used as an index of stability and susceptibility to fungal infection. It determines quality and freshness of vegetable. The moisture content (80.68-94.24%) of all the vegetable species falls in lines with the reports of several researchers. The moisture content of Solanum aethiopicum (88.27%) and Solanum macrocarpon (91.53%) is at par with the report of Showemimo and Olarewaju (2004). Gboma fruits (Solanum macrocarpon) were reported to contain 89.0% moisture (Leung et al., 1968) and 90.6% moisture for Solanum aethiopicum (Grubben and Denton 2004). Amongst the studied vegetables, Tupistra aurantiaca had lowest moisture content (80.68%). The level of moisture content is a determinant for perishability of the vegetables as discussed by Onyeike et al., (1995) in Capsicum annum var. cerasiformae. Low moisture content ascribed for long storage without spoilage than normal chilli. The spoilage is effected mainly by increased microbial action. The lower moisture content is also the desirable criteria for pickle preparation. The moisture content of Tupistra aurantiaca corroborates the results of Rai et al., (2005). The moisture content in Nasturtium officinale (94.24%) was in tune of previous reports (Rai et al., 2005; Shad et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2016; Pradhan et al., 2015). As expected, negative correlation of dry matter and moisture content was methodologically established during the present study, so dry matter content was found low in case of higher moisture percent in all the cases

Total soluble solids in all the studied vegetables (2.53-6.93°Brix) is enough to manifest higher nutrient potential of these vegetables. The TSS or sugar content measures includes the carbohydrates, organic acids, proteins, fats and minerals of the fruit. This may be the reason, where the higher content of carbohydrate in *Tupistra aurantiaca* also had higher total soluble solids.

Ash content is an important fruit quality because it determines the mineral composition of the fruit (Leung *et al.*, 2009). The ash content of *Solanum aethiopicum* L. (0.86%) and *Solanum macrocarpon* L. (1.37%) in present study was lower than reports of ash content of 4.06 and 5.58% of total solids respectively for round green (*Solanum aethiopicum*) and sweet white (*Solanum macrocarpon*) varieties (Showemimo and Olarewaju 2004). Ash content during the present study (0.55) was at par with than reported for green pepper. The percentage ash represents the inorganic content of the vegetable. Ash content in *Tupistra aurantiaca* was higher than that reported by Rai *et al.*, (2005) with similar result in *Nasturtium officinale* by Rai *et al.*, (2005) and Khan *et al.*, (2016) but the ash content less than that reported by Shad *et al.*, (2013).

The fat content of both *Solanum* species under study was higher than the 1.0% reported for gboma (Leung *et al.*, 1968). Similarly, the fat content of *Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae* was higher than the green pepper and also in *Tupistra aurantiaca* than previous report of Rai *et al.*, (2005). But, in case of *Nasturtium officinale* it was found lower than previous reports (Rai *et al.*, 2005; Shad *et al.*, 2013; Khan *et al.*, 2016).

The protein content of *Solanum aethiopicum* (2.10%) and *Solanum macrocarpon* (1.44%) were higher than the previous report *viz.*, 1.5% for *S. aethiopicum* and 1.0% for *S. macrocarpon* reported by different researchers (Gbile and

151

Adesina 1988, Grubben and Denton 2004, Leung *et al.*, 1968). The protein content of *Dalle Khursani* (6.25%) was much higher than green chilli and other common vegetables. The protein content of Nakima (0.36%) was found to be higher than other common vegetables but lower than that reported by Rai *et al.*, (2005). Simailarly, protein content of *Nasturtium officinale* was found higher than previous reports (Rai *et al.*, 2005; Shad *et al.*, 2013; Khan *et al.*, 2016). The higher content of protein in the studied vegetables help us in propounding the includsion of these crops in daily diet as a source of protein besides many other benefits.

The crude fibre content of *Solanum aethiopicum* L. (3.35%) and *Solanum macrocarpon* L. (2.66%) was higher than 2.0% and 1.5% reported for *Solanum aethiopicum* and *Solanum macrocarpon* by Grubben and Denton (2004) and Leung *et al.*, (1968). The fibre content in *Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae* (1.42%) and *Tupistra aurantiaca* (6.56%) was found higher than most of the common vegetables, whereas in case of *Nasturtium officinale*, the crude fibre (1.66%) was at par with that reported by Khan *et al.*, (2016). The high fibre content may aids absorption of trace elements in the gut and reduces absorption of cholesterol (LeVeille and Sauberlich, 1966). Thus, fibre reduces the risk of coronary heart disease, hypertension, constipation, diabetes, colon and breast cancer (Hanif *et al.*, 2006, Jimoh *et al.*, 2010). Moreover, low fat and high dietary fibre content of these vegetables make them an auspicious food which can be recommended as a constituent of the weight-reducing diet for obese people.

Carbohydrate content of *Solanum aethiopicum* L. (7.11%) and *Solanum macrocarpon* L. (6.59%) was higher compared to 4.0% carbohydrate content reported for *Solanum aethiopicum* (Norman 1992). The carbohydrate content of *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (4.29%), *Tupistra aurantiaca* (41.83%) and *Nasturtium* 

*officinale* (8.73%) is remarkably better than many other vegetables. Interestingly, low total sugar (2.57-5.25%) and total starch content (0.01-0.84%) in all the vegetables validates their use by diabetics (Chhetri *et al.*, 2005).

Chlorophyll A (0.17-9.50 mg  $100g^{-1}$ ), Chlorophyll B (5.99-15.43 mg  $100g^{-1}$ ) and total chlorophyll (6.15-24.89 mg  $100g^{-1}$ ) content of all the studied vegetables were significantly higher which shows that all the studied vegetables are nutritionally rich. The quantity of chlorophyll per unit area is an indicator of photosynthetic capacity of a plant. The amount of chlorophyll in plant tissue is influenced by nutrient availability and environmental stresses such as drought, salinity, cold and heat etc. (Palta, 1990). Chlorophylls when, consumed in our daily diet as components of vegetable these photochemically active compounds are associated with potential health benefits for humans, such as antimutagenic effects, antigenotoxic properties, and potent antioxidant capacity to scavenge free radicals, preventing lipid oxidation (Roca *et al.*, 2016).

#### 5.3 Multi-elemental content

Plants accumulate a number of minerals in different parts which are also essential to human nutrition (Dushenkov *et al.*, 1995). Mineral composition of a plant plays significant role in its nutritional, medicinal and therapeutic values (Rajurkar and Damame, 1998; Choudhary and Rehman, 2002 and Al-Kharusi *et al.*, 2009; Higdon, 2003; Lieberman and Brunning, 2003). Living organism requires a continuous supply of large number of substances from food to complete their life cycle. This supply is called as nutrition. The mineral nutrition is an important aspect and is in dispensable for healthy growth. Plants are known to supply the needed vitamins and the minerals like Iron, calcium, magnesium, and others important for human health, and they are the most affordable source of minerals and vitamins for the local people. Mineral elements though not provides the energy to the human body, but play important role in many activities (Malhotra, 1998). About 14 elements are essential to human health such as N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn, Co, Si, Br and Cr etc. The deficiency of such element creates some health problems; where as their presence in excess may result in toxicity. Human bodies daily need more than 100 mg of major minerals (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na) and less than 100 mg of minor minerals (Cu, Fe, Zn, Mn, Co, Br, Si) (Rajangam *et al.*, 2001; Aslam *et al.*, 2005).

Major and trace elements play important role in building up and restoration phenomenon and the disease management in human body. However, the direct correlation between elemental content of medicinal plant and therapeutic properties is not at all understood in terms of modern pharmacological concepts. Hence, it is quite imperative to estimate various trace element concentration in order to establish the relationship of trace element and medicinal properties of the plant.

All the five species under study were having a higher amount of essential elements compared to their counterpart vegetables. Among all the elements, potassium (246.15-630.99 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) was the most abundant element in all the vegetables followed by magnesium (92.89 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), calcium (59.42 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and phosphorus (38.56 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) in case of *S. aethiopicum*; calcium (65.72 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) in case of *S. macrocarpon*; phosphorus (77.43 and 68.87 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), calcium (27.41 and 27.01 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and magnesium (13.64 and 18.51 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) respectively in case of *Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae* and *Nasturtium officinale* and phosphorus (110.88 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW), magnesium (86.82 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and calcium (11.30 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) in case of *Tupistra aurantiaca*. All of these vegetables were found to contain lower content of potassium, calcium, magnesium and phosphorus

than their upper RDA requirement and highest tolerance limit. The higher content of potassium is associated with increased iron utilisation and is also beneficial for people suffering from hypertension (Adeyeye, 2002). Calcium is an essential element not only for children but also for lactating, pregnant and menopausal women. In human body, teeth and bones only comprises of about 99% of total calcium present in the body (Beto, 2015). Its deficiency causes osteoporosis in adults and rickets in children. In addition to the amount of calcium present in the diet. Its absorption also determines the availability of calcium for maintenance of the skeletal system. Foods enriched with calcium could be the possible way in preventing calcium related skeletal and osteoporosis-related problems. Magnesium plays important roles in the structure and the function of the human body. Magnesium plays a structural role in bone, cell membranes, and chromosomes. It is required for the active transport of ions like potassium and calcium across cell membranes. Potassium is an essential dietary mineral and electrolyte. Normal body function depends on tight regulation of potassium concentrations both inside and outside of cells (Peterson, 1997). Phosphorus is an essential mineral that is required by every cell in the body for normal function (Knochel, 2006). The majority of the phosphorus in the body is found as phosphate  $(PO_4)$ . Approximately 85% of the body's phosphorus is found in bone.

About 60% of the world population suffers from Fe deficiency (below 8 mg per day) that leads to anaemia, and on another side, its excess intake (above 45mg per day) may cause cardiac and nephric malfunctions. In the present studies, considering all the species, iron content was in the range of 4.37-42.33 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW that makes them fits for consumption. Manganese is required as metalloproteins in enzymes such as pyruvate carboxylase in humans and deficiency of the metal (below 2.3 mg per day) results in severe skeletal and reproductive abnormalities in humans (Maiga *et al.*, 2005).

Manganese content in the present studies was as low as 0.74 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* and was highest (26.24 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) in Tupistra aurantiaca. According to Maiga et al., (2005) copper is necessary as a cofactor in many of the important enzymes viz. Cytochrome P450 oxidase and Superoxide dismutase in Humans. Cu is one of the mineral elements along with Fe, Zn, Ca and Mg that is lacking in the human diet (White and Broadley 2009). Copper (0.12-52.63 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) was present in all the species in a good amount. As a dietary mineral, molybdenum is part of sulfite oxidase. Sulfite oxidase break down sulfites that are present in many chemically preserved foods as well as specific food proteins. Molybdenum helps break down sulfites in order to reduce toxic build-up and promote overall healthy body function. Sulphur is an essential element for human body. It is an important non-metallic trace mineral and is the third most abundant (after calcium and phosphorus) based on percentage of total body weight. It is the sixth most abundant macro mineral in breast milk. Sulphur is required for building amino acids which are used to create protein for cells and tissues and for hormones, enzymes, and antibodies. In humans, among all the studied element of human diet Zinc (Zn) is the least toxic and an essential element by means of its involvement in structural, catalytic and regulatory processes of the body in immunity, brain activity and foetal growth & development. All the three species studied here were found having a higher content of Zinc.

Among trace element, aluminium is not having any beneficial role in human body, but it is found in in traces due to ingestion of food and water. The amount of aluminium in the human body ranges between 50 and 150 mg, with an average of about 65 mg. Most of this mineral is found in the lungs, brain, kidneys, liver, and thyroid. Our daily intake of aluminium may range from 10-110 mg, but the body will eliminate most of this in the faeces and urine and some in the sweat. With decreased kidney function, more aluminium will be stored, particularly in the bones. All the studied vegetables were found to contain the safe amount of aluminium. The insufficient sodium in the body may lead to low blood pressure, muscle weakness, paralysis, mild fever, respiratory problems. The excessive amounts in the body may lead to de-hydration and hypertension (Jaworska and Kmiecik, 1999). Sodium and potassium take part in ionic balance of the human body and maintain tissue excitability, carry normal muscle contraction, help in formation of gastric juice in stomach (Brody, 1998).). Sodium (2.26-25.66 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> DW) was present in sufficient amount in all the studied vegetables. Strontium is a silvery metal found naturally as a non-radioactive element. About 99% of the strontium in the human body is concentrated in the bones. Several different forms of strontium are used as medicine. Scientists are testing strontium ranelate to see if it can be orally administered to treat thinning bones (osteoporosis). All the studied vegetables were found to contain safe amount of strontium. Anaemia and severe fatigue may be the result of a deficiency of Cobalt (below 0.05 mg per day) in human diet whereas its excess intake (1 mg per day) may cause angina and asthma. Here Co in all the studied species was in the range of 0.06-0.67 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> of dry weight. Lithium is a naturally occurring alkali metal, ingest into human body through dietary sources and usually present in trace amounts in the human body. Higher concentration of lithium is effective as a medication for mania and mood swings including manic depressive disorders (Demling et al., 2001). Lithium in all the studied species was in the range of 0.24-2.23 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

All the species were found to be a rich source of all the macro and micro elements which signify that these species could be the potential sources of the minerals where malnutrition, hunger, and availability of common food is the major constraints.

157

Heavy metals like lead, chromium, tin and cadmium are harmful for human bodies even they are present in the very small quantity. In view of this all the food regulatory bodies set up limits for their concentration in food items for safe consumption. In India, it is regulated and decided by FSSAI. All the studied vegetable species during the present investigation were found to contain the heavy element content in safe amount in their edible parts. Potential sources of the heavy metal in agriculture system is anthropogenic and in some cases it depends on the bed rock of the particular area. Sikkim practice organic agriculture system with no contamination of soils by pesticides, neither there are any heavy industries discharging such metals. Worthington, (2001) reported the lower amount of heavy metals in organically grown crops than conventional ones

#### **5.4 Phytochemical content**

Vegetables and fruits containing high amounts of phytochemicals with the ability to scavenge free radicals in biological systems are recommended for a healthy human diet. The methanol extract of all the studied vegetables were evaluated for total phenol, flavonoid, flavonols carotene and ascorbic acid content.

A phenolic compound in *Solanum* has been recognised as major bioactive compounds which were responsible for their antioxidant effects (Kwon *et al.*, 2008). Total phenol contents of *S. aethiopicum* (3.89 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) and *S. macrocarpon* (1.03 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) during the present study were in tune with the earlier experiments (Nisha *et al.*, 2009; Raigón *et al.*, 2010). Our result of total phenolics in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* (11.31 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) was comparable with the findings of Dubey, *et al.*, (2015) who reported total phenolics in *Dalle Khursani* collected from Sang of Sikkim was 13.4 mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup>. High phenolics in *Dalle Khursani* 

supports the facts of being good natural antioxidants. The total phenolics content in *Tupistra aurantiaca* (3.55 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) and *Nasturtium officinale* (3.07 mg g<sup>-1</sup> GAE DW) are considered to be the good values. The phenol content in *Nasturtium officinale* was at par with the previous report of Aires *et al.*, (2013). Phenolics have wide spectrum of biological activities like antioxidant, anticarcinogenic and antimutagenic properties and possess the ability to modify gene expression (Khan *et al.*, 2016). It is a much-acquainted fact that presence of significant amount of phenolics in daily food gives health-promoting effects due to their antioxidant action. Phenolic compounds of natural origin have shown to possess antimicrobial, anti-cancerous, neuroprotective activities, helps to improve insulin secretion and helps in reducing unwanted fat in the body (Kaur *et al.*, 2014). Phenolic compounds also impart peculiar taste and aroma to the foods through phenolic degradation or mechanisms of Maillard reaction (Jiang and Peterson 2010).

Flavonoids have been found powerful scavengers of singlet oxygen and various other free radicals, related to DNA damage and cancer which makes it beneficial agents for the management of a multitude of diseases states, including cancer, cardiovascular and neurodegeneration (Marchand 2002). Flavonoids also impart health benefits because of its properties like anti-inflammatory, inhibition of platelet aggregation, mitochondrial adhesion inhibitor, an antiulcer agent, an anti-arthritic agent, an anti-angiogenic agent, an anticancer agent and antimicrobial activities (Gurnani *et al.*, 2016; Siddiqui *et al.*, 2012). Many horticultural resources of wild origin are identified to be reservoirs of high flavonoid content. All the studied vegetable species were found to contain considerable amount of flavonoids ranging from 12.69-54.66 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW and flavonols in range of 4.35-20.44 mg g<sup>-1</sup> RUE DW.

159

The high content of Ascorbic acid in plants might link with higher free radical scavenging activity and health benefits like anti-carcinogenic and anti-atherogenic (Lui *et al.*, 2008). Ascorbic acid ranges from 0.12-0.78% during the study is quiet encouraging. Carotenoids are a class of more than 600 naturally occurring pigments synthesized by plants, algae, yeast, fungi and photosynthetic bacteria. They are prominent for their distribution, structural diversity and various functions. Fruits and vegetables provide most of the carotenoids in the human diet. Carotenoids were in the ranges from 0.08-0.60 mg 100g<sup>-1</sup> during this investigation. The results of this study suggest that phenolics, flavonoid, flavonols, ascorbic acid and carotenoids are important components of all the studied vegetables. It is well establish that the phenolics are vital for adsorbing and deactivating free radicals, quenching singlet oxygen or decomposing peroxide

#### **5.5 Quantification of phenols**

The extracts of natural origin contain several chemical components in varying concentrations, so it is important to use chromatographic methods to analyse these inherently complex mixtures. Chromatographic fingerprinting of the extracts was attempted using reverse phase HPLC. Gallic acid, rutin, catechol, ferulic acid and quercetin were identified in the studied species. The amount of Gallic acid varied from 161.03 to 1463.92, rutin 27.65 to 87.29, catechol 148.94 to 1759.54, ferulic acid 2.90 to 7.950, quercetin 10.06 to 51.17 mg per 100 g dry weight. Among the entire phenolic compound quantified here, Gallic acid was found dominant in all the studied species. Various antioxidant activities of these species could be due to the presence of ample amount of these phenolic compounds. Epidemiological studies have found consumption of foods containing these phenolics have positive association towards a reduced risk of developing several disorders such as cardiovascular diseases,

antidiabetic, antimicrobial, inflammatory and neurological activities etc. (Adefegha *et al.*, 2014; Gandhi *et al.*, 2011). Few reports on the phytochemical investigation of all of these species indicate the presence of these phenolics (Aires *et al.*, 2013; Boligon *et al.*, 2013; Chaichana, 2018; Gandhi *et al.*, 2011; Nwanna *et al.*, 2014; Ramamurthy *et al.*, 2012; Plazas *et al.*, 2014). These species may found an important place in pharmaceutical formulations due to their rich content of phenolic compounds. The presence of significant amount of phenolic in such underutilized vegetables reveals the nutraceutical potential of the crops under investigation

#### **5.6 Antioxidant Assay**

Antioxidants inhibit lipid oxidation caused by free radicals by several mechanisms like free radical scavenging activity, chelating activity and reducing activity etc. A lot of studies confirms that antioxidants derived from indigenous plant sources were very useful in preventing the damaging effects of oxidative stress and because of that there is an increasing concern in the protective biochemical functions of natural antioxidants (Zahin *et al.*, 2009). A number of assays were designed to measure overall antioxidant activity or reducing potential, as an indication of a host's total capability to endure free radical stress (Gulcin *et al.*, 2010). In order to get a better estimate of antioxidant capacity multiple antioxidant assays was performed rather than a single assay. In this study, antioxidant capacity in three *Solanum* species extracts was evaluated using six in-vitro assays namely, DPPH, HRSA, HPSA, FCA, FRAP and PPMA. These antioxidant assays are very commonly used assays among researchers as they are reliable and economical and do not require any advanced instruments.

Free radicals are mainly produced as a result the oxidation process. The high potential for scavenging free radicals could inhibit the spread of oxidation. The conversion of the ion-radical form of DPPH-H, by the antioxidants, could be assessed by monitoring the change of colour from purple to yellow. The stable free radical DPPH is commonly used to test the free radical scavenging ability of naturally available food. The degree of discolouration of DPPH points the scavenging capacity of the antioxidant extract that is due to the hydrogen donating ability (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2012). All the extracts of the studied vegetables showed high free radical scavenging capacity by reducing the stable DPPH radical to the yellow coloured diphenyl picryl hydrazine (Table 4.6, Chapter 4). This result was in agreement with Boligon *et al.*, (2013); Chaichana, (2018); Loganayaki *et al.*, (2010), Kaur *et al.*, (2014) and Nascimento *et al.*, (2014).

The oxidatively induced breaks in DNA strands are produced due to hydroxyl radicals produced through Fenton reaction to yield its open circular or relaxed forms. These radicals are most reactive form among all the dioxygen reduced forms and supposed to cause cell damage *in vivo* (Rollet Labelle *et al.*, 1998). They may be generated in the human body under physiological conditions, where they can react with non-selective compounds such as proteins, DNA, unsaturated fatty acids and almost all biological membrane. The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity is directly associated with its antioxidant activity (Babu *et al.*, 2001). Amongst all the studied species *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* has highest scavenging activity. The ability of extracts to reduce hydroxyl radicals is directly related to prevention of multiplication of lipid peroxidation and they may identify to be a good scavenger of active oxygen species.

Hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ) is a weak oxidizing agent that belongs to a nonradical form of ROS. Hydrogen peroxide can cross cell membranes quickly and form hydroxyl radical which inactivates few enzymes directly (Miller *et al.*, 1993). This result shows that all the studied species were good in hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity (45.78-71.96%).

One of the important mechanisms of antioxidant activity is the ability of chelating or deactivating the transition metals that have the capability to catalyse hydroperoxide decomposition. That's why it is important to evaluate the Fe (II) chelating ability of the extracts. The Fe (II) chelating properties of the sample extracts may be accredited to their endogenous chelating agents, mainly phenolics. The  $Fe^{2+}$  chelating activity of the extracts was measured by a decrease in absorbance of the ferrozine complex as antioxidants competes with ferrozine in chelating ferrous ion (Elmastas *et al.*, 2006). Among all the studied vegetables, *Solanum aethiopicum* possesses highest metal chelating activity followed by *Nasturtium officianle*.

FRAP assay is the only assay that directly evaluate the reducing ability of antioxidants that react with ferric tripyridyl-triazine (Fe<sup>3+</sup>–TPTZ) complex and produces a coloured ferrous tripyridyl-triazine (Fe<sup>2+</sup>–TPTZ) (Benzie and Strain 1996), while phospho-molybdenum complex assay (PPMA), generally assist in the detection of ascorbic acid, phenolics, tocopherols and carotenoids which are used for the evaluation of total antioxidant capacity (Miladi and Damak 2008). The reducing ability of ferric ion by the extracts shown that all the studied species have optimal FRAP activity, however there was difference in the responses of the extract to different test.. The difference in the responses of the extract in different antioxidant tests could be ascribed to the transfer of electrons/hydrogen from antioxidants followed at various redox potential in different assay systems and the transfer may also be subjected to the structure of the antioxidants (Loo *et al.*, 2008).
## 5.7 Vitamins

A vitamin is an organic molecule (or related set of molecules) which is an essential micronutrient - that is, a substance which an organism needs in small quantities for the proper functioning of its metabolism - but cannot synthesize it (either at all, or in sufficient quantities), and therefore it must be obtained through the diet. Vitamins generally classified into two main broad categories which are, water soluble vitamins (Vit B12, Vit C, folic acid, niacin Vit B3, Vit B1 and Vit B2) and fat soluble vitamins (Vit K, Vit E, Vit D and Vit A). In this study, all the select vegetables were subjected to analysis of fat soluble vitamin. Vitamin A was found to be present in all the studied vegetable with maximum values in S. aethiopicum. Dougnon et al., (2012); Nayadanu and Lowor, (2015); Offor et al., (2015) reported the presence of Vitamin A in both the studied Solanum species, while Shad et al., (2013) reported the presence of vitamin A in *Nasturtium officinale*. Vitamin D (8.22-66.61 µg g<sup>-1</sup>) was found in ample amount in all the studied vegetable except S. aethiopicum where it could not be detected. Offor et al., (2015) reported the presence of Vitamin D in Solanum macrocarpon. Vitamin D, a fat soluble compound involved in maintenance of blood and bone calcium levels. Vitamin D promotes normal mineralization of bones and is needed for bone growth. Insufficient Vitamin D may lead to thinning of bones. Adequate Vitamin D and calcium intake protects adults from osteoporosis. Vitamin D is found in very few foods (Cranney et al., 2007). Vitamin E was found in good quantity in all the studied vegetables. In Solanum macrocarpon the presence of Vitamin E was reported by Nayadanu and Lowor, (2015) and Offor et al., (2015) while in Solanum aethiopicum it was reported by Eze and Kanu, (2014); Nayadanu and Lowor, (2015) and Offor et al., (2015). Vitamin E which comprises of tocopherols together with tocotrienols transfer hydrogen atom and scavenge singlet oxygen and other reactive

species thus protecting the peroxidation of PUFA within the biological membrane and LDL (Meydani, 2000). Vitamin E and selenium has a synergistic role against lipid peroxidation. Vitamin K was also found to be present in all the studied vegetables except *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* where it could not be detected. Vitamin K was found to be previously reported by Dougnon *et al.*, (2012) in *Solanum macrocarpon*. Vitamin K1 is known for its role in blood coagulation (clotting) and Vitamin K2 also contributes to coagulation, but more importantly, it is now recognized for its essential role in building and maintaining strong bones, as well as inhibiting calcium deposits in the arteries and blood vessels.

The present investigation entitled "Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties" was carried out at Department of Horticulture, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim with the objectives of evaluation of nutraceutical properties of some vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas which are indigenous and underutilized.

The study was carried out on five selected vegetables viz. *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae, Tupistra aurantiaca* and *Nasturtium officinale*. All the samples were collected from the villages during their peak season of availability. A survey was conducted for these vegetable to assess the knowledge of the ethnic people on the medicinal properties. Standard methods were followed for all type of analysis. The salient findings of this study are summarized below:

• Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae, Nasturtium officinale and Tupistra aurantiaca are the crops, though not very commercially cultivated, consumed by the local inhabitant of the Sikkim Himalayas. All the above mentioned crops under the study were claimed to have medicinal properties as revealed from the survey. A total of 137 number of respondent participated in the survey and dominantly, vegetable belonging to cucurbitaceae, fabaceae, solanaceae and araceae were found to be used by communities of the surveyed area. Thirty Six vegetables were found to have the medicinal properties. The respondents perceived that these vegetables are the ideal food or are used for management and curing of wide range of the diseases like hypertention, diabetics, jaundice, chickenpox, slow-healing wounds, etc.

- Tupistra aurantiaca, Solanum anguivi, Solanum macrocarpon, Cyphomandra betacea, Fagopyrum esculentum and Musa spp. were reported to be used for treatment of diabetes. For lowering of blood pressure Apium graveolens var. dulce, Solanum anguivi and Spinacea oleracea were used.
- *Tupistra aurantiaca* was found to have high proximate and mineral content than other vegetables under study. *Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae* and *Nasturtium officinale* when compared to secondary data of closely related commercial species like *Solanum melongena* and *Capsicum annuum* were found to be at par for proximate and mineral content.
- Potassium and magnesium was found highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* which makes it suitable for consumption for people suffering from hypertension, high blood pressure and asthma etc. Calcium and Zinc was reported to be highest in *Solanum macrocarpon* and can be recommended for people suffering from osteoporosis, arthritis, night blindness and less immunity. Phosphorus, Iron, manganese, copper and molybdenum were found highest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* which makes it suitable for consumption for people suffering from aging problem, anaemia, muscle weakness and osteoporosis etc.
- Adequate phytochemicals and phenolics were recorded in all the vegetables under study. The higher values of total phenol, flavonoids and carotene were recorded for *Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae*, flavonols for *Nasturtium officinale* and ascorbic acid in *Solanum macrocarpon*. Gallic acid was found

highest in *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae*, rutin, catechol and ferulic acid in *Nasturtium officinale* and quercetin in *Solanum macrocarpon*.

- Antioxidant activity were determined by six assays namely DPPH activity, FRAP value, ferrous ion chelating activity, phosphomolybdenum activity, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl ion scavenging activity. High DPPH and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was found highest in *Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae*, whereas FRAP value, ferrous ion chelating activity, phosphomolybdenum activity found highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* and hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity in *Nasturtium officinale*.
- Fat soluble vitamins were found in all vegetables except Vitamin D in case of *Solanum aethiopicum* and Vitamin K in case of *Capsicum annuum* var *cerasiformae* were not detected. Vitamin A and D content was found more in *Solanum aethiopicum* whereas Vitamin D in *Capsicum annuum* var *cerasiformae* and Vitamin E in *Solanum macrocarpon*.
- *Tupistra aurantiaca* and *Capsicum annuum* var. *cerasiformae* being rich in phytochemicals, phenolics, vitamins and antioxidant content can be considered as potent nutraceutical plants.
- Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae and Nasturtium officinale having optimum proximate and mineral content at par with the commercial vegetables like Brinjal and tomato, are to be promoted for commercial cultivation to bring the food diversity in the population of Sikkim in particular and India as a whole. These crops being suited to the climatic condition can be more successfully grown at low input level and organic farming system.

## **Future line of work**

- 1. Thorough study for finding the particular molecular responsible for medicinal properties of these plants can be undertaken.
- 2. The parameters with high importance as potential nutraceuticals that are not included in this study like different class of dietary polyphenols with individuals metabolites can be future thrust of study for these plants. The polyphenols putative mechanism of action responsible for protective action of these vegetables can be another facet of the study.
- 3. Identifying metabolites and catabolites abundantly present in these plants and testing them in cell based models at different concentration for further validating these plants as nutraceuticals.
- None of the studied vegetables has improved varieties. Hence, breeding for high nutraceutical characters will bring new dimension to the expansion of cultivation area of the crop.
- 5. The cultivation practices for all the studied vegetables can be standardized, particularly under the organic farming system.

AACC. 2001. The definition of dietary fiber. Cereal Foods World. 46:112-126.

- Abdul, D.A., Majeed, S.N. and Ameen, B.H. 2014. Antioxidant activity, total phenolic content and antimicrobial activity of two medicinal plants from Sulaimani City, Iraqi Kurdistan Region. Advances in Life Science and Technology. 18:65-71.
- Abdulkadira, A.R., Mata, N., Hasanb, Md. M. and Jahana, Md. S. 2016. *In vitro* antioxidant activity of the ethanolic extract from fruit, stem and leaf of *Solanum torvum*. Science Asia. 42:184-189.
- Acunha, T.S., Crizel, R.L., Tavares, I.B., Barbieri, R.L., de Pereira, C.M.P.,
   Rombaldi, C.V. and Chaves, F.C. 2017. Bioactive compound variability in a
   Brazilian *Capsicum* pepper collection. Crop Science. 57:1-13.
- Adefegha, S.A., Oboh, G., Adefegha, O.M., Boligon, A.A. and Athayde, M.L. 2014. Antihyperglycemic, hypolipidemic, hepatoprotective and antioxidative effects of dietary clove (*Syzygium aromaticum*) bud powder in a high-fat diet/streptozotocin-induced diabetes rat model. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 94(13): 2726-2737.
- Adeyeye, E.I. 2002. Determination of the chemical composition of the nutritionally valuable parts of male and female common West African fresh Water crab (*Sudananoutes africanus*). International Journal of Food Science Nutrition. 53: 189-196.

- Afolayan, A.J. and Jimoh, F.O. 2009. Nutritional quality of some wild leafy vegetables in South Africa. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 60(5): 424-431.
- Agate, V.V., Tariadi, K.V., Mangale, S. and Chiplonkar, S.A. 2000. Potential of traditionally cooked green leafy vegetables as a natural source for supplementation of eight micronutrients in vegetarian diets. J. Food. Comp. Anal. 13:885-891.
- Agoreyo, B.O., Obansa, E.S. and Obanor, E.O. 2012. Comparative nutritional and phytochemical analyses of two varities of *Solanum melongena*. Science world Journal. 7(1):5-8.
- Aires, A., Carvalho, R., Rosa, E.A.S. and Saavedrac, M.J. 2013. Phytochemical characterization and antioxidant properties of baby-leaf watercress produced under organic production system. CyTA-Journal of Food. 11(4): 343–351.
- Aiyegoro, A.O. and Okoh, I.A. 2010. Preliminary phytochemical screening and *in vitro* antioxidant activities of the aqueous extract of *Helichrysum longifolium* DC. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine. 10: 1-8.
- Ajiboye, A.A., Fadimu, O.Y., Ajiboye, M.D., Agboola, D.A, Adelaja, A.B. and Bem,
  A.A. 2014. Phytochemical and Nutritional Constituents of Some Common
  Vegetables in South-West, Nigeria. Global Journal of Science Frontier
  Research: C Biological Science. 14(3):49-53.
- Akoto, O., Borquaye, L.S., Howard, A.S. and Konwuruk, N. 2015. Nutritional and Mineral Composition of the Fruits of *Solanum torvum* from Ghana.
   International Journal of Chemical and Biomolecular Science. 1(4): 222-236.

- Ali, A. 2012. Assay of Nutritional potential of the fruits of *Solanum indicum* L. in Iran. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 8(3):923-929.
- Al-Kharusi, L.M., Elmardi, M.O., Ali, A., Al-Said, F.A.J., Abdelbasit, K.M. and Al-Rawahi, S. 2009. Effect of mineral and organic fertilizers on the chemical characteristics and quality of date fruits. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 11: 290-296.
- Alothman, M, Bhat, R. and Karim, A.A. 2009. Antioxidant capacity and phenolic content of selected tropical fruits from Malaysia, extracted with different solvents. Food Chemistry. 115: 785–788.
- Aoshima, H., Tsunoue, H., Koda, H. and Kiso, Y. 2004. Aging of whiskey increases 1,1- diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging activity. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry. 52: 5240-5244.
- Aranda, M. and Morlock, G. 2006. Simultaneous determination of riboflavin, pyridoxine, nicotinamide, caffeine and taurine in energy drinks by planar chromatography-multiple detection with confirmation by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1131:253-260.
- Aron, D. 1949. Copper enzymes isolated chloroplasts, polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiology. 24: 1-15.
- Arts, I.C.W. and Hollman, P.C.H. 2005. Polyphenols and Disease Risk in Epidemiologic Studies. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 81: 317S-325S.

- Asaolu, M.F. and Asaolu, S.S. 2002. Proximate and mineral compositions of cooked and uncooked *Solanum melongena*. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition. 53:103-107.
- Asati, B.S. and Yadav, D.S. 2004. Diversity of Horticultural Crops in North Eastern Region. ENVIS Bulletin. 12(1): 4-14.
- Aslam, M., Anwar, F., Nadeem, R., Rashid, U., Kazi, T.G. and Nadeem, M. 2005. Mineral composition of *Moringa oleifera* leaves and pods from different regions of Punjab, Pakistan. Asian J. Plant Sci. 4: 417–421.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 1990. Official methods of analysis.15th ed., Association of analytical chemists, Washington DC.
- Atawodi, S.E. 2005. Antioxidant potential of African medicinal plants. Africa Journal of Biotechnology. 4(2): 128-133.
- Athar, H.U.R., Khan, A. and Ashraf, M. 2008. Exogenously applied ascorbic acid alleviates Salt-induced oxidative stress in wheat. Environ. Exp. Bot. 63: 224-231.
- Babu, B.H., Shylesh, B.S. and Padikkala, J. 2001. Antioxidant and hepatoprotective effect of *Alanthus icicifocus*. Fitoterapia. 72: 272-277.
- Bajaracharya, D. 1984. Some edible wild fruits of Kathmandu valley in Nepal: Natures paradise. (Ed. Majupuria, T.C.). While Lotus Co. Ltd. Bangkok. pp: 144-150.

- Bakowska-Barczak, A.M., Schieber, A. and Kolodziejczyk, P. 2009. Characterization of Canadian black currant (*Ribes nigrum* L.) seed oils and residues. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57: 11528-11536.
- Bantawa, P. and Rai, R. 2009. Studies on ethno-medicinal plants used by traditional practitioners, *Jhankri, Bijuwa* and Phedangma in Darjeeling Himalaya. Natural product Radiance. 8(5): 537-541.
- Baranowski, J.D. and Nagel, C.W. 1984. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of alkyl hydroxycinnamates (alkacins) in model systems. Canadian Institute of Food Science and Technology Journal. 17: 79-85.
- Baranowski, J.D., Davidson, P.M., Nagel, C.W. and Branen, A.L. 1980. Inhibition of Saccharomyces cerevisiae by naturally occurring hydroxycinnamates. Journal of Food Science. 45: 592-602.
- Baydar, N.G., Zkan, G.O. and Yasar, S. 2007. Evaluation of the antiradical and antioxidant potential of grape extracts. Food Control. 18: 1131–1136.
- Beard, J.L. and Dawson, H.D. 1997. Iron. In: Handbook of nutritionally essential minerals. (Eds. O'Dell, B.L. and Sunde, R.A.). Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York. pp. 275- 334.
- Becker, E.M., Nissen, L.R. and Skibsted, K. 2004. Antioxidant evaluation protocols: Food quality or health effects. European Food Research Technology. 219: 561-571.

- Benzie, I.E.F. and Strain, J.J. 1996. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of antioxidant power: The FRAP assay. Analytical Biochemistry. 239: 70-76.
- Beto, J.A. 2015. The role of calcium in human aging. Clinical Nutrition Research. 4: 1-8.
- Bhandari, H.R. 1978. Biochemical analysis of some edible fruits (at unripe and ripe stages) of Kathmandu valley. Dept of Botany, T.U., Kirtipur.
- Bharucha, Z. and Pretty, J. 2010. The roles and values of wild edible foods in agricultural systems. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 365: 2913– 2926.
- Bhogaonkar, P.Y., Marathe, V.R. and Kshirsagar, P.P. 2010. Documentation of Wild Edible plants of Melghat Forest, Dist. Amravati, Maharashtra State, India. Ethnobot. Leaflets. 14:751-758.
- Bhutia, K.L., Tombisana Meetei, N.G. and Khanna V.K. 2016. In Vitro Regeneration of Dalle Khursani, an Important Chilli Cultivar of Sikkim, using Various Explants. Agrotechnology 5: 142. doi:10.4172/2168-9881.1000142.
- Boligon, A.A., Janovik, V., Boligon, A.A., Pivetta, C.R., Pereira, R.P., da Rocha, J.B.T. and Athayde, M.L. 2013. HPLC analysis of polyphenolic compounds and antioxidant activity in *Nasturtium officinale*. International Journal of Food Properties. 16:61–69.
- Borochov-Neori, H., Judeinstein, S., Greenberg, A., Fuhrman, B., Attias, J., Volkova, N., Hayek, T. and Aviram, M. 2008. Phenolic antioxidants and antiatherogenic

effects of marula (*Sclerocarrya birrea Subsp. caffra*) fruit juice in healthy humans. J. Agric. Food Chem. 56: 9884-9891.

- Brody, T. 1999. Nutritional Biochemistry. 2nd ed. San Diego, Academic Press.
- Brody, T. 1998. Nutritional Biochemistry. San Diego Academic press. pp: 11-12.
- Brower, V. 1998. Nutraceuticals: poised for a healthy slice of the healthcare market. Nature Biotechnology. 16:728-73.
- Cao, G., Sofic, E. and Prior, R.L. 1996. Antioxidant capacity of tea and common vegetables. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 44: 3426-3431.
- Chaichana, N. 2018. Nutritional Composition, Antioxidant Activity and Phytochemical Composition of *Tupistra albiflora* K. Larsen's Flowers. Walailak J Sci & Tech. 15(4): 305-311.
- Chhetri, D.R., Parajuli, P. and Subba, G.C. 2005. Antidiabetic plants used by Sikkim and Darjeeling Himalayan tribes, India. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 99:199-202.
- Chinedu, S.N., Olasumbo, A.C., Eboji, O.K., Emiloju, O.C., Arinola, O.K. and Dania,
  D.I. 2011. Proximate and Phytochemical analysis of *Solanum aethiopicum* L.
  and *Solanum macrocarpon* L. fruits. Research Journal of Chemical Sciences.
  1(3): 63-71.
- Choudhary, M.I. and Rehman, A. 2002. Recent Discoveries in Natural Products Chemistry, 7<sup>th</sup> EURASS, A conference on chemical Sciences, HEJ - Res. Inst. Chem., University of Karachi, Pakistan. pp: 25.

- Chweya, J.A. and Eyzaguirre, P.B. 1999. The biodiversity of traditional leafy vegetables. International plant genetic resources Institute, Rome Italy.
- Coinu, R., Carta, S., Urgeghe, P.P., Mulinacci, N., Pinelli, P., Franconi, F. and Romani, A. 2007. Dose-effect study on the antioxidant properties of leaves and outer bracts of extracts obtained from Violetto di Toscana artichoke. Food Chemistry. 101: 524–531.
- Collin, V.C., Eymery, F., Genty, B., Rey, P. and Havaux, M. 2008. Vitamin E is essential for the tolerance of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to Metal-induced oxidative stress. Plant Cell Environ. 31: 244-257.
- Cousins, R.J. 2006. Zinc. In: Present knowledge in nutrition. 9<sup>th</sup> ed. Vol. 1. (Eds. Bowman, B.A. and Russell, R.M.). ILSI Press, Washington, D.C. pp. 445-457.
- Cranney, A., Horsley, T., O'Donnell, S., Weiler, H., Puil, L., Ooi, D., Atkinson, S., Ward, L., Moher, D., Hanley, D., Fang, M., Yazdi, F., Garritty, C., Sampson, M., Barrowman, N., Tsertsvadze, A. and Mamaladze, V. 2007. Effectiveness and Safety of Vitamin D in Relation to Bone Health. Evid. Rep. Technol. Assess. 158: 1-235.
- Dadic, M. and Belleau, G. 1973. Polyphenolics and beer flavour. American Society of Brewing Chemists Procedures. 107: 761-801.
- Daramola, B. 2015. Effects of extraction of solvent, morphological parts and ripening stage on antioxidative activity of *Solanum anguivi* fruit. International Food Research Journal. 22(2): 644-650.

- Das, T., Mishra, S.B., Saha, D. and Agarwal, S. 2012. Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal Plants used by Ethnic and Rural people in Eastern Sikkim Himalayan Region. African Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences. 4(1): 16-20.
- David, R.F., Smith, A., Malkhandi, J., Fyfe, D.W., De-Takats, P.G., Anderson, D., Baker, J. and Kerr, D.J. 1996. Phase I clinical trials of the flavonoid Quercetin: Pharmacokinetics and evidence for Tyrosine Kinase inhibition. Clinical Cancer Research. 2: 659-668.
- Demling, J.H., Eglau, M.C. and Autenrieth, T. 2001. On the physiological function of lithium from a psychiatric view point. Medical Hypotheses. 57(4):506-9.
- Deschner, E.E. 1992. Dietary quercetin (QU) and rutin (RU) as inhibitors of experimental colonic neoplasia. In: Phenolic compounds in food and their effects on health. II. American Chemical Symposium Series 507. (Eds. Huang, M.T., Ho, C.T. and Lee, C.Y.). American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. pp. 265.
- Dinis, T.C.P., Madeira, V.M.C. and Almeida, M.L.M. 1994. Action of phenolic derivates (acetoaminophen, salycilate and 5-aminosalycilate) as inhibitors of membrane lipid peroxidation and as peroxyl radical scavengers. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics. 315: 161-169.
- DiSilvestro, R.A. 2000. Zinc in relation to diabetes and oxidative disease. J. Nutr. 130: 1509S-1511S.
- Dougnon, T.V., Bankole, H.S., Johnson, R.C., Klotoe, J.R., Dougnon, G., Gbaguidi,F., Assogba, F., Gbenou, J., Sahidou, S., Ategbo, Jean-Marc, Rihn, B.H.,Loko, F., Boko, M. and Edorh, A.P. 2012. Phytochemical Screening,

Nutritional and Toxicological Analyses of Leaves and Fruits of *Solanum macrocarpon* Linn (Solanaceae) in Cotonou (Benin). Food and Nutrition Sciences. 3: 1595-1603.

- Dragland, S., Senoo, H. and Wake, K. 2003. Several culinary and medicinal herbs are important sources of dietary antioxidants. Nutrition. 133(5): 1286-1290.
- Dubey, R.K., Singh, V., Upadhyay, G., Pandey, A.K. and Prakash, D. 2015. Assessment of phytochemical composition and antioxidant potential in some indigenous chilli genotypes from north east India. Food Chemistry. 188: 119-125.
- Dushenkov, V., Kumar, P.B.A.N., Mot H. and Raskin, I. 1995. Rhizofiltration: The use of plants to remove heavy metals from aqueous streams. Environment Science and Technology. 29: 577-584.
- Eletta, O.A.A., Orimolade, B.O., Oluwaniyi, O.O. and Dosumu, O.O. 2017. Evaluation of Proximate and Antioxidant Activities of Ethiopian Eggplant (*Solanum aethiopicum* L) and Gboma Eggplant (*Solanum macrocarpon* L).
- Elmastas, M., Gulcin, I., Isildak, O., Kufrevioglu, O.I., Ibaoglu, K., Aboul-Eneinc,H.Y. 2006. Radical scavenging activity and antioxidant capacity of bay leaf extracts. Journal of the Iranian Chemical Society. 3: 258-266.
- Eze, S.O. and Kanu, C.Q. 2014. Phytochemical and Nutritive composition analysis of Solanum aethiopicum. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Scientific Innovation. 3(4): 358-362.

- Fairbanks, V.F. 1999. Iron in Medicine and Nutrition. In: Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease. 9th ed. (Eds. Shils, M.E., Olson, J.A., Shike, M. and Ross, A.C.) Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia. pp. 193-221.
- Flyman, M.V. and Afolayan, A.J. 2007. Proximate and mineral composition of the leaves of *Momordica balsamina* L.: an under-utilized wild vegetable in Botswana. International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition. 58(6): 419-423.
- Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine. 1997. Dietary reference intakes: Calcium, phosphorus, magnesium, vitamin D, and fluoride. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. pp. 71-145; 146-189 and 190-249.
- Frankel, E.N., Kanner, J., German, J.B., Parks, E. and Kinsella, J.E. 1993. Inhibition of oxidation of human low-density lipoprotein by phenolic substances in red wine. The Lancet. 341: 454–457.
- Gandhi, G.R., Ignacimuthu, S. and Paulraj, M.G. 2011. *Solanum torvum* Swartz. fruit containing phenolic compounds shows antidiabetic and antioxidant effects in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 49(11): 2725-33.
- Gbadamosi, I.T. and Oloyede, A.A. 2014. The mineral, proximate and phytochemical components of ten Nigerian medicinal plants used in the management of arthritis. African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology. 8(23): 638-643.
- Gbile, Z.O. and Adesina, S.K. 1988. Nigerian *Solanum* Species of economic importance. Annals Missouri Bot. Garden. 75: 862-865.

- Gescher, A., Pastorino, U., Plummer, S.M. and Manson, M.M. 1998. Suppression of tumour development by substances derived from the diet Mechanisms and clinical implications. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 45: 1–12.
- Gopalan, C., Rama Sastri, B.V. and Balasubramaniam, S.C. 2004. (Revised and updated by Narasinga Rao B. S, Deosthale, Y.G. and Pant, K.C. (Rpri.). Nutritive value of Indian Foods. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research, Hyderabad.
- Goyal, P.K. 2007. Anti-tumour promoting activity of *Emblica officinalis* (amla) fruit against skin carcinogenesis in mice. Journal of Ethnopharmcy. 44(1):1-6.
- Grover, J.K. and Vats, V. 2001. Shifting paradigm: From conventional to alternative Medicines-an introduction on traditional Indian medicine. Asia Pacific Biotech. News. 5: 28-32.
- Grover, J.K., Yadav, S. and Vats, S. 2002. Medicinal plants of India with anti-diabetic potential. J. Ethnopharmacol. 81(1): 81-100.
- Grubben, G.J.H. and Denton, O.A. 2004. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa II: Vegetables, 667. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Wageningen.
- Guerrero, J. L.G., Martinez, J. and Isasa, M.E.T. 1998. Mineral nutrient composition of edible wild plants. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 11:322–328.
- Gulcin, I., Bursal, E., Sehitoglu, M.H., Bilsel, M. and Goren, A.C. 2010. Polyphenol contents and antioxidant activity of lyophilized aqueous extract of propolis from Erzurum, Turkey. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 48(8-9): 2227-2238.

- Gupta, R. K. and Khillari, S. A. 2007. The Ayurvedic approach to nutraceuticals. Nat. Conf. on Medicinal and aromatic plants. Gulberga: 21.
- Gupta, S.K. and Banerjee, A.B. 1976. Isolation of ethyl p-methoxy-cinnamate, the major antifungal principal of *Curuma zedaria*. Lloydia. 39: 218-235.
- Gurnani, N., Gupta, M., Mehta, D. and Mehta, B.K. 2016. Chemical composition, total phenolic and flavonoid contents, and *in vitro* antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of crude extracts from red chilli seeds (*Capsicum frutescens* L.). Journal of Taibah University for Science. 10: 462-470.
- Guteridge, J.M. 1989. Iron and oxygen: A biologically damaging mixture. Acta Paediatrica Scandinavia. 36: 78-85.
- Halliwell, B. and Gutteridge, J.M.C. 1989. Free radicals in biology and medicine, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Oxford science publications, Clarendon, UK. pp. 22–85.
- Hanif, R., Iqbal, Z., Iqbal, M., Hanif, S. and Rasheed, M. 2006. Use of vegetables as nutritional food: Role in human health. Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science. 1:18-22.
- Harborne, J.B. 1967. Comparative Biochemistry of the Flavonoids. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Harborne, J.B. 1994. The Flavonoids- Advances in Research since 1986. Chapman & Hall, London, U.K. pp: 676.
- Harborne, J.B. 1998. Phytochemical methods: A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, Chapman and Hall, London, UK.

- Harborne, J.B. 2001. Twenty-five years of chemical ecology. Natural Products Reports. 18: 361 - 379.
- Harborne, J.B. and Williams, C.A. 2000. Advances in flavonoid research since 1992. Phytochemistry. 55:481–504.
- Harris, P.J. and Ferguson, L.R. 1993. Dietary fibre: Its composition and role in protection against colorectal cancer. Mutat. Res. 290: 97-110.
- Havaux, M., Eymery, F., Porfirova, S., Rey P. and Dormann, P. 2005. Vitamin E protects against photoinhibition and photooxidative stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Online. 17: 3451-3469.
- Hazra, B., Biswas, S. and Mandal, N. 2008. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of *Spondias pinnata*. BMC Compl. Alternat. Med. 8(63): 1-20.
- Heber. 2009. Energy-enhancing antioxidant: Natural Factors Nutritional Products Ltd.1-2
- Heim, K.E., Tagliaferro, A.R. and Bobilya, D.J. 2002. Flavonoid antioxidants: chemistry, metabolism and structure-activity relationships. Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry. 13: 572-584.
- Heinonen, I.M., Meyer, A.S. and Frankel, E.N. 1998. Antioxidant activity of berry phenolics on human low-density lipoprotein and liposome oxidation. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 46: 4107 4112.
- Hertog, M.G.L., Hollman, P.C.H. and Katan, M.B. 1992. Content of potentially anticarcinogenic flavonoids of 28 vegetables and 9 fruits commonly consumed in the Netherlands. J. Agr. Food Chem. 40: 2379-2383.

- Higdon, J. 2003. An Evidence-Based Approach to Dietary Phytochemicals. Health Benefits and Intake Recommendations. Thieme Medical Publishers, New York.
- Hollman, P.C.H. and Arts, I.C.W. 2000. Flavonols, flavones and flavonols-nature, occurrence and dietary burden. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 80: 1081–1093.
- Hollman, P.C.H., Hertog, M.G. and Katan, M.B. 1996. Role of dietary flavonoids in protection against cancer and coronary heart disease. Biochemical Society Transactions. 24(3): 785–789.
- Huang, M.T., Ho, C.T. and Lee, C.Y. 1992. Phenolic compounds in food and their effects on health. II. American chemical symposium series 507, American chemical society, Washington, DC.
- Igamberdiev, A.U. and Hill, R.D. 2004. Nitrate, NO and haemoglobin in plant adaptation to hypoxia: An alternative to classic fermentation pathways. J. Exp. Bot. 55: 2473-2482.
- Ijarotimi, O.S., Ekeh, O. and Ajayi, O.P. 2010. Nutrient Composition of selected medicinal leafy vegetables in Western Nigeria. Journal of Medicinal Food. 13(2):476-479.
- Ilodibia, C.V., Akachukwu, E.E., Chukwuma, M.U., Igboabuchi, N.A., Adimonyemma, R.N. and Okeke, N.F. 2016. Proximate, Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Studies on *Solanum macrocarpon* L. Journal of Advances in Biology and Biotechnology. 9(2):1-7.

- Ismail, A., Marjan, Z.A. and Foong, C.W. 2004. Total antioxidant activity and phenolic content in selected vegetables. Food Chemistry. 87(4): 581–586.
- Jang, M., Cai, L., Udeani, G.O., Slowing, K.V., Thomas, C.F., Beecher, C.W., Fong, H.H., Farnsworth, N.R., Kinghorn, A.D., Mehta, R.G., Moon, R.C. and Pezzuto, J.M., 1997. Cancer chemo-preventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. Science. 275: 218–220.
- Jaworska, G. and Kmiecik, W. 1999. Content of selected mineral compounds, nitrates and oxalates in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) and New Zealand spinach (*Tetragonia expansa*) from spring and autumn growing seasons. Food Sci. Tech. 2: 221-226.
- Jenkins, D.J., Kendall, C.W. and Ransom, T.P. 1998. Dietary fiber, the evolution of the human diet and coronary heart disease. Nutr. Res. 18: 633-652.
- Jiang, D. and Peterson, D.G. 2010. Role of hydroxycinnamic acids on food flavor: A brief overview. Phytochemistry Reviews. 9: 187-193.
- Jimoh, F.O., Adedapo, A.A., Aliero, A.A., Koduru, S. and Afolayan, A.J. 2010. Evaluation of the polyphenolic, nutritive and biological activities of the acetone, methanol and water extracts of *Amaranthus asper*. Open Complementary Medicine Journal. 2: 7-14.
- Jose, R.Q., Plazas, M., Sanchez-Mata, M.C., Camara, M. and Prohens, J. 2016. Diversity in composition of scarlet (S. aethiopicum) and gboma (S. macrocarpon) eggplants and of interspecific hybrids between S. aethiopicum

and common eggplant (S. melongena). Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 45:130-140.

- JothiKarumari, R., Sumathi, S., Anitha, M., Vanimakhal, R.R. and Ezhilarsi, B. 2014. Analysis of Physico-Chemical and Qualitative Inorganic Elements in the Selected Herbal Plants. International Journal of Pharma Research & Review. 3(8):8-14.
- Kagan, V.E. 1989. Tocopherol Stabilizes Membarne against Phospholipase A, Free Fatty Acids, and Lysophospholipids. In: Vitamin E: Biochemistry and Health Implications, (Eds. Diplock, A.T., Machlin, J., Packer, L. and Pryor, W.A.). New York Academy of Sciences, New York, USA. pp: 121-135.
- Kala, C.P. 2007. Prioritization of cultivated and wild vegetables by the local people in the Uttaranchal hills of Indian Himalaya. Indian J. Trad. Knowledge. 6:239-243.
- Kamal-Eldin, A. and Appelqvist, L.A. 1996. The chemistry and antioxidant properties of tocopherols and tocotrienols. Lipids. 31: 671-701.
- Kar, A. 2004. Common wild vegetables of Aka tribe of Arunanchal Pradesh. Indian J. Trad. Knowledge. 3:305-313.
- Kaur, C., Nagal, S., Nishad, J., Kumar, R. and Sarika. 2014. Evaluating eggplant (*Solanum melongena* L.) genotypes for bioactive properties: A chemometric approach. Food Research International. 60:205-211.
- Khan, H., Jan, S.A., Javed, M., Shaheen, R., Khan, Z., Ahmad, A., Safi, S.Z. and Imran, M. 2016. Nutritional composition, Antioxidant and antimicrobial

activities of selected wild edible plants. Journal of Food Biochemistry. 40:61-70.

- Khatoon, N., Jain, P. and Choudhary, A.K. 2015. Phytochemical studies on seed and leaf extracts of *Solanum torvum* Sw. Indo American Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 5(5):1649-1656.
- Khoshoo, T.N. 1991. Conservation of biodiversity in biosphere. In: Indian geosphere biosphere, (Ed. Khoshoo, T.N. and Sharma, M.) Vikas Publications, New Delhi. pp: 178-233.
- Klein, B.P. and Perry, A.K. 1982. Ascorbic acid and vitamin A activity in selected vegetables from different geographical areas of the United States. J. Food Sci. 47: 941–945.
- Knekt, P., Jarvinen, R., Reunanen, A. and Maatela, J. 1996. Flavonoid intake and coronary mortality in Finland: a cohort study. Biomedical Journal. 312: 478–481.
- Knochel, J.P. 2006. Phosphorus. In: Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease. 10th ed.(Eds. Shils, M.E., Shike, M., Ross, A.C., Caballero, B. and Cousins, R.J.)Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore. pp. 211-222.
- Konowalchuk, J. and Speirs, J. 1976. Antiviral activity of fruit extracts. Journal of Food Science. 41: 1013-1025.
- Kumar, A. 2013. Ethnobotanical study of wild vegetables used by rural communities of Kannauj district, Uttar Pradesh, India. Emirates Journal of Food Agriculture. 25(10): 760-766.

- Kumaran, A. and Karunakaran, R.J. 2006. Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activity of an aqueous extract of *Coleus aromaticus*. Food Chemistry. 97:109-114.
- Kwon, Y.I., Apostolidis, E. and Shetty, K. 2008. *In vitro* studies of eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) phenolics as inhibitors of key enzymes relevant for type 2 diabetes and hypertension. Bioresource Technology. 99: 2981-2988.
- Lalmuanthanga, C., Lalchhandama, C., Lallianchhunga, M.C., Ali, M.A. and Devi,
  L.I. 2015. Antioxidant capacity of the methanolic extract of *Solanum torvum*leaves. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 4(12):1752-1759.
- Leung, W.T., Busson, F. and Jardin, C. 2009. Food composition table for use in Africa. Africa Journal of Eggplant. 10: 101-119.
- Leung, W-TW., Busson, F. and Jardin, C. 1968. Food composition table for use in Africa. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- LeVeille, G.A. and Sauberlich, H.E. 1966. Mechanism of the cholesterol-depressing effect of pectin in the cholesterol-fed rat. Journal of Nutrition. 88: 209-214.
- Lieberman, S. and Brunning, N. 2003. Real Vitamin and Mineral Book. New York: Penguin Books.
- Lim, T.K. 2013. Edible Medicinal and Non-Medicinal Plants. Springer, Dordrecht Heidelberg, New York London.
- Lin, L., Cui, C., Wen, L., Yang, B., Luo, W. and Zhao, M. 2011. Assessment of *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of stem and leaf extracts of *Rabdosia serra*

(MAXIM.) HARA and identification of the major compound. Food Chemistry. 126: 54-59.

- Linder, M. C. and Hazegh-Azam, M. 1996. Copper biochemistry and molecular biology. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 63: 7975-8115.
- Linder, M.C. 2001. Copper and genomic stability in mammals. Mutation Res. Fundamental Mol. Mechanisms Mutagenesis. 475: 141-152.
- Loganayaki, N., Siddhuraju, P. and Manian, S. 2010. Antioxidant activity of two traditional Indian vegetables: *Solanum nigrum* L. and *Solanum torvum* L. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 19(1): 121-127.
- Loo, A.Y., Jain, K. and Darah, I. 2008. Antioxidant activity of compounds isolated from the pyroligneous acid, *Rhizophora apiculata*. Food Chemistry. 107: 1151-1160.
- Lowry, O.H., Rosenbrough, N.J., Furr, A.L. and Randall, R.J. 1951. Protein measurements with folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 262-263.
- Lui, D., Shi, J., Ibarra, A.C., Kakuda, Y. and Xue, S.J. 2008. The scavenging capacity and synergistic effects of lycopene, vitamin E, vitamin C and β-carotene mixtures on the DPPH free radical. LWT - Food Science and Technology. 41: 1344-1418.
- Macheix, J.J., Fleuriet, A. and Billot, J. 1990. Fruit phenolics. CRC press, Boca Raton, USA.
- Maden, K. and Dakhal, M.R. 1998. General survey of edible wild fruits from Khoshi zone eastern Nepal: 1-5.

- Maiga, A., Diallo, D., Bye, R. and Paulsen, B.S. 2005. Determination of Some Toxic and Essential Metal Ions in Medicinal and Edible Plants from Mali. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 53: 2316-2321.
- Maikhuri, R.K., Rao, K.S. and Saxena, K.G. 2004. Bio prospecting of wild edibles for rural development in central Himalaya. Mount. Res. Develop. 24:110-113.
- Malhotra, V.K. 1998. Biochemistry for students. 10<sup>th</sup> Edition. Jaypee Brothers medical publishers (P) Ltd. New Delhi, India.
- Mali, M.C. and Harsh, N. 2015. Nutritional value estimation of the leaves and seeds of *Solanum surattense*. Journal of Medicinal Plants Studies. 3(1):27-29.
- Manach, C., Scalbert, A., Morand, C., Remesy, C. and Jime'nez, L. 2004. Polyphenols: Food sources and bioavailability. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 79: 727 - 747.
- Mann, J. 1987. Secondary Metabolism. Oxford University Press. Toronto, ON.
- Marchand, L.L. 2002. Cancer preventive effects of flavonoids- A review. Biomed Pharmacotherapy. 56: 296-301.
- Mazza, G. and Miniati, E. 1994. Anthocyanins in fruits, vegetables and grains. Boca Raton, Fl, CRC press.
- Meydani, M. 2000. Effect of functional food ingredients: Vitamin E modulation of cardiovascular diseases and immune status in the elderly. Am J Clin Nutr. 71:1665S–1668S.

- Middleton, E.J. 1986. Some effects of flavonoids on mammalian cell systems. In: Flavonoids and Bioflavonoids. (Eds Farkas, L., Gabor, M. and Kallay, F.). Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp. 381-400.
- Miladi, S. and Damak, M. 2008. *In vitro* antioxidant activities of *Aloe vera* leaf skin extracts. Journal de la Société Chimique de Tunisie. 10: 101-109.
- Miller, M.J., Sadowska-krowicka, H., Chotinaruemol, S., Kakkis, J.L. and Clark, D.A. 1993. Amelioration of chronic ileitis by nitric oxide synthase inhibition. The Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics. 264: 11-16.
- Misra, S., Maikhuri, R.K., Kala, C.P., Rao, K.S. and Saxena, K.G. 2008. Wild leafy vegetables: A study of their subsistence dietetic support to the inhabitants of Nanada Devi Biosphere Reserve, India. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine. 4:15.
- Mittermeier, R.A., Gils, P.R., Hoffman, M., Pilgrim, J., Brooks, T. and Mittermeier,C.G. 2004. Hotspots revisited. Earth's biologically richest and most endangered terrestrial ecoregions. USA: CEMEX.
- Munne-Bosch, S. 2005. The role of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol in plant stress tolerance. J. Plant Physiol. 162: 743-748.
- Musci, I. 1986. Combined antiviral effect of quercetin and interferon on the multiplication of herpes simplex virus in cell cultures. In: Flavonoids and Bioflavonoids. (Eds. Farkas, L., Gabor, M. and Kallay, F.). Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp. 333-350.

- Myers, N., Mittermier, R.A., Mittermier, C.G., Da-Fonseca, G.A.B. and Kent, J. 2000. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature. 40: 853–858.
- Nascimento, P.L., Nascimento, T.C., Ramos, N.S., Silva, G.R., Gomes, J.E., Falcão, R.E., Moreira, K.A., Porto, A.L. and Silva, T.M. 2014. Quantification, antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of phenolics isolated from different extracts of *Capsicum frutescens* (*Pimenta malagueta*). Molecules. 19: 5434-5447.
- Newman, P., Shearer, M.J. and Newman, P. 2008. Metabolism and cell biology of vitamin K. Thromb. Haemostasis. 100: 530-547.
- Nisha, P., Abdul Nazar, P. and Jayamurthy, P. 2009. A comparative study on antioxidant activities of different varieties of *Solanum melongena*. Food and Chemical Toxicology. 47: 2640-2644.
- Nithiyanantham, S., Varadharajan, S. and Siddhuraju, P. 2012. Differential effects of processing methods on total phenolic content, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of three species of *Solanum*. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis. 20(4): 844-854.

Norman, J.C. 1992. Tropical vegetable crops. Arthur Stockwell Ltd, Devon.

Nwanna, E.E., Ibukun, E.O., Oboh, G., Ademosun, A.O., Boligon, A.A. and Athayde,
M. 2014. HPLC-DAD analysis and *In-Vitro* property of polyphenols extracts from (*Solanum aethiopicum*) fruits on α-Amylase, α-Glucosidase and Angiotensin-1- converting enzyme activities. International Journal of Biomedical Science. 10(4):272-281.

- Nyadanu, D. and Lowor, S.T. 2015. Promoting competitiveness of neglected and underutilized crop species: comparative analysis of nutritional composition of indigenous and exotic leafy and fruit vegetables in Ghana. Genetics Resources Crop Evolution. 62: 131-140. doi:10.1007/s10722-014-0162-x.
- Oboh, G., Ekperigin, M.M. and Kazeem, M.I. 2005. Nutritional and haemolytic properties of eggplants (*Solanum macrocarpon*) leaves. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 18:153-160.
- O'Dell, B.L. 2000. Role of zinc in plasma membrane function. Journal of Nutrition. 130 (5S Supplementary): 1432S-1436S.
- Odhav, B., Beekrum, S., Akula, U.S and Baijnath, H. 2007. Preliminary assessment of nutritional value of traditional leafy vegetables in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. J. Food Comp. Anal. 20:430-435.
- Odukoya, O.A., Thomas, A.E. and Adepoju-Bello, A. 2001. Tannic acid equivalent and cytotoxic activity of selected medicinal plants. West Africa Journal of Pharmacology.15: 43-45.
- Offor, C.E., Igwe, S.U. and Egwu, C.O. 2015. Comparative analysis of the vitamin composition of two different species of Garden Egg (*Solanum aethiopicum* and *Solanum macrocarpon*). IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences. 10(5): 66-68.
- Ogbuagu, A.S., Onyema, A.O., Ekpunobi, U.E., Onyema, C.T. 2015. Nutritional and heavy metal analysis on four local fruits in Awka South Eastern Nigeria. International Journal of Chemical and Biomedical Science. 1(3): 83-88.

- Ojo, O.O., Taiwo, K.A., Scalon, M., Oyedele, D.J. and Akinremi, O.O. 2015. Influence of Pre-treatments on some Nutritional and Anti-Nutritional contents of *Solanum macrocarpon* (Gbagba). American Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Research. 2(2): 32-39.
- Oliveira, A.C., dos Santos, V.S., dos Santos, D.C., Carvalho, R.D.S., Souza, A.S. and Ferreira, S.L.C. 2014. Determination of the mineral composition of Caigua (*Cyclanthera pedata*) and evaluation using multivariate analysis. Food Chem. 152: 619–623.
- Onyeike, E.N., Olungwe, T. and Uwakwe, A.A. 1995. Effect of heat treatment and defatting on the proximate composition of some Nigerian local soup thickeners. Food Chemistry: 53: 173-175.
- Orech, F.O., Aagaard-Hansen, J. and Friis, H. 2007. Ethnoecology of traditional leafy vegetables of the Leo people of Bondo district, Western Kenya. Internat. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 58:555-560.
- Otu, P.N.Y., Sarpong, F., Gidah, J.E., Labanan, Abdul-M. and Anim, D. 2017. Characterization of Turkey Berrry (*Solanum torvum*)-fresh, dry and powder. African Journal of Food and Integrated Agriculture. 1:9-14.
- Oyeyemi, S.D., Ayeni, M.J., Adebiyi, A.O., Ademiluyi, B.O., Tedela, P.O. and Osuji,
  I.B. 2015. Nutritional Quality and Phytochemical Studies of *Solanum anguivi* (Lam.) Fruits. Journal of Natural Science research. 5(4): 99-105.
- Palta, J.P. 1990. Leaf chlorophyll content. Remote Sensing Reviews. 5(1): 207-213. DOI: 10.1080/02757259009532129.

- Peterson, L.N. 1997. Potassium in nutrition. In: Handbook of nutritionally essential minerals. (Eds. O'Dell, B.L. and Sunde, R.A.). Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York. pp. 153-183.
- Pierson, M.D. and Reddy, N.R. 1982. Inhibition of *Clostridium botulinum* by antioxidants and related phenolic compounds in comminuted pork. Journal of Food Science. 47: 1926-1933.
- Plazas, M., Prohens, J., Cunat, A.N., Vilanova, S., Gramazio, P., Herraiz, F.J. and Andujar, I. 2014. Reducing capacity, chlorogenic acid content and biological activity in a collection of scarlet (*Solanum aethiopicum*) and Gboma (*S. macrocarpon*) eggplants. Int J Mol Sci. 15(10): 17221-41.

Powell, S.R. 2000. The antioxidant properties of zinc. J. Nutr. 130: 1447S-1454S.

- Pradhan, S., Manivannan, S. and Tamang, J.P. 2015. Proximate, mineral composition and antioxidant properties of some wild leafy vegetables. Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research. 74:155-159.
- Prakash, D., Upadhyay, G. and Pushpangadan, P. 2011. Antioxidant potential of some underutilized fruits. Indo Global Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 1: 25-32.
- Prieto, P., Pineda, M. and Aguilar, M. 2006. Spectrophotometric quantitation of antioxidant capacity through the formation of a phosphomolybdenum complex: Specific application to the determination of vitamin E. Analytical Biochemistry. 269: 337-341.
- Proteggente, A.R., Pannala, A.S., Pagana, G., Van Buren, L., Wagner, E., Wiswman, S., Van De Put, F., Dacombe, C. and Rice-Evans, C.A. 2002. The antioxidant

activity of regular consumed fruit and vegetables reflects their phenolic and vitamin C composition. Free Rad. Res. 36: 217–233.

- Rahman, N., Marliyati, S.A., Damanik, M.R.M. and Anwar, F. 2013. Antioxidant activity and total phenol content of ethanol extract Takokak fruit (*Solanum torvum*). Pakistan Journal of Nutrition. 12(11):973-977.
- Rai, A.K., Sharma, R.M. and Tamang, J.P. 2005. Food value of common edible wild plants of Sikkim. Journal of Hill research. 18(2): 99-103.
- Raigón, M.D., Prohens, J., Munoz-Falcon, J.E. and Nuez, F. 2010. Comparison of eggplant landraces and cultivated varieties for fruit content of phenolics, minerals, dry matter and protein. Journal of Food Composition Analysis. 21: 370-376.
- Rajangam, J., Azahakia R.S., Manavalan, T., Thangaraj, S., Vijayakumar, A. and Muthukurishan, N. 2001. Status of Production and Utilization of *Moringa* in Southern India. Development potential for *Moringa* product. Daresalam, Tanzania.
- Rajurkar, N.S. and Damame, M.M. 1998. Mineral Content of Medicinal Plants Used in Treatment of diseases resulting from Urinary tract disorders. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 65: 251-259.
- Ramamurthy, C.H., Kumar, M.S., Suyavaran, S.A., Mareeswaran, R. and Thirunavukkarasu, C. 2012. Evaluation of Antioxidant, Radical Scavenging Activity and Polyphenolics Profile in *Solanum torvum* L. fruits. Journal of Food science. 77(8): 907-913.

- Rao, A.V. and Rao, L.G. 2007. Carotenoids and human health. Pharmacol Res. 55(3): 207-16.
- Record, I.R., Dreosti, I.E. and McInerney, J.K. 2001. Changes in plasma antioxidant status following consumption of diets high or low in fruit and vegetables or following dietary supplements with an antioxidant mixture. British Journal of Nutrition. 85: 459 - 464.
- Reddy, K.N., Pattanaik, C., Reddy C.S. and Raju, V.S. 2007. Traditional knowledge on wild food plants in Andhra Pradesh, India. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 6:223-229.
- Rhodes, M. and Wooltorton, L.S.C. 1978. The biosynthesis of phenolic compounds in wounded plant storage tissues. In: Biochemistry of Wounded plant tissues.(Ed. Kahl, G. and De Gruyter W.) pp. 243.
- Rice-Evans, C., Miller, N.J., Bolwell, P.G., Bramley, P.M. and Pridham, J.B. 1995.The relative antioxidant activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids.Free Radical Research. 22: 375 383.
- Rice-Evans, C.A., Miller, N.J. and Paganga, G. 1996. Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids and phenolic acids. Free Radical Biology & Medicine. 20: 933-956.
- Rissanen, T.H., Voutilainen, S., Virtanen, J.K., Venho, B., Vanharanta, M., Mursu, J. and Salonen, J.T. 2003. Low intake of fruits, berries and vegetables is associated with excess mortality in men: The Kuopio ischaemic heart disease risk factor (KIHID) study. Journal of Nutrition. 133: 199 - 204.

- Robards, K. and Antolovich, M. 1997. Analytical chemistry of fruit bioflavonoids. Analyst. 122: 11R - 34R.
- Robbins, R.J. 2003. Phenolic acids in foods: An overview of analytical methodology. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 51:2866- 2887.
- Roca, M., Chen, K. and Perez-Galvez, A. 2016. Chlorophylls. In: Handbook on Natural Pigments in Food and Beverages, Industrial Application for improving Food Colour. (Eds. Carle R. and Schweiggert, R.M.). Elsevier, Woodhead Publishing. 125-158.
- Rojas, E., Herrera, L.A., Poirier, L.A. and Ostrosky-Wegman, P. 1999. Are metals dietary carcinogens. Mutation Res. 443: 157-181.
- Rollet Labelle, E., Grange, M.J., Elbim, C., Marquetty, C., Gougerot-Pocidalo, M.A. and Pasquier, C. 1998. Hydroxyl radical as a potential intracellular mediator of polymorphonuclear neutrophil apoptosis. Free Radical Biology and Medicine. 24: 563-572.
- Rubatzky, V.E. and Yamaguchi, M. 1997. World Vegetables: Principle, Production and Nutritive Values. Springer, US.
- Rude, R.K. and Shils, M.E. 2006. Magnesium. In: Modern nutrition in health and disease. 10<sup>th</sup> ed. (Eds. Shils, M.E., Shike, M., Ross, A.C., Caballero, B. and Cousins, R.J.) Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. Baltimore. pp. 223-247.
- Sadashivam, S. and Manikam, A. 1992. Biochemical method for agricultural sciences, Willey, Eastern Ltd. 105.

- Salunkhe, D.K., Chavan, J.K. and Kadam, S.S. 1989. Dietary Tannins: Consequences and Remedies. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Fl.
- Scoones, I., Melnyk, M. and Pretty, J. 1992. The Hidden Harvest; wild foods and agricultural systems, a literature review and annotated bibliography. WWF, SIDA, IIED, London.
- Shad, A.A., Shah, H.U. and Bakht, J. 2013. Ethnobotanical assessment and nutritive potential of wild food plants. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences. 23(1):92-97.
- Shahidi, F. and Naczk, M. 1995. Food Phenolics: Sources, Chemistry, Effects, Applications. Technomic Publishing Company, Lancaster, PA.
- Sheng, H.W. 2000. Sodium, chloride and potassium. In: Biochemical and physiological aspects of human nutrition. (Ed. Stipanuk, M.). Saunders Company, Philadelphia, W.B. pp. 686-710.
- Shils, M.E. 1997. Magnesium. In: Handbook of nutritionally essential minerals. (Eds. O'Dell, B.L. and Sunde, R.A.). Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York. pp. 117-152.
- Showemimo, F.A. and Olarewaju, J.D. 2004. Agro- Nutritional Determinants of Some Garden Varieties (*Solanum gilo* L.). J. Food Technol. 2: 172-175.
- Siddiqui, M.W., Momin, C.M., Acharya, P., Kabir, J., Debnath, M.K. and Dhua, R.S. 2012. Dynamics of changes in bioactive molecules and antioxidant potential of *Capsicum chinense* Jacq. Cv. Habanero at nine maturity stages. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 35: 1141-1148.

Singh, H.B. and Arora, R.K. 1978. Wild edible plants of India. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Singh, H.B., Prasad, P. and Rai, L.K. 2002. Folk Medicinal Plants in the Sikkim Himalayas of India. Asian Folklore Studies. 61:295-310.
- Singleton, V.L. and Nobel, A.C. 1976. Wine flavor and phenolic substances. In: Phenolic Sulphur and Nitrogen Compounds in Food Flavors. ACS Symposium Series 26, (Eds. Charalambous, G. and Katz, I.). American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- Smirnoff, N. 2000. Ascorbic acid: Metabolism and functions of a multi-facetted molecule. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 3: 229-235.
- Smirnoff, N. 2005. Antioxidants and Reactive Oxygen Species in Plants. Blackwell Publishing, New York, USA.
- Sodamade, A., Bolaji, O.S. and Owonikoko, A.D. 2015. The nutritive value and amino acid characteristics of *Solanum aethiopicum* leaf protein concentrates. International journal of Advanced Research in Chemical Science. 2(6):28-33.
- Soobrattee, M.A., Neergheen, V.S., Luximon-Ramma, A., Aruoma, O.I. and Bahorun, T. 2005. Phenolics as potential antioxidant therapeutic agents: mechanism and actions. Mutat Res. 579:200–213.
- Spencer, H., Norris, C. and Williams, D. 1994. Inhibitory effects of zinc on magnesium balance and magnesium absorption in man. Journal of American College of Nutrition. 13: 479-484.
- Spiller, G.A. 2001. Dietary fiber in prevention and treatment of disease. In: CRC Handbook of dietary fiber in human nutrition. (Eds. Spiller, G.A.). CRC Press LLC, Washington. pp 363-431.

Stafford, D.W. 2005. The vitamin K cycle. J. Thromb. Haemost. 3: 1873-1878.

- Sundriyal, M. and Sundriyal, R.C. 2001. Wild edible plants of the Sikkim Himalaya: Nutritive values of selected species. Econ. Bot. 55:377-390.
- Sundriyal, M., Sundriyal, R.C. and Sharma, E. 2004. Dietary use of wild plant resources in the Sikkim Himalaya, India. Economic Botany. 58(4):626-638.
- Sundriyal, Manju, 1999. Distribution, propagation and nutritive value of some wild edible plants in the Sikkim Himalaya. D.Phil. submitted to H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar, Garhwal, U.R, India.
- Tanabe, H., Yoshiad, M. and Tomita, N. 2002. Comparison of the antioxidant activities of 22 commonly used culinary herbs and spices on the lipid oxidation of pork meat. J. Anim. Sci. 73: 389-393.
- Trompezinski, S., Denis, A., Schmitt, D. and Vi, J. 2003. Comparative effects of polyphenols from green tea (EGCG) and soybean (genistein) on VEGF and IL-8 release from normal human keratinocytes stimulated with the proinflammatory cytokine TNFα. Achilles of Dermatology Research. 295: 112–116.
- Tucker, G. 2003. Nutritional enhancement of plants. Current Opinion in Biotechnology. 14: 221-225.
- Turnlund, J.R. 2006. Copper. In: Modern nutrition in health and disease. 10th ed.(Eds. Shils, M.E., Shike, M., Ross, A.C., Caballero, B. and Cousins, R.J.).Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia. pp. 286-299.

- Uauy, R., Olivares, M. and Gonzalez, M. 1998. Essentiality of copper in humans. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 67: 952S-959S.
- Usman, R., Khan, A., Gul, S., Rauf, A. and Muhammad, N. 2012. Preliminary antioxidant profile of selected medicinal plants of Pakistan. Middle-East Journal of Medicinal Plants Research. 1(2): 24-27.
- Usunomena, U. and Chinwe, I.V. 2016. Phytochemical analysis, mineral composition and *in vitro* antioxidant activities of *Solanum macrocarpon* leaves. International Journal of Health. 4(1): 62-65.
- Vavilov, N.I. 1950. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. Chronicle Botany. 13.
- Verardo, V., Bendini, A., Cerretani, L., Malaguti, D., Cozzolino, E. and Caboni, M.F. 2009. Capillary gas chromatography analysis of lipid composition and evaluation of phenolic compounds by micellar electrokinetic chromatography in Italian walnut (*Juglans regia* L.) irrigation and fertilization influence. J. Food Quality. 32: 262-281.
- Vinson, J.A., Su, X., Zubik, L. and Bose, P. 2001. Phenol antioxidant quantity and quality in foods: Fruits. J Agric Food Chem. 49:5315–5321.
- Wagner, H. 1985. New plant phenolics of pharmaceutical interest. In: Ann. Proc. Phytochem. Soc. Eur. (Eds. Van Sumere, C.F. and Lea, P.). Clarendon Press, Oxford. Vol. 25. pp. 409-423.

- Weaver, C.M. and Heaney, R.P. 1999. Calcium. In: Modern nutrition in health and disease. 9th ed. (Eds. Shils, M., Olson, J.A., Shike, M. and Ross, A.C.).Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore. pp. 141-155.
- Weber, P. 2001. Vitamin K and bone health. Nutrition. 17: 880-887.
- Weisburger, J.H., Reddy, B.S., Rose, D.P., Cohen, L.A., Kendall, M.E. and Wynder,
  E.L. 1993. Protective Mechanisms of Dietary Fibers in Nutritional
  Carcinogenesis. In: Antimutagenesis and Anticarcinogenesis Mechanisms III,
  (Eds. Bronzetti, G., Hayatsu, H., De Flora, S., Waters, M.D. and Shankel,
  D.M.). Springer, New York, USA. pp: 45-63.
- White, P.J. and Broadley, M.R. 2009. Biofortification of crops with seven mineral elements often lacking in human diets iron, zinc, copper, calcium, magnesium, selenium and iodine. New Phytologist. 182: 49-84.
- Wollgast, J. and Anklam, E. 2000. Review of polyphenols in *Theobroma cacao:* changes in composition during the manufacture of chocolate and methodology for identification and quantification. Food Research International. 33: 423 -447.
- Wood, R.J. and Ronnenberg, A.G. 2006. Iron. In: Modern nutrition in health and disease. 10<sup>th</sup> ed. (Eds. Shils, M.E., Shike, M., Ross, A.C., Caballero, B. and Cousins, R.J.). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Philadelphia. pp. 248-270.
- Worthington, V. 2001. Nutritional Quality of Organic Versus Conventional Fruits, Vegetables and Grains. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine. 7(2): 161–173.

- Wrolstad, R.E. 2005. Bioactive Food Components. In: Handbook of Food Analytical Chemistry: Pigments, Colorants, Flavours, Texture, and Bioactive Food Components. (Eds. Wrolstad, R.E., Acree, T.E., Decker, E.A., Penner, M.H., Reid, D.S., Schwartz, S.J., Shoemaker, C.F., Smith, D. and Sporns, P.). John Wiley & Sons, Incorporated. Hoboken, NJ. pp: 459.
- Yakeen, T.A., Adetiba, O.A., Azeez, M.A., Falodun, M.A., Akintaro, S.I. and Yekeen, T.A. 2011. Studies on the proximate analysis of *Solanum aethiopicum*, *Lactuca taraxacifolia* and *Talinum triangulare* and potential cytotoxic effects of their aqueous extract using *Allium cepa* assay. Annals of Biological Research. 2(5): 696-706.
- Yip, R. and Dallman, P.R. 1996. Iron. In: Present Knowledge in Nutrition. 7th ed.(Eds. Ziegler, E.E. and Filer, L.J.). ILSI Press, Washington, D.C. pp. 277-292.
- Yochum, L., Kushi, L.H., Meyer, K. and Folsom, A.R. 1999. Dietary flavonoid intake and risk of cardiovascular disease in postmenopausal women. American Journal of Epidemiology. 149: 943–949.
- Yu, L., Haley, S., Perret, J., Harris, J.W. and Qian, M. 2002. Free radical scavenging properties of wheat extracts. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 50: 1619-1624.
- Yu, W., Zhao, Y. and Shu, B. 2004. The radical scavenging activities of *Radix puerariae* isoflavanoids: A chemiluminescence study. Food Chemistry. 86: 525-529.

- Zahin, M., Aqil, F. and Ahmad, I. 2009. The *in vitro* antioxidant activity and total phenolic content of four Indian medicinal plants. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Science. 1: 88-95.
- Zamede, A. and Mesfin, T. 2001. Prospects for Sustainable Use and Development of Wild Food plants in Ethiopia. Economic Botany. 55: 47–62.

Zeisel, S.H. 1999. Regulation of nutraceuticals. Science. 285: 1853–1855.



Plate No.1 Glimpses of Solanum aethiopicum



Plate No.2 Glimpses of Solanum macrocarpon



Plate No. 3 Glimpses of Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae



Plate No. 4 Glimpses of Tupistra aurantiaca



Plate No. 5 Glimpses of Nasturtium officinale



Plate No. 6. Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages



Plate No. 7. Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages



Plate No. 8. Survey for medicinal property of vegetable in villages



Amaranthus Leaves



Diplazium esculentum



Raphanus sativus Pods



Urtica dioca



*Ipomea batata* leaves



Portulaca oleracea L.

Plate No. 9 Glimpses of local vegetables



Plate No. 10 Glimpses of local vegetables

### "EVALUATION OF SELECTED VEGETABLES OF SIKKIM HIMALAYAS FOR SOME NUTRACEUTICAL PROPERTIES"

A Thesis summary of Ph.D. Thesis

Submitted

То

# **Sikkim University**



In Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the

# **Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

By

# UZMA KHATOON Department of Horticulture School of Life Sciences

Under the supervision of

Chairperson

## Dr. Laxuman Sharma

Associate Professor, Department of Horticulture School of Life Sciences Sikkim University Gangtok, Sikkim

### Evaluation of selected vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas for some Nutraceutical properties

The increased knowledge on the relationship between nutrients and health has resulted in several new products categories, such as Nutraceuticals. The word nutraceutical is a portmanteau of the words nutrient and pharmaceutical coined by Dr. DeFelice in 1989 and the product category represents a unique intersection of the pharmaceutical and food industries. Nutraceuticals are diet supplements that deliver a concentrated form of a bioactive component from a food and used with the purpose of enhancing health in dosages that sometimes exceeds that of the normal foods. The nutraceuticals can either be taken as dietary supplements or as functional foods. The dietary supplements can be in the form of liquid concentrates or capsules whereas functional foods are enriched foods which are very close to the original natural food.

The major source of biologically active substances, such as vitamins and secondary metabolites (polyphenols, carotenoids, sterols, glucosinolates, and saponins) are present in most of the vegetables. Number of studies revealed that individuals who eat five servings daily or more of fruits and vegetables have approximately half the risk of developing a wide variety of cancer types, particularly those of the gastrointestinal tract suggesting that consuming phenolic-rich fruits and vegetables increases the antioxidant capacity of the blood. Vegetables are important sources of minerals, fibre and vitamins, which provide essential nutrients for human health. Increased consumption of vegetable significantly reduces the incidence of chronic diseases, such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases and other age-related disorders. Various compounds such as polyphenols, carotenoids (pro-vitamin A), vitamins C and E (tocopherol) present in the vegetables have antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities and play a significant role in the prevention of many diseases. Polyphenols express many biological activities, such as antifungal, antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory,

anticancerous and antioxidative and therefore continued identification of vegetables with high polyphenol content is of considerable interest and importance to the scientific community due to the potential health benefits of these compounds.

Sikkim, a Himalayan state of India is known for rich biodiversity owing to varied climatic condition across the altitudinal gradient. The state is inhabited by diverse ethnic communities with about 10 hilly tribes and ethnic communities. In the Sikkim Himalaya, several varieties of locally available vegetables are commonly consumed and are considered an integral part of ethno-culture. These tribes and the ethnic communities have rich knowledge on use of indigenous vegetables as medicine. In recent decades, a resurgence of interest has focused on wild plant species for their possible nutritional and medicinal values to broaden the diversity of human diet. This is because people today are more concern about the effects of modern agricultural technology and marketing, which only cultivate plant types that have high productivity and consequently caused massive loss of biodiversity. On the other hand, increasing research on underutilized vegetables in different regions showed that most of these wild greens have great nutritional values and antioxidant properties, which are comparable to those commercially cultivated vegetables and there has been no document on nutraceutical potential of local, indigenous or underutilized vegetables of Sikkim. So, this work envisages exploring these vegetables as potential nutraceuticals keeping in mind following objectives:-

- To survey the knowledge of ethnic community of Sikkim about the medicinal properties of vegetables.
- 2. To characterize and quantify constituent phenolics of selected vegetables.
- 3. To elucidate nutritional and ionic profiling of selected vegetables.
- 4. To study the antioxidant activity of selected vegetables.

#### Material and methods

The present investigation entitled was carried out at Department of Horticulture, School of Life Sciences, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim during with the objective of evaluation of nutraceutical properties of some vegetables of Sikkim Himalayas which are indigenous and underutilized.

#### **Collection and Survey:**

A survey was conducted in all the four districts of Sikkim for the knowledge of traditional use of indigenous vegetables especially for their medicinal use. Collection of sample was also done during the survey for the following vegetables and samples were further subjected to different procedure depending upon the parameters to be studied.

- 1. Solanum aethiopicum L.
- 2. Solanum macrocarpon L.
- 3. Capsicum annuum var. cerasiformae (Dalle Khorsani)
- 4. Tupistra aurantiaca Wall. (Nakima)
- 5. Nasturtium officinale (Watercress)

#### Parameters for analysis:

Following parameters were estimated and analysed, as per the approved synopsis:

#### 1. Proximate analysis

- i. Moisture and Dry Matter Content
- ii. Total soluble solids
- iii. Ash Content
- iv. Crude fat
- v. Crude Protein

- vi. Crude Fibre
- vii. Carbohydrate
- viii. Total Starch
- ix. Total Sugar
- x. Chlorophyll A
- xi. Chlorophyll B
- xii. Total Chlorophyll

#### 2. Elemental Analysis

An Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS) will be used for the quantification of selected metals like potassium, phosphorus, sulphur, calcium, magnesium, cadmium, aluminium, cobalt, chromium, copper, iron, molybdenum, lithium, manganese, sodium, tin, strontium and zinc.

#### 3. Phyto-chemicals

- i. Determination of Total Phenols
- ii. Determination of Total Flavonoid Contents
- iii. Determination of Total Flavonols
- iv. Determination of Ascorbic Acid
- v. Determination of Carotene content
- 4. Phenol estimation and characterization (Gallic Acid, Rutin, Catechol, Ferulic Acid and Quercetin) through HPLC.

#### 5. Anti-Oxidant activity

- 1. DPPH Scavenging Activity
- 2. Ferric ion Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP Assay)
- 3. Ferrous ion chelating capacity
- 4. Phosomolybdenium Complex (PM) Assay

- 5. Free radical scavenging activity
- 6. Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity

6. Determination of fat soluble (A, D, E and K) vitamin content through HPLC.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All the statistical analysis were performed with the help of JMP 11 statistical software. All the experiment was performed in completely randomized design with three replication. ANOVA was performed to know the statistical significance between the treatments. Duncan Multiple range test was also performed to determine the statistical differences between the treatments.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Solanum aethiopicum, S. macrocarpon, C. annuum var. cerasiformae, N. officinale and Tupistra aurantiaca are the crops, though not very commercially cultivated are consumed by the local inhabitant of the Sikkim Himalayas. All the above mentioned crops under the study were claimed to have medicinal properties as revealed from the survey. A total of 137 number of respondents were interviewed during the survey. It was revealed that about 36 local vegetables belonging to 21 families are consumed for medicinal properties. Most of the vegetables were found to be belong to cucurbitaceae, fabaceae, solanaceae and araceae family. *Tupistra aurantiaca, Solanum anguivi, Solanum macrocarpon, Cyphomandra betacea, Fagopyrum esculentum* and *Musa spp.* were reported to be used for treatment of diabetes. For lowering of blood pressure *Apium graveolens var. dulce, Solanum anguivi* and *Spinacea oleracea* were used.

*Tupistra aurantiaca* was found to have high proximate and mineral content than other vegetables under study. *Solanum aethiopicum, Solanum macrocarpon, Capsicum* 

*annuum var. cerasiformae* and *Nasturtium officinale* when compared to secondary data of closely related commercial species like *Solanum melongena* and *Capsicum annuum* were found to be at par for proximate and mineral content. Potassium and magnesium was found highest in *Solanum aethiopicum* which makes it suitable for consumption for people suffering from hypertension, high blood pressure and asthma etc. Calcium and Zinc was reported to be highest in *Solanum macrocarpon* and can be recommended for people suffering from osteoporosis, arthritis, night blindness and less immunity. Phosphorus, Iron, manganese, copper and molybdenum were found highest in *Tupistra aurantiaca* which makes it suitable for consumption for people suffering from aging problem, anaemia, muscle weakness and osteoporosis etc.

Adequate phytochemicals and phenolics were recorded in all the vegetables under study. The higher values of total phenol, flavonoids and carotene were recorded for *C. annuum var. cerasiformae*, flavonols for *N. officinale* and ascorbic acid in *S. macrocarpon*. Gallic acid was found highest in *C. annuum* var. *cerasiformae*, rutin, catechol, ferulic acid in *N. officinale* and quercetin in *S. macrocarpon*.

Antioxidant activity were determined by six different assays namely DPPH activity, FRAP value, ferrous ion chelating activity, phosphomolybdenum activity, hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl ion scavenging activity. All the studied vegetables were found to be having ample antioxidant activity which makes them valuable for consumption. High DPPH and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was found highest in *C. annuum var. cerasiformae*, whereas FRAP value, ferrous ion chelating activity, phosphomolybdenum activity was found highest in *S. aethiopicum* and hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity in *Nasturtium officinale*.

Fat soluble (A, D, E and K)vitamins were found in all vegetables except Vitamin D in case of *S. aethiopicum* and Vitamin K in case of *C. annuum* var *cerasiformae*.

Vitamin A and D content was found more in *S. aethiopicum* whereas Vitamin D in *C. annuum* var. *cerasiformae* and Vitamin E in *S. macrocarpon*.

To conclude, based on this study, *Tupistra aurantiaca* is considered rich amongst the studied vegetables for proximate and mineral content. *C. annuum* var. *cerasiformae* was found to be the rich in phytochemicals, different phenolics, vitamin and antioxidant content could be recommended to include in day to day food basket of local people and also for peoples of other than this region. Cultivation of these local vegetable not only enhance the livelihood security of the local community but also lead to the nutritional security. Growing of these vegetable at commercial scale provides ample scope for popularization of these crops and include them in food bowl locally and globally. There are much future thrust for exploring them as potential nutraceutical food.

## VITA

The authoress was born on 06<sup>th</sup> October, 1993 at Shivpur, Kushinagar district (Uttar Pradesh). She passed her High School from R.V.P Inter College, Faizabad with 1<sup>st</sup> division in 2006. She passed her intermediate examination in 2008 from Faizabad (Uttar Pradesh) with 1<sup>st</sup> division.

She has completed B.Sc. (Horticulture) degree from Narendra Dev University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad (Uttar Pradesh) in 2012 with 1<sup>st</sup> division and M.Sc (Horticulture) in Vegetable Science from Central Agricultural University, Imphal (Manipur) in 2014 with 1<sup>st</sup> division. Thereafter, she took admission in Department of Horticulture, Sikkim University, Gangtok (Sikkim) as Ph.D. (Horticulture) student in the department of Horticulture. During the present study, she was recipient of Maulana Azad National fellowship for Minority Students.

Address: Uzma Khatoon Shivpur, Post- Skhwania Kushinagar- 274402 (Uttar Pradesh) Email: semnam1@gmail.com